

A G E N D A

PLANNING-ZONING COMMISSION
CITY OF LAKE ELMO, MINN. 55042

BRIEF MEETING TO BE HELD MONDAY, JANUARY 6, 1975.

7:30 P.M. -- Howard Springborn

Permission for temporary sign for
Green Acres Recreation area at
8709 Demontreville Rd.

8:00 P.M. -- PZC members to meet, relating to their
attending the Council meeting on
Tuesday, Jan. 7, 1975.

Please note: --- Development and planning program to
be scheduled for 8:30 P.M., on Council
Agenda for January 7, 1975.

Dave Licht from Midwest Planning will
be in attendance.

CITY OF LAKE ELMO

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF JANUARY ⁶ 3, 1975

Meeting called to order at 7:35 P.M. by Chairman Lundquist.

PRESENT: Lundquist, Williams, Dreher, Sovereign and Armstrong.
Also present: Lyons

Springborn: Howard Springborn request the PZC approval on the erection of a temporary directional sign on the SE corner of 13 and 36. This sign would be for his recreational area. Springborn indicated that because his was a new business people were having difficulty finding it.

MOTION: by Sovereign to allow Springborn to erect the sign at the intersection for this season only, the permission would have to be renewed each season.

Second: Dreher

Williams stated that the PZC policy is to prevent further signs from being placed on 36 and 12.

Sovereign indicated that this was the first year of operation, the sign was temporary, it was directional and the recreation area was very close to the sign. Springborn brought part of the sign to display.

VOTE: Motion carried: For: Lundquist, Dreher, Sovereign
Armstrong. Against: Williams

Goals Discussion: A discussion was had concerning the use to which a possible Federal grant under the Community Development Act could be put. The Goals List, copy attached was formulated, and general agreement given that the first five items were of most importance. Some of the items listed would be completed by agencies, groups or the PZC and would be done without the Federal grant, but the consensus was that the list contained the needed projects and should be used as input into any decisions on the Federal grant and its use.

Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 9:05P.M.

Respectfully submitted,


Thomas G. Armstrong

LAKE ELMO PLANNING COMMISSION

GOALS FOR 1975 -

1. Projected population growth timetable.
2. Ultimate sewer plan and staged sewer timetable to match population needs; with a detailed engineering study for sewerage specific areas ie: old Lake Elmo Village and the Tri-Lakes area.
3. Ultimate road plan with surveyed boundaries and a road implementation timetable.
4. Park plan
 - a. development plan for each site.
 - b. development of each site.
 - c. playground and recreational site plan.
5. Ultimate land use plan and a staged timetable.
6. Ultimate and staged plans for:
 - a. Public water needs.
 - b. Public buildings.
 - c. Fire protection.
 - d. Churches.
 - e. Cultural centers.
 - f. Schools.
 - g. Local small commercial centers.
 - h. 12-94 corridor.
7. Development plan for land next to airport.
8. Ground water analysis and storm sewer plan. Plan to keep water from leaving the Village of Lake Elmo. Study of recharge.
9. Study of environmental constraints to land use.



December 31, 1974

MEMORANDUM

TO: Lake Elmo City Council and Planning Commission

FROM: David R. Licht

RE: Community Development Program

Listed below are general criteria which we recommend be utilized in selecting projects to be included in the preliminary application for Title I funds of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974. These criteria have been developed from interpretation of the act itself as well as indications from local area HUD staff and general experience in working grant applications.

The three stated points of evaluation which HUD will utilize in making grants are 1) amount of housing overcrowding, 2) level of poverty in the community and 3) urgency of need. The first two points are totally objective while the third is subjective in nature. In making the application, we will have to stress and document the urgency of community need.

The project or projects selected we feel should generally meet the following conditions and approach:

1. be related to housing
2. be a project(s) which is part of a larger, continuing program
3. be a project(s) which is of a crisis nature or urgently needed
4. be a project(s) for which local or non-local funds are not readily or immediately available
5. be a project(s) which has apparent and visible impact
6. be an application composed of several projects which will allow negotiation and compromise
7. be an application that doesn't take too large of a share of the \$1.4 million available in the Metropolitan Area (it is our understanding that \$125,000 is being suggested as a maximum grant limit)

8. be a project(s) which does not build in unwanted future costs or maintenance for the City and does not build undesirable expectations for future years

The above criteria are of course general and should be weighted by the community as to their importance. We are available to further refine these points as discussion of specific activities is pursued.

cc: Wayne Cox