



ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD AGENDA

Tuesday, November 25, 2025

Broadcast on Cable TV Channel 16
and northmetrotv.com/lino-lakes-stream

ADVISORY BOARD MEETING, 6:30 P.M. Council Chambers (televised)

1. Call to Order and Roll Call
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Public Comment (*sign-in prior to start of meeting per Rules of Decorum*)
4. Setting the Agenda: Addition or Deletion of Agenda Items
5. Approval of Minutes: October 29, 2025
6. Action Items
 - A. Environmental Board Annual Work Plan 2026
7. Discussion Items
 - A. Recycling Updates
 - B. Shenandoah Park Stormwater Improvements
 - C. Centerville Lake Alum Treatment Update

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting guidelines on reverse side.

CITY OF LINO LAKES

ADVISORY BOARD MEETING GUIDELINES

Advisory boards are fact-finding bodies established to aid the City Council in specific areas. The decisions of any board are considered advisory only and all final decisions rest with the City Council. Board meetings shall operate in accordance with the procedures established by the City Council. The following meeting guidelines are derived from the City Council Rules of Decorum.

Members of the Audience – No person in the audience shall engage in disorderly or disruptive conduct such as audible commentary during a meeting, hand clapping, stamping of feet, whistling, using profane language, yelling and similar demonstrations, which conduct disturbs the peace and good order of the meeting.

Public Comment– Comments from the public will be accepted on any matter, whether on the agenda or not. Comments will not be accepted during specific agenda items unless a Public Hearing has been noticed. Please remember to be courteous and respectful and abide by the following guidelines:

- Sign-in prior to the start of the meeting
- Step up to the microphone when recognized by the Presiding Officer (Chair or Vice-Chair)
- State your name and address for the record
- State the subject to be discussed
- Limit comments to 4 minutes
- Address comments to the board as a whole, not any specific member
- No question may be asked of a board member or staff member without the permission of the Presiding Officer (Chair or Vice-Chair)
- Elect a spokesperson for a group of persons who wish to address the board on the same subject

Public Hearing – A public hearing is a separate item of business on the agenda. It gives the public an opportunity to comment on the topic identified. Please remember to be courteous and respectful and abide by the guidelines outlined for public comment (although no sign-in required). Typically, a public hearing proceeds as follows:

1. The Presiding Officer (Chair or Vice-Chair) will announce the agenda item and staff will present their report.
2. Board members have the opportunity to ask staff questions about the item.
3. The Presiding Officer (Chair or Vice-Chair) opens the public hearing and will recognize those who want to speak.
4. The Presiding Officer (Chair or Vice-Chair) shall close the public hearing.
5. The Board will then discuss the item. No further public comments are allowed.
6. The Board will make a recommendation and/or decision.

After a motion has been made or a public hearing has been closed, no member of the public shall address the board from the audience on the matter under consideration. The Presiding Officer (Chair or Vice-Chair) shall maintain strict order and etiquette at all meetings.

**CITY OF LINO LAKES
ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD MEETING**

DATE:	October 29, 2025
TIME STARTED:	6:30 p.m.
TIME ENDED:	7:17 p.m.
MEMBERS PRESENT:	Alex Schwartz, Julia Nelson, Lindsay Buchmeier, Mary Jo Stevenson, Shawn Holmes
MEMBERS ABSENT:	Jonathan Parsons, Elizabeth Larkin
STAFF PRESENT:	Thomas Hoffman, Jennifer Alderink

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Chair Schwartz called the Environmental meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. on October 29, 2025.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

Chair Schwartz declared open mike at 6:31 p.m.

Stephanie Fakers at 154 Pine Street had a public comment regarding the Pulte Homes concept plan. Ms. Fakers property backs up to the western and southern edges of the proposed Del Webb-Pulte Homes development. She noted that she has lived on her current property or the property next to hers for over 30 years and has used the land for residential and agriculture with animal husbandry. Because of the proposed 50-foot adjacent lots to her property that back up to her horse pasture fence, she has a liability concern regarding her horses and how people will interact with them. She mentioned that it could also change the usability of the land in the same way they've been using it.

