

FILE COPY

LAUDERDALE CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2000
CITY HALL, 7:30 P.M.

The City Council is meeting as a legislative body to conduct the business of the City according to ROBERT'S RULES OF ORDER AND THE STANDING RULES OF ORDER AND BUSINESS OF THE CITY COUNCIL. Unless so ordered by the Mayor, citizen participation is limited to the times indicated and always within the prescribed rules of conduct for public input at meetings.

1. **CALL MEETING TO ORDER AT 7:30 P. M.**
2. **ROLL:**

Councilmembers:

Gower _____

Christensen _____

Hawkinson _____

Gill-Gerbig _____

Mayor Dains _____

Staff:

Adm. Rick Getschow _____

3. **APPROVAL**

- A. Approval of agenda
- B. Approval of the minutes of the 8/22/00 City Council Meeting and the minutes of the 8/22/00 Special City Council meeting
- C. Approval of claims totaling \$29,951.17

4. **OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA**

Any member of the public may speak at this time on any item NOT on the agenda. In consideration of the public attending the meeting for specific items on the agenda, this portion of the meeting will be limited to fifteen (15) minutes. Individuals are requested to limit their comments to four (4) minutes or less. If the majority of the Council determines that additional time on a specific issue is warranted, then discussion on that issue shall be continued under Additional Items at the end of the agenda. Before addressing the City Council, members of the public are asked to step up to the microphone, give their name, address and state the subject to be discussed. All remarks shall be addressed to the Council as a whole and not to any member thereof. No person other than members of the Council and the person having the floor shall be permitted to enter any discussion without permission of the presiding officer. Your participation, as prescribed by the Council's ROBERT'S RULES OF ORDER AND THE STANDING RULES OF ORDER AND BUSINESS OF THE CITY COUNCIL, is welcomed and your cooperation is greatly appreciated.

5. **CONSENT**
6. **SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS/ RECOGNITIONS/ PROCLAMATIONS/
CITIZEN'S ADDRESSING THE 2000 STREET AND UTILITY
IMPROVEMENTS**
7. **EXECUTIVE SESSION RELATING TO ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGE TO
DISCUSS PENDING LITIGATION AGAINST THE CITY OF LAUDERDALE**
8. **INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATIONS**

A. 2000 Street and Utility Improvements Update *(no memorandum)*

9. **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

Public hearings are conducted so that the public affected by a proposal may have input into the decision. During hearings, all affected residents will be given an opportunity to speak pursuant to the ROBERT'S RULES OF ORDER AND THE STANDING RULES OF ORDER AND BUSINESS OF THE CITY COUNCIL.

10. **ACTION**

- A. Approval of Resolution 091200A: Resolution Approving the Lauderdale Preliminary 2001 Tax Levy
- B. Establishment of 2001 Truth-in-Taxation (TNT) Public Hearing Date
- C. Resolution 091200B: LMC Building Quality Communities

11. **REPORTS**

12. **DISCUSSION**

- A. 2001 Budget Discussion
- B. Zoning Ordinance – Chapters 17-18

13. **ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA**

14. **ADDITIONAL ITEMS**

15. **SET AGENDA FOR NEXT MEETING**

16. **ADJOURNMENT**

**Lauderdale City Council
Meeting Minutes
August 22, 2000**

1. The meeting was called to order at 7:30 P.M.

2. ROLL

Council present: Gill-Gerbig, Gower, Christensen, Hawkinson, and
Mayor Dains

Staff present: City Administrator Getschow, Adm. Analyst Bownik, and
Deputy Clerk Goyette

3. APPROVAL

A. Approval of Agenda. Motion by Hawkinson, second by Christensen to approve the agenda. Roll: Yes: all. Motion carried.

B. Approval of Minutes. Motion by Gower, second by Gill-Gerbig to approve the minutes of the August 8, 2000 City Council meeting. Roll: Yes: all. Motion carried.

C. Approval of Claims totaling \$ 77,831.93. Motion by Hawkinson, second by Gill-Gerbig to approve the claims totaling \$77,831.93. Roll: Yes: all. Motion carried.

4. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

5. CONSENT

6. SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS/RECOGNITIONS/PROCLAMATIONS/
CITIZEN'S ADDRESSING THE 2000 STREET AND UTILITY
IMPROVEMENTS

7. INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATIONS

8. PUBLIC HEARINGS

A. *Height Variance for 1605 Eustis Street.* Administrative Analyst James Bownik stated that the Children's Home Society, 1605 Eustis Street, is applying for a height variance for the construction of a new building on their site at 1605 Eustis Street. The current height regulation in a B-1 District is 35 feet. Children's Home Society is requesting a building height of 46 feet, as indicated on the application.

The Mayor opened the public hearing at 7:36 p.m.

Wayne Sisel, Plan Commission Chair, asked for clarification on the height variance that is being requested. The height request on the application (46 feet) is different than the height request on the plans (43 feet). John Tietz, of the consulting firm Nelson, Tietz and Hoyer, stated that the variance request is for a 43 foot building height, as indicated on the plans and drawings.

The Mayor closed the public hearing at 7:39 p.m.

9. ACTION

A. *Height Variance for 1605 Eustis Street.* John Tietz addressed the variance request. He stated that the need to exceed the height limitation is based on constructing a building that is residential in nature with a pitched style roof. The three story building also fits best on a site that contains mature oak trees that the applicant would like to retain, along with the site possessing a retention pond that utilizes lot space. Overall, the applicant feels that a smaller, but taller building footprint will minimize lot coverage and impervious surface and increase the overall usability of the site.

