CITY OF LAKE ELMO COUNTY OF WASHINGTON STATE OF MINNESOTA

RESOLUTION NO. 2023-059

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PARTICIPATION IN THE STATE PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT PROGRAM AND REPORTING RESULTS FOR 2022

- **WHEREAS**, In 2010, the Minnesota Legislature created the Council on Local Results and Innovation; and
- WHEREAS, The Council on Local Results and Innovation developed a standard set of performance measures that will aid residents, taxpayers, and state and local elected officials in determining the efficacy of counties in providing services and measure residents' opinion of those services; and
- **WHEREAS,** Benefits to the City of Lake Elmo are outlined in MS 6.91 and include eligibility for a reimbursement as set by State statute; and
- WHEREAS, Any city/county participating in the comprehensive performance measurement program is also exempt from levy limits for taxes, if levy limits are in effect; and
- WHEREAS, The City Council of Lake Elmo has adopted and implemented at least10 of the performance measures shown on Exhibit A, as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation, and a system to use this information to help plan, budget, manage and evaluate programs and processes for optimal future outcomes; and
- **NOW THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED THAT,** The City Council of Lake Elmo will report the results of the performance measures to its citizenry by the end of the year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the city's/county's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, The City Council of Lake Elmo will submit to the Office of the State Auditor the actual results of the performance measures adopted by the county/city.

Dated: June 6, 2023.

Charles Cadenhead, Mayor

ATTEST:

Julie Johnson, City Clerk

(SEAL)



EXHIBIT A

City of Lake Elmo Performance Measure Results-2022

The City of Lake Elmo has chosen to participate in a standard measure program through the State of Minnesota. The following reports on the most current information obtained regarding at least 10 performance measures selected by the city as approved by the state.

General:

Rating of the overall quality of services provided by your city:

 Excellent
 12% (13)

 Good
 52% (57)

 Fair
 33% (36)

 Poor
 4% (4)

Percent change in the taxable property market value: 11.03%

Citizens' rating of the overall appearance of the city:

Excellent 14% (15)
Good 50% (55)
Fair 29% (32)
Poor 7% (8)

Bond Rating: Aa1

Citizens' rating of the quality of city recreational programs and facilities:

Excellent 5% (5)
Good 44% (48)
Fair 36% (40)
Poor 15% (17)

Police Services:

Group A and B Crime Rates: 248 and 111 Citizens' rating of safety in their community:

Very Safe 47% (52)
Somewhat Safe 33% (36)
Neither Safe nor Unsafe 12% (13)
Somewhat Safe 8% (9)
Very Unsafe 0% (0)

Fire:

Insurance Industry Rating of Fire Services: 5

Average Fire Response Time: 7 minutes 53 seconds

Fire Calls per 1,000 population: 2.53

Citizens' rating of the quality of fire protection services:

Excellent 37% (41)
Good 55% (60)
Fair 7% (8)
Poor 1% (1)

Streets:

Average city street pavement condition rating: 81/100

Expenditures for road rehabilitation per paved lane mile rehabilitated (jurisdictional roads only): \$616,555

Percentage of all jurisdiction lane miles rehabilitated in the year: 17.6%

Citizens' rating of the road conditions in their city:

Good Condition6%(7)Mostly Good Condition53%(58)Neither Good nor Bad Condition20%(22)Mostly Bad Condition18%(20)Very Bad Condition3%(3)

Average hours to complete road system during snow event: **6.85** Citizens rating of the quality of snowplowing on city streets:

 Excellent
 25%
 (27)

 Good
 46%
 (51)

 Fair
 19%
 (21)

 Poor
 10%
 (11)

Water:

Citizens' rating of the dependability and quality of the city water supply:

 Excellent
 15%
 (17)

 Good
 35%
 (38)

 Fair
 25%
 (27)

 Poor
 25%
 (28)

Operating costs per 1,000,000 gallons of water pumped: \$7,315 w/depreciation

Sewer:

Citizens' rating of the dependability and quality of the city sanitary sewer service:

 Excellent
 22%
 (24)

 Good
 57%
 (63)

 Fair
 15%
 (16)

 Poor
 6%
 (7)

Number of sewer blockages on city system per 1,000 connections: 1