Ms. Fakers also noted that she was concerned about the valuation of her property as Del Webb-Pulte is proposed to be developed out of the floodplain and what the impacts are for them and for a possible future sale.

Chair Schwartz closed public comments at 6:34 p.m.

4. SETTING THE AGENDA: Addition or Deletion of Agenda Items

There was some confusion as to whether the board needed to set the agenda, so setting the agenda was not done at this time.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chair Schwartz took a motion to set the minutes. Ms. Nelson motioned to approve the September 24, 2025 meeting minutes. Motion was seconded by Ms. Holmes. Motion carried 5 – 0.

6. ACTION ITEMS

A. Del Webb-Pulte Homes PUD Concept Plan

The applicant, Pulte Homes of MN LLC, is proposing a master planned development located on the North side of Main Street (CSAH 14), East of Sunset Ave NE (County Road 53), and South of Pine Street. The proposed development is bordered by Blaine to the west and south of Columbus. The development contains approximately 259.2 gross acres and consists of mix of residential housing and residential/commercial. Including Del Webb Brand homes (455), Pulte townhomes (106), and Pulte single-family homes (174). The proposed development is called Del Webb-Pulte Homes.

Tom Hoffman, City of Lino Lakes Environmental Coordinator, presented the Del Webb-Pulte Homes PUD Concept Plan and was available for questions.

Paul Heuer, Representative for Pulte Group, presented the Del Webb-Pulte Homes PUD Concept Plan and was available for questions.

Ms. Holmes inquired as to what phase one of the project will be.

Mr. Heuer said that in phase one, homes from all levels of this project would be for sale. He said that the first phase would include the amenity center of Del Webb and the arrival drive. They will start in the south portion of the development and work their way north.

Ms. Nelson asked if what Mr. Heuer described as the “Peterson Property” is the same as the “Robinson Property.”

Mr. Heuer said that there is roughly 20 acres of Peterson property, and the rest is three different Robinson properties.

Ms. Nelson inquired if the Del Webb-Pulte Homes covers all of the northwest sod farms, or only part of the northwest sod farms.

Mr. Hoffman clarified that there are more sod farms to the east along Main Street, so Del Webb-Pulte would cover about half of the sod farms.

Ms. Nelson inquired about the pond being built in the development.

Mr. Hoffman stated that the pond will be private and part of the amenity set of Del Webb-Pulte. He did note that it is a possibility that some of the other water features through the development may have recreation opportunities, but they are still working through that. Mr. Hoffman also said that a lot of the land for the development will have to be over excavated for the fill and floodplain storage, so the opportunity to have some recreational or passive use along those corridors is likely with the final design.

Ms. Nelson noted that if the ponds being constructed can be kept pristine and attract wildlife, that would be a huge selling point.

Mr. Heuer said that some of the ponds will be larger than others, so the larger ones will most likely be deeper and can attract a variety of different wildlife and plant species.

Ms. Nelson asked if there is anything that the Del Webb-Pulte group can do to mitigate some fears of the neighbors that have livestock on the north side.

Mr. Heuer said that he would start by having a conversation with the resident that came to speak at the board meeting today.

Ms. Nelson asked the median price for the senior homes.

Mr. Heuer noted that prices can fluctuate, but currently, the smallest homes are \$450,000 to \$500,000. The largest homes are about \$800,000-\$900,000.

Ms. Nelson asked about the HOA fees.

Mr. Heuer said the HOA fees would be around \$300 a month.

Chairman Schwartz said that the City spent a lot of time and resources going through the AUAR process, as well as the master planning. He noted that there is a piece of an

island to the north on the map that was left out. Chairman Schwartz is inquiring why this piece was not included and asked Mr. Heuer why Del Webb-Pulte chose the land that they did.