Council member Gower asked for clarification on how the elevation changes on Eustis Street impact the proposed height of the building and the other buildings adjacent to the Children's Home Society site on Eustis Street.

The Mayor asked for information on the parking and traffic impacts of the new building.

Plan Commission member Charles Smith questioned the status and condition of the sanitary sewer lines in the area.

Motion by Christensen, second by Gill-Gerbig to grant an 8-foot height variance, from 35 feet to 43 feet, to the Children's Home Society with the rationale that the that a smaller, but taller building footprint will minimize lot coverage and impervious surface and increase the overall usability of the site. Roll: Yes: all. Motion carried.

B. Approval of the 2nd Quarter Financial and Investment Report. Motion by Hawkinson, second by Gower to approve the 2nd Quarter Financial and Investment Report. Roll: Yes: all. Motion carried.

C. Lauderdale Web Site Presentation. Deputy Clerk Goyette gave a presentation to the City Council on the planned City of Lauderdale web site.

10. REPORTS

11. DISCUSSION

A. 2001 Budget. The City Council continued to discuss the 2001 preliminary budget in anticipation of the approval of the preliminary levy on September 12, 2000.

B. Draft Zoning Ordinance. The City Council discussed Chapters 15 and 16 of the draft zoning ordinance with the City Administrator.

12. ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA

13. ADDITIONAL ITEMS

14. SET AGENDA FOR NEXT MEETING

1. 2000 Street and Utility Improvements Update
2. 2001 Preliminary Levy
3. Set a Date for the 2001 TNT Hearing
4. 2000 Budgeted Transfers
5. Highway 280 Reconstruction Community Meeting

15. ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Gill-Gerbig, second by Hawkinson to adjourn at 9:12 P.M. Ayes: All.

**Lauderdale City Council
Meeting Minutes
August 22, 2000**

1. The meeting was called to order at 6:30 P.M.

2. ROLL

Council present: Gill-Gerbig, Gower, Christensen, Hawkinson, and
Mayor Dains

Staff present: City Administrator Getschow and City Attorney Ron Batty

3. APPROVAL

A. *Approval of Agenda.* Motion by Christensen, second by Hawkinson to approve the agenda. Roll: Yes: all. Motion carried.

4. EXECUTIVE SESSION

The City Council went into executive session at 6:35 p.m. for the purpose of attorney-client privilege to discuss pending litigation against the City of Lauderdale.

The Council came back into open session at 7:13 p.m.

5. ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Christensen, second by Hawkinson to adjourn at 7:15 P.M. Ayes: All.

The City of Lauderdale

Claims for Approval

9/12/00 City Council Meeting

September 1, 2000 Payroll # 6739 - 6743	\$5,038.71
September 12, 2000 Claims # 14745 - 14769	\$24,912.46
Total Claims for Approval	\$29,951.17

8 Sep 2000
Fri 10:05 AM

*Paid Register
CITY OF LAUDERDALE
CLAIMS FOR APPROVAL
PAYROLL DATE: SEPTEMBER 1, 2000
COUNCIL MEETING DATE: SEPTEMBER 12, 2000

Check Number	Employee Number	Employee Name	Social Security Number	Pay Period	Pay Group Number	Pay Group Description	Check Amount	Check Date	Status
006739	000000011	BOWNIK, JAMES		18	01	BI-WEEKLY	847.83	01-Sep-00	Outstanding
006740	000000003	GETSCHOW, RICK		18	01	BI-WEEKLY	1,494.28	01-Sep-00	Outstanding
006741	000000030	GOYETTE, SHANNON		18	01	BI-WEEKLY	740.52	01-Sep-00	Outstanding
006742	000000002	HINRICHS, DAVID C		18	01	BI-WEEKLY	920.12	01-Sep-00	Outstanding
006743	000000005	HUGHES, JOSEPH A		18	01	BI-WEEKLY	1,035.96	01-Sep-00	Outstanding

5,038.71

Grand Total

8 Sep 2000
Fri 1:08 PM

* Paid Check Reg
CITY OF LAUDERDALE
CLAIMS FOR APPROVAL
SEPTEMBER 12, 2000
CITY COUNCIL MEETING

Check Invoice Number Number	Name	Account Code	Comments	Transaction Amount
Check Number	14745 ABDO ABDO EICK & MEYERS			
14745 9/12/00	ABDO ABDO EICK & MEYERS	101-41200-308	RICK: FINANCE WORKSHOP	45.00

Totals Check Number	14745 ABDO ABDO EICK & MEYERS			45.00
Check Number	14746 BEISSWENGER'S HOW-TO HARDWARE			
14746 145672	BEISSWENGER'S HOW-TO HARDWARE	101-43100-202	PUB WORKS SUPPLIES	9.02

Totals Check Number	14746 BEISSWENGER'S HOW-TO HARDWARE			9.02
Check Number	14747 CINTAS			
14747 754131919	CINTAS	601-49000-425	PUBLIC WORKS UNIFORMS	27.70
14747 754134569	CINTAS	601-49000-425	PUBLIC WORKS UNIFORMS	27.70
14747 754133255	CINTAS	601-49000-425	PUBLIC WORKS UNIFORMS	27.70

