

Meeting of Monday, February 22, 1971.

Meeting opened at 7:40 p.m. by Chairman Wm. Lundquist.

Members present: W. Lundquist, B. Folz, T. Torseth, E. Tomljanovich, D. Boorman, Ed. LeClair, and M. Eder.

" absent: J. Evert and L. Kirvida

Others present: Ben Friedrich

Bruce Folz moved and E. Tomljanovich second, that the minutes of the February 9th., meeting be approved as written.

Architects Olson and Lambert of Design Integral, St. Paul, representing Mr. & Mrs. Conrad Adams.

Mr. Adams present also. (reference Sept. 8, 1970 Minutes).

A presentation of a "sketch plan" by architects of a proposed Restaurant (Family and carry-out service) and longer range Shopping Mall for the former Schiltgen property, just west of the Village business area. East boundary, the ends of 3rd, 4th, and 5th street and the West boundary being a straight line South of the intersection of County road 17 and 212. The proposed shopping mall would extend West from the present 4th., street.

Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

1. Property owners present their proposed "sketch plan", to the school board asking for an opinion on the proposed location of a restaurant directly across highway 212 from the Elementary School.
2. Other problems which require professional opinion on solutions before additional consideration should occur by the Planning Commission.
 - water run off drainage plan
 - sewer plan
 - road plan
 - statement of anticipated "phase development" of the land area with a time reference.

Roger Nelson -- 2913 North Lake Blvd., North St. Paul, Minn. --725-7434.

Requested a building permit at December 23, 1969 East Oakdale Planning Commission Meeting.

Question: Is lot still adequate for building?

The Planning Commission does not feel any problem exists other than consideration of locating sewage system and the need for percolation tests.

Lake Jane Hills.

Question: Request approval of preliminary plan for Lake Jane Hills (section 9).

Motion by M. Eder second by B. Folz that the Preliminary Plan be denied because in the opinion of the Planning Commission, Viz:

1. We recognize the design of this preliminary plan as being a conventional subdivision design and it does not comply with the one acre minimum lot size. -- Refer to exhibit A.

Carried -- 6 aye with E. Tomljanovich abstaining from voting due to a possible conflict of interest.

Motion by T. Torseth second by E. Tomljanovich to adjourn at 10:50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
David Boorman, Secretary.