Mr. Heuer said that it was a matter of what land was for sale. Peterson's reached out to see if The Pulte Group wanted to buy their land as well, so the Peterson land was also purchased. One of the other neighboring properties called The Pulte Group recently inquiring about more land for sale, so The Pulte Group is in conversation with them as well. Mr. Heuer noted that The Pulte Group doesn't want to move forward purchasing more land until they have an approved project.

Chairman Schwartz noted about the densities in the AUAR and inquired as to how Del Webb-Pulte fits into that. He asked if that conforms with the low density or a higher density.

Mr. Heuer said that it is a complicated question, but he believes that they will be at or near the bottom of the range for densities.

Chairman Schwartz spoke about road connectivity, specifically Nature's Refuge North and how it was a huge deal that the City had to make connectivity to the west, north, and east. Chairman Schwartz noted that he's not seeing any connectivity at all on the northern half of the project – especially to Pine Street. The concern is for fire and safety and if the City is going to require connectivity in that area.

Mr. Heuer responded that with this development, they are trying to satisfy both what the residents want and what the City requires. He noted that if they connect to Pine Street, a lot of residents in that area are not going to like that, so they are trying to avoid that. From a safety standpoint, the development has two ways in for each home, except short cul-de-sacs, so they have adequate access from a fire and safety standpoint.

Mr. Hoffman commented that as part of the master plan, there is the potential for a future Pine Street connection with additional development to the east.

Chairman Schwartz noted that it looks like the buffer on the east side of the development was more narrow or closer to the parcel line as compared to the west portion. Because of the neighbors with livestock being on the east side, he asked if the development is able to shift the buffer over so they could truncate the western buffer and expand the eastern buffer.

Mr. Heuer said that they do not have a lot of room for flexibility there, but they do have a little buffer where possible. There is potential for them to shift the buffer a little bit east to try and maximize the buffer to the west but wanted to make sure they are also keeping it fair for people on the east.

Mr. Hoffman made the comment that it looks like there is a lot less buffer on the east side, primarily going along Main Street. He noted that they're pushing up against those property lines, so that buffer and greenway will be put in and installed with the next phase, at least on the other Robinson parcels, those existing smaller or single-family residence to the north. He goes on to say that there is not as much that can be done there, but it does show a much larger greenway corridor coming from that roundabout up through there on the Robinsons side.

Chairman Schwartz asked if there are any proposed trails. He didn't hear anything as it relates to the southwest to northeast greenway corridor through the southern portion of the development.

Mr. Hoffman said that in the agenda packet on the conceptual land plan, it shows both the public trail and the private trail system. The private trail will start from the roundabout through the planned residential and commercial area, which follows the grand entrance and water corridor to the public park. The public trail will go from the second roundabout extending to the north. There will also be private trails through the Del Webb community going around the pond and exiting onto those streets. There would be a public sidewalk throughout there as well.

Ms. Buchmeier asked if the Del Webb development will be a gated community.

Mr. Heuer responded that the Del Webb development will not be a gated community. He notes that gated communities are not common here.

Ms. Buchmeier asked if the two other Del Webb-Pulte developments in the Twin Cities have the same "cycle of life" communities like this development is proposing.

Mr. Heuer said that the development in Chaska is Del Webb only. The one in Corcoran on the border with Maple Grove does have some single-family Pulte homes being built immediately adjacent to the Del Webb community.

Ms. Buchmeier asked Mr. Heuer if he sees the combination of the Del Webb-Pulte communities with the high-density homes being successful.

Mr. Heuer stated that these types of communities are successful. The Pulte Group builds these types of developments all over the country. They have also learned the hard way of what not to do and will not be doing those things in Lino Lakes.

Ms. Buchmeier asked about the parcel to the north and what The Pulte Group plans on doing with it if they acquire it. She wanted to know if the roads would just shift and what it would look like.