Totals Check Number	14747 CINTAS			83.10
Check Number	14748 EAST HENNEPIN AUTO SERVICE INC			
14748 9/12/00	EAST HENNEPIN AUTO SERVICE INC	101-43100-212	08/00 TRUCK FUEL	9.00
14748 9/12/00	EAST HENNEPIN AUTO SERVICE INC	601-49000-212	08/00 TRUCK FUEL	9.00

Totals Check Number	14748 EAST HENNEPIN AUTO SERVICE INC			18.00
Check Number	14749 FRIEDGES, CHARLENE			
14749 9/12/00	FRIEDGES, CHARLENE	101-41200-308	JAMES: MCFOA MEETING	18.50

Totals Check Number	14749 FRIEDGES, CHARLENE			18.50
Check Number	14750 GOYETTE, SHANNON			
14750 9/12/00	GOYETTE, SHANNON	202-49500-530	REIMB: WEB SITE BOOKS	212.92

Totals Check Number	14750 GOYETTE, SHANNON			212.92
Check Number	14751 HUGHES & COSTELLO			
14751 9/12/00	HUGHES & COSTELLO	101-42300-305	09/00 RETAINER	825.00
14751 9/12/00	HUGHES & COSTELLO	101-42300-355	09/00 PRINT & PROCESS	83.50

Totals Check Number	14751 HUGHES & COSTELLO			908.50

* Paid Check Reg
CITY OF LAUDERDALE
CLAIMS FOR APPROVAL
SEPTEMBER 12, 2000
CITY COUNCIL MEETING

8 Sep 2000
Fri 1:08 PM

Check Invoice Number Number	Name	Account Code	Comments	Transaction Amount
Check Number	14752 ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 457			
14752 9/12/00	ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 457	101-21705	9/1/00 PAYROLL	745.82

				745.82
Totals Check Number	14752 ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 457			
Check Number	14753 KENNEDY & GRAVEN			
14753 9/12/00	KENNEDY & GRAVEN	101-41400-305	06/00 LEGAL SERVICES	22.00
14753 9/12/00	KENNEDY & GRAVEN	101-41400-355	06/00 LEGAL SERVICES	0.86

				22.86
Totals Check Number	14753 KENNEDY & GRAVEN			
Check Number	14754 LILLIE SUBURBAN NEWS			
14754 9/12/00	LILLIE SUBURBAN NEWS	101-41600-309	08/00 DELIV: ROSE REVIEW	509.00

				509.00
Totals Check Number	14754 LILLIE SUBURBAN NEWS			
Check Number	14755 MINNESOTA STATE TREASURER			
14755 9/12/00	MINNESOTA STATE TREASURER	101-43400-308	DAVE: BLDG CODE SEMINAR	30.00

				30.00
Totals Check Number	14755 MINNESOTA STATE TREASURER			
Check Number	14756 MN POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY			
14756 9/12/00	MN POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY	601-49000-308	JOE: RENEW CLASS SD LIC	40.00

				40.00
Totals Check Number	14756 MN POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY			
Check Number	14757 NORTH STAR STATE BANK			
14757 9/12/00	NORTH STAR STATE BANK	101-43100-228	FLAG LIGHTS	62.84
14757 9/12/00	NORTH STAR STATE BANK	101-41200-331	MILEAGE: SHANNON	12.29
14757 9/12/00	NORTH STAR STATE BANK	101-45200-384	DISPOSAL: STORAGE STUFF	40.00
14757 9/12/00	NORTH STAR STATE BANK	201-45600-440	PIZZA: PCIC	12.00
14757 9/12/00	NORTH STAR STATE BANK	101-41100-440	VHS TAPES	14.90
14757 9/12/00	NORTH STAR STATE BANK	202-49500-327	POSTAGE: CABLE GRANT LETT	11.88
14757 9/12/00	NORTH STAR STATE BANK	101-41200-331	MILEAGE: RICK	36.22
14757 9/12/00	NORTH STAR STATE BANK	101-41200-331	PARKING: SHANNON	2.00

				192.13
Totals Check Number	14757 NORTH STAR STATE BANK			
Check Number	14758 NORTHERN STATES POWER			
14758 9/12/00	NORTHERN STATES POWER	101-43100-383	CITY HALL GAS	14.06

8 Sep 2000
Fri 1:08 PM

* Paid Check Reg
CITY OF LAUDERDALE
CLAIMS FOR APPROVAL
SEPTEMBER 12, 2000
CITY COUNCIL MEETING

Page 3

Check Invoice Number	Number	Name	Account Code	Comments	Transaction Amount
Check Number	14758	NORTHERN STATES POWER			
14758 9/12/00		NORTHERN STATES POWER	601-49000-383	CITY HALL GAS	4.69
14758 9/12/00		NORTHERN STATES POWER	101-43100-381	CITY HALL ELECTRIC	170.66
14758 9/12/00		NORTHERN STATES POWER	601-49000-381	CITY HALL ELECTRIC	56.89
14758 9/12/00		NORTHERN STATES POWER	101-43100-383	CITY GARAGE GAS	8.58
14758 9/12/00		NORTHERN STATES POWER	601-49000-383	CITY GARAGE GAS	8.58
14758 9/12/00		NORTHERN STATES POWER	101-43100-381	CITY GARAGE ELECTRIC	11.72
14758 9/12/00		NORTHERN STATES POWER	601-49000-381	CITY GARAGE ELECTRIC	11.72
14758 9/12/00		NORTHERN STATES POWER	101-45200-383	CITY PARK GAS	19.40
14758 9/12/00		NORTHERN STATES POWER	101-45200-381	CITY PARK ELECTRIC	7.51
14758 1174406245		NORTHERN STATES POWER	101-43200-381	08/00 STREET LIGHTING	427.57

