

**CITY OF LAKE ELMO
COUNTY OF
WASHINGTON STATE OF
MINNESOTA**


RESOLUTION NO. 2022-051

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PARTICIPATION IN THE STATE
PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT PROGRAM AND REPORTING RESULTS
FOR 2021**

- WHEREAS,** In 2010, the Minnesota Legislature created the Council on Local Results and Innovation; and
- WHEREAS,** The Council on Local Results and Innovation developed a standard set of performance measures that will aid residents, taxpayers, and state and local elected officials in determining the efficacy of counties in providing services and measure residents' opinion of those services; and
- WHEREAS,** Benefits to the City of Lake Elmo are outlined in MS 6.91 and include eligibility for a reimbursement as set by State statute; and
- WHEREAS,** Any city/county participating in the comprehensive performance measurement program is also exempt from levy limits for taxes, if levy limits are in effect; and
- WHEREAS,** The City Council of Lake Elmo has adopted and implemented at least 10 of the performance measures, as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation, and a system to use this information to help plan, budget, manage and evaluate programs and processes for optimal future outcomes; and
- NOW THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED THAT,** The City Council of Lake Elmo will report the results of the performance measures to its citizenry by the end of the year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the city's/county's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, The City Council of Lake Elmo will submit to the Office of the State Auditor the actual results of the performance measures adopted by the county/city.

Dated: May 17, 2022.



Charles Cadenhead, Mayor

ATTEST:



Julie Johnson, City Clerk

(SEAL)



City of Lake Elmo Performance Measure Results-2021

The City of Lake Elmo has chosen to participate in a standard measure program through the State of Minnesota. The following reports on the most current information obtained regarding at least 10 performance measures selected by the city as approved by the state.

General:

Rating of the overall quality of services provided by your city:

Excellent	17%	(9)
Good	60%	(31)
Fair	19%	(10)
Poor	4%	(2)

Percent change in the taxable property market value: 5.71%

Citizens' rating of the overall appearance of the city:

Excellent	15%	(8)
Good	56%	(29)
Fair	19%	(10)
Poor	10%	(5)

Bond Rating: Aa1

Citizens' rating of the quality of city recreational programs and facilities:

Excellent	8%	(4)
Good	37%	(19)
Fair	44%	(23)
Poor	12%	(6)

Police Services:

Group A and B Crime Rates: 409 and 256

Citizens' rating of safety in their community:

Very Safe	35%	(18)
Somewhat Safe	37%	(19)
Neither Safe nor Unsafe	21%	(11)
Somewhat Safe	6%	(3)
Very Unsafe	2%	(1)

Fire:

Insurance Industry Rating of Fire Services: 5

Average Fire Response Time: 9 minutes 21 seconds

Fire Calls per 1,000 population: 2.03

Citizens' rating of the quality of fire protection services:

Excellent	50%	(26)
Good	38%	(20)
Fair	10%	(5)
Poor	2%	(1)

Streets:

Average city street pavement condition rating: 79/100

Expenditures for road rehabilitation per paved lane mile rehabilitated (jurisdictional roads only): \$599,745

Percentage of all jurisdiction lane miles rehabilitated in the year: 9.2%

Citizens' rating of the road conditions in their city:

Good Condition	31%	(16)
Mostly Good Condition	40%	(21)
Neither Good nor Bad Condition	17%	(9)
Mostly Bad Condition	12%	(6)
Very Bad Condition	0%	(0)

Average hours to complete road system during snow event: 6.5

Citizens rating of the quality of snowplowing on city streets:

Excellent	40%	(21)
Good	44%	(23)
Fair	13%	(7)
Poor	2%	(1)

Water:

Citizens' rating of the dependability and quality of the city water supply:

Excellent	21%	(11)
Good	40%	(21)
Fair	23%	(12)
Poor	15%	(8)

Operating costs per 1,000,000 gallons of water pumped: \$1,712.21

Sewer:

Citizens' rating of the dependability and quality of the city sanitary sewer service:

Excellent	29%	(15)
Good	46%	(24)
Fair	19%	(10)
Poor	6%	(3)

Number of sewer blockages on city system per 1,000 connections: 0