Mr. Heuer said that they don't have a plan at this point to acquire the parcel to the north. If they were to acquire that property, they would readjust the roadway to fill those properties. They also recognize that if they're not buying those properties, sewer and water will have to be extended to them as well.

Ms. Buchmeier asked Mr. Hoffman if the City has codes on fire and rescue as it pertains to these developments.

Mr. Hoffman responded that the City is working with police and fire to review the plans to make sure we know how long the cul-de-sacs need to be so they have their turning radius. Ms. Larsen, the City Planner, will have more information and recommendations at the Planning and Zoning meeting. As of right now, there was no concern with access. There are two entries in and out of the development, so in the case of an accident or road work, the development can be serviced from the other side.

Ms. Buchmeier asked if any of the recommendations from the Planning and Zoning meeting will need to be added to the Environmental Board recommendations or will the Planning and Zoning board take care of it.

Mr. Hoffman responded that the recommendations will be included with the City engineer and public safety reports, so the Environmental Board does not have to include theirs.

Ms. Holmes asked if residents would need to pay for flood insurance since the development is bringing in fill to elevate. She also inquired if there is going to be more runoff going into some of the properties that are bordering the new development.

Mr. Hoffman stated that as a part of the stormwater requirements, you must either meet or reduce the existing water leaving the site. There is testing and requirements done to the site to meet or reduce the existing water levels. There can sometimes be challenging circumstances, but overall, raising the elevation will most likely decrease the amount of water going elsewhere.

Ms. Holmes encouraged transparency and communication between the builder and the neighboring residence once construction starts to alleviate any fears about flooding.

Mr. Heuer noted that there is one minor correction; they do not plan on bringing in dirt offsite to elevate the development. They plan to excavate the ponds, and that is where the dirt will come from to raise the homes above the floodplain.

Chairman Schwartz asked Mr. Hoffman if he believes the amount of open water from storm runoff is realistic on the site, knowing it's a majority in the flood plain, or does he think there is going to be an additional need for more storm water runoff areas.

Mr. Hoffman said that it is challenging to know for sure until they get more modeling and calculations. A lot of the answers will depend on dead and live storage, as well as volume. There may be some adjusting on the size of the different ponds and the layout of them to get those calculations, but generally, with the different land use and housing types, those lakes should be able to catch and treat the water that's needed.

Mr. Heuer noted that the final plan may end up with more or less ponding and lots. The current site plan isn't the concept plan at this stage, so after the hard engineering is done, they'll find out exactly how much ponding is needed and make adjustments.

Ms. Holmes asked if the Environmental Board would see this development back on the agenda.

Mr. Heuer confirmed that the Environmental Board will see this plan again, and that the unofficial feedback helps The Pulte Group decide whether to spend a lot of money to move forward to the next step of the preliminary PUD or not.

Chairman Schwartz asked Mr. Hoffman about the lighting terms for this proposed Del Webb-Pulte development and if the terms all refer to the same things as previous board discussions on lighting mitigation.

Mr. Hoffman confirmed it all falls under that concept of dark sky and light reduction. This plan not only covers light pollution, but how to keep light within the development and not go onto neighboring properties.

Ms. Nelson asked about noise mitigation and how to keep the noise from Lake Drive to a minimum.

Mr. Hoffman said there is a lot that can be done about noise mitigation based on some sound studies that have been done. Mr. Hoffman mentioned berming and landscaping

on top of that berm as a way to help reduce the noise. He also noted that noise reduction can come from the building products themselves.

Mr. Heuer mentioned that the house distance from Main Street will also be a positive advantage.

Chairman Schwartz makes a motion to approve the Del Webb-Pulte PUD concept plan to the next stage. Ms. Holmes motions to approve. Ms. Nelson seconds the motion. Motion passes 5-0.

7. DISCUSSION ITEMS

A. Heron Rookery Event Update

Mr. Hoffman presented about the tree planting event with Anoka County on Peltier Lake and was available for questions.