Totals Check Number	14758	NORTHERN STATES POWER			741.38
Check Number	14759	NORTHERN STATES POWER			
14759 9/12/00		NORTHERN STATES POWER	601-49000-381	LIFT STATION ELECTRIC	103.23
14759 9/12/00		NORTHERN STATES POWER	601-49000-383	LIFT STATION GAS	22.48

Totals Check Number	14759	NORTHERN STATES POWER			125.71
Check Number	14760	OFFICE MAX			
14760 9/12/00		OFFICE MAX	101-41200-201	GEN OFFICE SUPPLIES	68.18

Totals Check Number	14760	OFFICE MAX			68.18
Check Number	14761	PARK SERVICE			
14761 9/12/00		PARK SERVICE	101-43100-212	08/00 TRUCK FUEL	84.56
14761 9/12/00		PARK SERVICE	601-49000-212	08/00 TRUCK FUEL	84.57

Totals Check Number	14761	PARK SERVICE			169.13
Check Number	14762	PUBLIC EMP RETIREMENT ASSSOC			
14762 9/12/00		PUBLIC EMP RETIREMENT ASSSOC	101-21704	9/1/00 PAYROLL	778.35

Totals Check Number	14762	PUBLIC EMP RETIREMENT ASSSOC			778.35
Check Number	14763	RAPIT PRINTING			
14763 10-8152		RAPIT PRINTING	101-41600-354	PRINT RESIDENT'S GUIDE	2,270.58

Totals Check Number	14763	RAPIT PRINTING			2,270.58

* Paid Check Reg
CITY OF LAUDERDALE
CLAIMS FOR APPROVAL
SEPTEMBER 12, 2000
CITY COUNCIL MEETING

8 Sep 2000
Fri 1:08 PM

Check Invoice Number Number	Name	Account Code	Comments	Transaction Amount
Check Number	14764 ST. ANTHONY VILLAGE			
14764 9/12/00	ST. ANTHONY VILLAGE	101-42100-319	10/00 POLICE SERVICES	17,196.33

Totals Check Number	14764 ST. ANTHONY VILLAGE			17,196.33
Check Number	14765 T.A. SCHIFSKY & SONS			
14765 22185	T.A. SCHIFSKY & SONS	101-43200-228	ASPHALT: FIX POTHOLES	60.20

Totals Check Number	14765 T.A. SCHIFSKY & SONS			60.20
Check Number	14766 US WEST COMMUNICATIONS			
14766 9/12/00	US WEST COMMUNICATIONS	101-41200-391	CITY HALL PHONE THRU 9/27	172.55
14766 9/12/00	US WEST COMMUNICATIONS	101-43100-391	CITY HALL PHONE THRU 9/27	30.31
14766 9/12/00	US WEST COMMUNICATIONS	601-49000-391	CITY HALL PHONE THRU 9/27	30.31

Totals Check Number	14766 US WEST COMMUNICATIONS			233.17
Check Number	14767 US WEST COMMUNICATIONS			
14767 9/12/00	US WEST COMMUNICATIONS	601-49000-391	AUTODIAL: LARP LIFT ST	59.18

Totals Check Number	14767 US WEST COMMUNICATIONS			59.18
Check Number	14768 WAGERS BUSINESS SYSTEMS			
14768 974824	WAGERS BUSINESS SYSTEMS	101-41200-401	4TH QTR '00 MAINT CONTRAC	225.00

Totals Check Number	14768 WAGERS BUSINESS SYSTEMS			225.00
Check Number	14769 WALSH, WILLIAM P.			
14769 9/12/00	WALSH, WILLIAM P.	101-43400-310	PLUMB INSP THRU 7/31/00	150.40

Totals Check Number	14769 WALSH, WILLIAM P.			150.40

Grand Total				24,912.46

City Council Memorandum

To: Mayor and City Council
From: Rick Getschow
Date: September 12, 2000
Issue: Pending Litigation

**PLEASE SEE THE ATTACHED CONFIDENTIAL ENVELOPE FOR
INFORMATION ON THIS AGENDA ITEM.**

City Council Memorandum

To: Mayor and City Council
From: Rick Getschow
Council Meeting Date: September 12, 2000
Agenda Item: 2001 Preliminary Lauderdale Tax Levy

BACKGROUND:

PLEASE BRING BUDGET INFO FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS

State Statute requires that cities certify their proposed levies to the County Auditor on or before September 15, 2000. As I noted at a previous meeting, the final levy is certified in December. Also at that December meeting the final 2001 Budget is adopted.

In certifying the final levy in December the Council can decrease the amount from the proposed levy amount from September, but it can not increase the amount. Also, the proposed levy amount is the information given to all residents for the TNT Hearing.

For the first time in four years, the State Legislature did not change the class rates for determining tax capacity. Based on this non-change, and the fact that median property values continue to increase at a brisk pace, the Lauderdale tax capacity should increase for the second year in a row. This is extremely important because the Council may not need to consider a raised tax rate, and thus higher taxes throughout the city as a result of increased tax capacity. In past years, when class rates were lowered and tax capacity decreased – taxes were vulnerable to rising for residents of Lauderdale because of the major burden shift from the commercial, industrial and public utility property owner to the residential property owner regardless of whether the levy was increased or not.

As was stated in last week's Friday report, Ramsey County may not be able to provide tax capacity figures to the cities because of the conversion to a new property records system. Unfortunately, the tax capacity figures can not be calculated at this time so I used a conservative extrapolation of last year's percentage increase in tax capacity as a benchmark for considering this year's preliminary levy.

If I receive the tax capacity data by Tuesday afternoon I will provide at the meeting on Tuesday evening.

Based on the extrapolation and discussions with the County, we will assume that the overall total market value of the city has increased along with the tax capacity from \$1.21M to an estimate of \$1.27M.

While the City will not have a lot of room to capitalize on the increase in market value, the tax capacity should increase to a point where some additional revenue can be captured without increasing tax collections.

ENCLOSURES:

1. Levy Spreadsheet Options A, B, and C
2. Resolution 091200A: A Resolution Approving the Preliminary 2001 Lauderdale Tax Levy
(There is an applicable resolution for each option that is being considered)

COUNCIL ACTION REQUESTED:

Based on Council discussions at budget meetings and the financial data presented, I am presenting three options for the City Council to approve a preliminary levy.

OPTION A SAME LEVY AS 2000 (SEE SPREADSHEET A)

This was the option that was selected for the 1999 Levy and resulted in a very slight *1% increase* in the tax rate. The effect of choosing this option for the 2001 Levy is similar to the consideration of the 2000 Levy, but it has a much different effect than 1999.

As you can see from the Option A spreadsheet, if the levy is kept the same as 2000 the local tax rate will *decrease 5%*. This means that a 0% levy increase will result in lower tax bills, with property values being equal. The average residential tax bill in Lauderdale (on a \$90,450 house) will decrease by \$14.66. This is due to the minor reduction in the tax rate. In 2000, it was due to the lower tax rate and the decreased state class rates.

OPTION B SAME NET (LOCAL) LEVY AS 2000 (SEE SPREADSHEET B)

This is the same as Option A, except that the net or local levy takes the fiscal disparities contribution into account. (See the top of spreadsheet B where the regular levy is in the third column and the net or local levy is highlighted in the fifth column). The regular levy in the third column is the one that is certified to the state and the county, while this local levy subtracts the fiscal disparities for our own examination.

Since the fiscal disparities contribution is increasing slightly from 2000, adopting Option B is different than Option A in that there is a slight regular levy increase of \$1102 or two-tenths of 1% or .2%. The tax rates for Options A and B both decrease, but there is a slightly higher decrease presented with Levy Option A (27.555% vs. 27.641%).

OPTION C **SAME TAX RATE AS 2000** **(SEE SPREADSHEET C)**

This was the option chosen by the Council for the 2000 Levy. The levy was increased 4%, going from \$469,656 to \$488,406 with an increase in revenue of \$18,750.

The Option C spreadsheet illustrates that there is a levy increase with keeping the tax rate the same as 2000. The option of keeping the tax rate the same will produce the same tax bill for the average Lauderdale home, with property values being equal. Since there are no changes in the class rates for 2001 payable taxes for the first time in four years, the same tax rate produces the same tax bill.

This option produces an additional \$18,697 of revenue for the 2001 Budget, thus raising the tax levy 3.8% to \$507,103 from \$488,406.

Thus, with this option the tax rate remains at the 2000 level, producing the same tax bill, while also increasing tax revenue.

I AM NOT PRESENTING ANY OPTIONS THAT INCREASE THE LAUDERDALE TAX RATE. IF MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL WISH TO SEE OTHER OPTIONS ALSO ANALYZED – PLEASE CONTACT ME PRIOR TO THE MEETING SO THAT I CAN PROPERLY PRESENT MORE MATERIAL.

2001 LEVY AND IMPACT ON LAUDERDALE HOMES

SAME LEVY - OPTION A

	Gross Levy	- HACA =	Levy -	Fiscal Disparities	Net Levy (Local Levy)	Tax Capacity	Net Levy/T.C. = Tax Rate
1994	\$467,402	\$81,668	\$385,734	\$110,174	\$275,560	\$1,110,259	0.24819
1995	\$469,747	\$84,013	\$385,734	\$97,645	\$288,089	\$1,099,160	0.26210
1996	\$480,148	\$80,540	\$399,608	\$111,519	\$288,089	\$1,107,105	0.26022
1997	\$533,775	\$81,305	\$452,470	\$120,053	\$332,417	\$1,290,437	0.25760
1998	\$550,961	\$81,305	\$469,656	\$126,788	\$342,868	\$1,196,529	0.28655
1999	\$550,920	\$81,264	\$469,656	\$138,964	\$330,692	\$1,139,484	0.29021
2000	\$576,618	\$88,212	\$488,406	\$136,506	\$351,900	\$1,212,463	0.29024
2001	\$576,640	\$88,234	\$488,406	\$137,608	\$350,798	\$1,273,086	0.27555

\$70,000 Home	Value	x Tax %	x Tax Rate	= Tax on \$70,000 Home
1996	\$70,000	1%	0.2602	\$182.15
1997	\$70,000	1%	0.2576	\$180.32
1998	\$70,000	1%	0.2866	\$200.59
1999	\$70,000	1%	0.2902	\$203.15
2000	\$70,000	1%	0.2902	\$203.16
2001	\$70,000	1%	0.2755	\$192.88
DIFFERENCE				(\$10.28)