Ms. Holmes asked what size the trees were that were planted.

Mr. Hoffman noted that the trees were 3'-5' in height. They planted multiple species, but primarily red elm because it mimics the same branch pattern as the ash trees.

Chairman Schwartz asked if there is any benefit to going to the DNR to get a few 100 of the small seedlings and planting those in the spring.

Mr. Hoffman noted that he wants to do a few 1000 trees because we can get them for cheap. The problem is that the trees come in the spring when the birds are coming back to nest, and are very susceptible to human activity.

Ms. Holmes commented that you could heel in trees in the spring and then plant them in the fall.

Mr. Hoffman said they have talked about making a gravel bed of bare root trees and having them available for public consumption in the fall.

Ms. Holmes noted that the compost site is rich in nutrients, so that could be a potential spot to heel those trees in, but the issue there is the availability of irrigation.

Mr. Hoffman said that the other problem with compost is that it gets hot, so if the trees were to be heeled in, it would have to be sand or gravel media so it can drain, which also encourages more of the fibrous roots.

Chairman Schwartz and Mr. Hoffman talked about alternate ideas for heeling the trees in until they can be planted in the fall. They will look into some options for future planting.

8. ADJOURN

Chairman Schwartz took a motion to adjourn. Ms. Buchmeier motioned to adjourn the meeting at 7:17 p.m. Chairman Schwartz seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Respectfully submitted,
Jennifer Alderink – Office Specialist

DRAFT

ENVIRONMENTAL BOARD ITEM 6A

STAFF ORIGINATOR: Tom Hoffman, Environmental Coordinator

DATE: November 25, 2025

REQUEST: Motion on Annual Work Plan and Ongoing Tasks List for 2026

BACKGROUND

The Environmental Board serves to provide aid and advice to the Council in its efforts to encourage productive and enjoyable harmony between people and their environment; to promote efforts which will prevent or eliminate damage to the environment and stimulate the health and welfare of people; to enrich the understanding of the ecological systems and natural resources important to the city; to advise the city in the use and management of its natural resources in order to preserve and enhance the environmental amenities for present and future residents, and to improve and ensure a lasting quality of life within the city. (City Code Chapter 217.01)

Each year, the Environmental Board prepares an annual work plan that will be presented to the City Council for approval. This plan includes a list of initiatives concerning current environmental issues in the major areas of focus of the Environmental Board, including forestry, natural resources, water, and solid waste management.

Staff has also prepared a list of ongoing tasks to highlight the distinction between the Annual Work Plan and standard operating procedures/ongoing tasks.

Recommendation

Staff is seeking Environmental Board comments and approval of the Annual Work Plan for 2026

ATTACHMENTS

1. Environmental Board Annual Work Plan 2026
2. Environmental Board Annual Ongoing Tasks 2026

Environmental Board Annual Work Plan 2025

General

1. Coordinate with Wargo Nature Center and Anoka County for the Earth Day event. Look for opportunities to expand the event along with volunteer opportunities for park and pond clean up.
 - a. Promote volunteer events to residents and youth groups. From stormwater stenciling, forestry, invasive species, and park clean up events.
2. Coordinate workshops on water conservation, alternative lawn cover types, and native plants on the city website and social media platforms.

Wildlife.

1. Promote the use of native plants to benefit pollinators and other organisms. Use existing native seed banks when possible for establishing native landscapes.
2. Work to improve existing natural areas on City land through restoration efforts with City and volunteer staff.
 - a. Complete additional maintenance work on Wollan's Wetland to preserve high-quality habitat and promote endangered species growth.
3. Support the heron rookery on Peltier Island by completing tree planting events, annual nest surveys, maintenance to flashing, and predator removal.