\$90,450 Home	Value	x Tax %	x Tax Rate	= Tax on Median Lauderdale Home
1999	\$75,000	1%	0.2902	\$217.66
	\$15,450	1.70%	0.2902	\$76.22
			Total Tax	\$293.88
2000	\$76,000	1%	0.2902	\$220.58
	\$14,450	1.65%	0.2902	\$69.20
			Total Tax	\$289.78
2001	\$76,000	1%	0.2755	\$209.42
	\$14,450	1.65%	0.2755	\$65.70
			Total Tax	\$275.12
DIFFERENCE				(\$14.66)

\$125,000 Home	Value	x Tax %	x Tax Rate	= Tax on \$125,000 Home
1999	\$75,000	1%	0.2902	\$217.66
	\$50,000	1.70%	0.2902	\$246.68
			Total Tax	\$464.34
2000	\$76,000	1%	0.2902	\$220.58
	\$49,000	1.65%	0.2902	\$234.66
			Total Tax	\$455.23
2001	\$76,000	1%	0.2755	\$209.42
	\$49,000	1.65%	0.2755	\$222.78
			Total Tax	\$432.20
DIFFERENCE				(\$23.04)

RESOLUTION NO. 091200A

**CITY OF LAUDERDALE
COUNTY OF RAMSEY
STATE OF MINNESOTA**

RESOLUTION LEVYING TAXES FOR 2000 PAYABLE IN 2001

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the City of Lauderdale, Ramsey County, State of Minnesota as follows:

The following tax levies are hereby made against all taxable property in the City of Lauderdale for the tax levy 2000, payable 2001:

GENERAL FUND

Total proposed levy for 2000	\$488,406
payable in 2001	\$488,406

Gross Levy of	\$576,640
Less HACA of	\$ 88,234
Equals Levy of	\$488,406

This includes fiscal disparities amount of \$137,608.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Administrator is authorized and directed at this time to certify this Resolution to the County Auditor of Ramsey County, Minnesota.

CITY OF LAUDERDALE)
COUNTY OF RAMSEY) ss
STATE OF MINNESOTA)

I, Richard Getschow, being duly qualified and City Administrator for the City of Lauderdale, Ramsey County, Minnesota, do hereby certify that the attached and foregoing is a true and correct copy of a Resolution duly adopted by the City Council of the City of Lauderdale on September 12, 2000 as the same appears in the minutes of said meeting on file and of record in City Offices.

Dated this 12th day of September, 2000.

(ATTEST)

Jeff Dains, Mayor

(SEAL)

Rick Getschow, City Administrator

2001 LEVY AND IMPACT ON LAUDERDALE HOMES

SAME LOCAL LEVY - OPTION B

	Gross Levy	- HACA =	Levy -	Fiscal Disparities	Net Levy (Local Levy)	Tax Capacity	Net Levy/T.C. = Tax Rate
1994	\$467,402	\$81,668	\$385,734	\$110,174	\$275,560	\$1,110,259	0.24819
1995	\$469,747	\$84,013	\$385,734	\$97,645	\$288,089	\$1,099,160	0.26210
1996	\$480,148	\$80,540	\$399,608	\$111,519	\$288,089	\$1,107,105	0.26022
1997	\$533,775	\$81,305	\$452,470	\$120,053	\$332,417	\$1,290,437	0.25760
1998	\$550,961	\$81,305	\$469,656	\$126,788	\$342,868	\$1,196,529	0.28655
1999	\$550,920	\$81,264	\$469,656	\$138,964	\$330,692	\$1,139,484	0.29021
2000	\$576,618	\$88,212	\$488,406	\$136,506	\$351,900	\$1,212,463	0.29024
2001	\$577,742	\$88,234	\$489,508	\$137,608	\$351,900	\$1,273,086	0.27641

\$70,000 Home	Value	x Tax %	x Tax Rate	= Tax on \$70,000 Home
1996	\$70,000	1%	0.2602	\$182.15
1997	\$70,000	1%	0.2576	\$180.32
1998	\$70,000	1%	0.2866	\$200.59
1999	\$70,000	1%	0.2902	\$203.15
2000	\$70,000	1%	0.2902	\$203.16
2001	\$70,000	1%	0.2764	\$193.49
DIFFERENCE				(\$9.67)

\$90,450 Home	Value	x Tax %	x Tax Rate	= Tax on Median Lauderdale Home
1999	\$75,000	1%	0.2902	\$217.66
	\$15,450	1.70%	0.2902	\$76.22
			Total Tax	\$293.88
2000	\$76,000	1%	0.2902	\$220.58
	\$14,450	1.65%	0.2902	\$69.20
			Total Tax	\$289.78
2001	\$76,000	1%	0.2764	\$210.08
	\$14,450	1.65%	0.2764	\$65.90
			Total Tax	\$275.98
DIFFERENCE				(\$13.80)

\$125,000 Home	Value	x Tax %	x Tax Rate	= Tax on \$125,000 Home
1999	\$75,000	1%	0.2902	\$217.66
	\$50,000	1.70%	0.2902	\$246.68
			Total Tax	\$464.34
2000	\$76,000	1%	0.2902	\$220.58
	\$49,000	1.65%	0.2902	\$234.66
			Total Tax	\$455.23
2001	\$76,000	1%	0.2764	\$210.08
	\$49,000	1.65%	0.2764	\$223.48
			Total Tax	\$433.56
DIFFERENCE				(\$21.68)

RESOLUTION NO. 091200A

**CITY OF LAUDERDALE
COUNTY OF RAMSEY
STATE OF MINNESOTA**

RESOLUTION LEVYING TAXES FOR 2000 PAYABLE IN 2001

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the City of Lauderdale, Ramsey County, State of Minnesota as follows:

The following tax levies are hereby made against all taxable property in the City of Lauderdale for the tax levy 2000, payable 2001:

GENERAL FUND

Total proposed levy for 2000	\$489,508
payable in 2001	\$489,508

Gross Levy of	\$577,742
Less HACA of	\$ 88,234
Equals Levy of	\$489,508

This includes fiscal disparities amount of \$137,608.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Administrator is authorized and directed at this time to certify this Resolution to the County Auditor of Ramsey County, Minnesota.