Forestry

1. Continue implementation of the City's emerald ash borer response. This includes removal of boulevard trees and replacement with a diverse mix of species, a partnership with Rainbow Tree Care to offer a discount rate on private tree injections, and continued removals of ash trees in natural areas and parks.
2. Promote additional information throughout the spring and summer on oak wilt prevention and resources to residents and oak wilt continues to be an issue in the City.
3. Promote tree planting through the City tree sale and Anoka Conservation District seedling sale.
 - a. Look into establishing a City gravel bed for seedling trees to be available for both restoration and available to residents.

Natural Resources

1. Review proposed changes and updates to the landscaping and tree preservation ordinance.
2. Develop maintenance guidelines for city-maintained parcels and stormwater ponds. Enhancing the habitat that exists while promoting regeneration and native species.

3. Promote and encourage invasive species removal projects throughout city parks and open space. Organize buckthorn removal events.
4. Look into opportunities to provide funding or technical assistance with conversion of turf grass to native landscapes. Promote VLAWMO's grant program for restoring lawns to legumes.
5. Create GIS conservation easement layer for city mapping systems and monitoring areas that are protected under the easement.

Water

1. Continue to improve educational outreach materials on water conservation, both on the city website and in newsletters. Continue the Smart Irrigation Controller Program. Promote the Drive for 75 water conservation initiative.
 - a. Establish incentives for larger appliances for water conservation outside of the Smart Irrigation Controller Program.
2. Increase outreach on smart chloride use (deicing salt) use for both residents and commercial properties.

Recycling/Solid Waste

1. Identify ways to increase recycling tonnage. This may include expansion of the organics program, addition of programs to accept materials not currently included in city programs, and coupons for items dropped at Recycling Saturdays.
2. Identify opportunities to improve organic drop-off program based on results of the organics survey that was sent to allow residents that are signed up for the program.
 - a. Look for opportunities to expand drop-off locations and work with the county on possible additional locations.

Environmental Board Ongoing Tasks List 2025

General

1. Promote environmental stewardship through participation in the Earth Day event held at Wargo Nature Center, Blue Heron Days, and other City and local events.
 - a. Expand information at Earth Day to include a volunteer event.

Wildlife

1. Continue to support wildlife through promotion of native plants; promoting the Greenway System and connectivity of habitat; utilizing Natural Heritage Information System to identify protection measures specific to rare, threatened, endangered, and special concern species; restore existing natural areas on City land with the aid of City and volunteer staff; and minimizing habitat impacts where feasible.
2. Monitor the heron rookery on Peltier Lake. Collaborate with volunteers and Anoka County on work to benefit the herons, including flashing trees, performing an annual nest count, managing invasive species such as buckthorn, and planting trees to replace the many ash trees on the island that will succumb to emerald ash borer.

Forestry

1. Continue to enhance the urban forest through education and outreach, participation in the Tree City USA program, boulevard tree management, and inventory updates, diseased tree management, and the City tree sale.
2. Look into opportunities to help promote additional tree planting both on public and private lands. Look into establishing a city gravel bed for raising nursery stock to make available to residents.

Natural Resources

1. Perform maintenance on conservation easements and wetland bank areas as time and budget permit.
 - a. Continue to do additional maintenance on Wollan's wetland to reduce the reed canary grass and promote native vegetation.

Water

1. Collaborate with Rice Creek Watershed District, Vadnais Lake Area Watershed Management Organization, and other agencies to promote water conservation, water quality improvements/protections, and native plantings through outreach materials, workshops, and webinars.

2. Distribute outreach and education materials on water conservation, water quality, and stormwater management.
3. Continue to protect water resources through stormwater management, ensuring construction site compliance, and stormwater pollution protection plan reviews.

Recycling/Solid Waste Management

1. Participate in and promote monthly Recycling Saturday events.
2. Strive to achieve recycling tonnage goals.
3. Monitor organics sites to determine service levels and potential program expansion needs.
4. Continue to distribute outreach materials related to recycling and organics in collaboration with Anoka County.
5. Collaborate with Anoka County to participate in new events and promotions to help find streams for hard to dispose of materials.