CITY OF LAUDERDALE)
COUNTY OF RAMSEY) ss
STATE OF MINNESOTA)

I, Richard Getschow, being duly qualified and City Administrator for the City of Lauderdale, Ramsey County, Minnesota, do hereby certify that the attached and foregoing is a true and correct copy of a Resolution duly adopted by the City Council of the City of Lauderdale on September 12, 2000 as the same appears in the minutes of said meeting on file and of record in City Offices.

Dated this 12th day of September, 2000.

(ATTEST)

Jeff Dains, Mayor

(SEAL)

Rick Getschow, City Administrator

2001 LEVY AND IMPACT ON LAUDERDALE HOMES

SAME RATE- OPTION C

	Gross Levy	- HACA =	Levy -	Fiscal Disparities	Net Levy (Local Levy)	Tax Capacity	Net Levy/T.C. = Tax Rate
1994	\$467,402	\$81,668	\$385,734	\$110,174	\$275,560	\$1,110,259	0.24819
1995	\$469,747	\$84,013	\$385,734	\$97,645	\$288,089	\$1,099,160	0.26210
1996	\$480,148	\$80,540	\$399,608	\$111,519	\$288,089	\$1,107,105	0.26022
1997	\$533,775	\$81,305	\$452,470	\$120,053	\$332,417	\$1,290,437	0.25760
1998	\$550,961	\$81,305	\$469,656	\$126,788	\$342,868	\$1,196,529	0.28655
1999	\$550,920	\$81,264	\$469,656	\$138,964	\$330,692	\$1,139,484	0.29021
2000	\$576,618	\$88,212	\$488,406	\$136,506	\$351,900	\$1,212,463	0.29024
2001	\$595,337	\$88,234	\$507,103	\$137,608	\$369,495	\$1,273,086	0.29024

\$70,000 Home	Value	x Tax %	x Tax Rate	= Tax on \$70,000 Home
1996	\$70,000	1%	0.2602	\$182.15
1997	\$70,000	1%	0.2576	\$180.32
1998	\$70,000	1%	0.2866	\$200.59
1999	\$70,000	1%	0.2902	\$203.15
2000	\$70,000	1%	0.2902	\$203.16
2001	\$70,000	1%	0.2902	\$203.16
DIFFERENCE				\$0.00

\$90,450 Home	Value	x Tax %	x Tax Rate	= Tax on Median Lauderdale Home
1999	\$75,000	1%	0.2902	\$217.66
	\$15,450	1.70%	0.2902	\$76.22
			Total Tax	\$293.88
2000	\$76,000	1%	0.2902	\$220.58
	\$14,450	1.65%	0.2902	\$69.20
			Total Tax	\$289.78
2001	\$76,000	1%	0.2902	\$220.58
	\$14,450	1.65%	0.2902	\$69.20
			Total Tax	\$289.78
DIFFERENCE				\$0.00

\$125,000 Home	Value	x Tax %	x Tax Rate	= Tax on \$125,000 Home
1999	\$75,000	1%	0.2902	\$217.66
	\$50,000	1.70%	0.2902	\$246.68
			Total Tax	\$464.34
2000	\$76,000	1%	0.2902	\$220.58
	\$49,000	1.65%	0.2902	\$234.66
			Total Tax	\$455.23
2001	\$76,000	1%	0.2902	\$220.58
	\$49,000	1.65%	0.2902	\$234.66
			Total Tax	\$455.23
DIFFERENCE				\$0.00

RESOLUTION NO. 091200A

**CITY OF LAUDERDALE
COUNTY OF RAMSEY
STATE OF MINNESOTA**

RESOLUTION LEVYING TAXES FOR 2000 PAYABLE IN 2001

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the City of Lauderdale, Ramsey County, State of Minnesota as follows:

The following tax levies are hereby made against all taxable property in the City of Lauderdale for the tax levy 2000, payable 2001:

GENERAL FUND

Total proposed levy for 2000	\$507,103
payable in 2001	\$507,103

Gross Levy of	\$595,337
Less HACA of	\$ 88,234
Equals Levy of	\$507,103

This includes fiscal disparities amount of \$137,608.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Administrator is authorized and directed at this time to certify this Resolution to the County Auditor of Ramsey County, Minnesota.

CITY OF LAUDERDALE)	
COUNTY OF RAMSEY)	ss
STATE OF MINNESOTA)	

I, Richard Getschow, being duly qualified and City Administrator for the City of Lauderdale, Ramsey County, Minnesota, do hereby certify that the attached and foregoing is a true and correct copy of a Resolution duly adopted by the City Council of the City of Lauderdale on September 12, 2000 as the same appears in the minutes of said meeting on file and of record in City Offices.

Dated this 12th day of September, 2000.

(ATTEST)

Jeff Dains, Mayor

(SEAL)

Rick Getschow, City Administrator

City Council Memorandum

To: Mayor and City Council
From: Rick Getschow
Council Meeting Date: September 12, 2000
Agenda Item: Set 2001 Truth-In-Taxation Public Hearing Date

BACKGROUND:

Minnesota Statute 275.065 outlines the procedures for holding public hearings to comply with Truth in Taxation requirements. It makes the County Auditor responsible for the coordination of the selection of hearing dates for cities and school districts within the county. This includes both the initial hearing dates and the continuation hearing dates.

The meeting dates must be between November 29th and December 20th. The hearings must be scheduled after 5:00 p.m., if scheduled on a day other than Saturday. The counties and the school districts make their selections before the cities. No city may select the second Tuesday or the third Monday in December for either its initial or continuation hearing date, since these are the dates set aside for county initial hearings and county continuation hearings, respectively.

The following schedule is already set.

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT	HEARING	DAY	DATE
Ramsey County	Initial	Tuesday	December 12
	Continuation	Monday	December 18
Metro Special Taxing Districts	Initial	Wednesday	December 6
	Continuation	Wednesday	December 13
Roseville I.S.D. #623	Initial	Thursday	December 7
	Continuation	Thursday	December 14

The meeting time would be 7:30 p.m. and the meeting place would be the Lauderdale City Council Chambers.

The only regular City Council meeting in December is on December 12. The Tuesday before this meeting is December 5. This date makes for the most appropriate evening for the City to conduct the TNT Public Hearing. Unfortunately, if a continuation hearing is needed our regular meeting date of December 12 is not available because the county is holding their initial TNT Hearing on that date. In that case, I recommend that December 11 be set as a continuation date for the Lauderdale TNT Public Hearing.

Included in the packet is a calendar to look at dates. Dates marked with an X are dates that the meetings **could not** be held.

COUNCIL ACTION REQUESTED:

Motion to adopt initial and continuation, if necessary, truth-in-taxation public hearing dates for the 2001 proposed budget and levy at 7:30 p.m. at Lauderdale City Hall.
Suggested dates are:

Initial hearing:	Tuesday, December 5, 2000
Continuation:	Monday, December 11, 2000



December

2000

<i>Sun</i>	<i>Mon</i>	<i>Tue</i>	<i>Wed</i>	<i>Thu</i>	<i>Fri</i>	<i>Sat</i>
×	×	×			1	2
3	4	5	6 ×	7 ×	8	9
10	11	12 ×	13 ×	14 ×	15	16
17	18 ×	19	20 ×	21 ×	22 ×	23 ×
24 ×	25 ×	26 ×	27 ×	28 ×	29 ×	30 ×
31 ×						

City Council Memorandum

To: Mayor and City Council
From: Rick Getschow
Council Meeting Date: September 12, 2000
Agenda Item: Resolution 091200B: LMC Building Quality Communities

BACKGROUND:

The League of Minnesota Cities (LMC) is launching a two-year education effort called the Building Quality Communities initiative. This program is a two-year effort to change how legislators, the media, and citizen's look at government. Other cities in Minnesota feel that the local dialogue often focuses on the level of taxation, and without the recognition that these taxes have a direct bearing on the quality of life in all Minnesota communities.

As the launching point for the Building Quality Communities initiative, the LMC is requesting that all cities pass the enclosed resolution supporting this campaign. Following the approval of this effort, the LMC will begin working with cities to frame policies for legislators and the media that will stress the important connection between cities and the overall quality of life.

ENCLOSURES:

1. Building Quality Communities: Background, Benefits, and Key Messages
2. Resolution 091200B: LMC Building Quality Communities

COUNCIL ACTION REQUESTED:

Approval of Resolution 091200B: A Resolution Supporting the "LMC Building Quality Communities" Statewide Education Effort in Cooperation with the LMC.

Minnesota Cities: Building Quality Communities

BACKGROUND

In the past few years, members of the League of Minnesota Cities have become concerned about what some state legislators and other groups are saying about city government. More and more comments seem to describe cities as special interest groups, rather than as representatives of Minnesota citizens. Add to that a disconnection from government felt by a growing number of Americans, especially among young people, and League leaders decided to take action.

Cities are the means to "community." We work in the interest of the families and businesses that live and work in our communities, and we are major contributors to quality of life in Minnesota. This is the message we must make clear to "key influencers" – legislators, the media, other influential groups – and directly to our citizens.

Last year, the LMC Board of Directors worked with a public relations firm to obtain candid feedback from citizens and key influencers to determine what quality of life means to them and how their city government helps contribute to that quality of life. Through focus groups and one-on-one interviews, we heard from citizens and key influencers about their top priorities for a high quality of life.

Top Priorities of Quality of Life

- **Safety**
- **Family**
- **Job opportunities**
- **Good health care**
- **Good schools**
- **Recreational opportunities**
- **Strong sense of community**

From these findings, we developed key messages and the foundation of the Building Quality Communities effort. Since many of these priorities reflect the services cities provide to Minnesota citizens, city officials can begin to connect the value of city services to the values of our citizens.

The mission of Building Quality Communities

- 1. To enhance the perception of Minnesota cities governments and city officials.**
- 2. To reinvigorate and increase citizen involvement in city government.**
- 3. To reinstall a sense of pride in public service, inspiring young people to seek careers in city government.**

The key to achieving this mission is a grassroots effort among all cities, focusing on clearly and consistently making the connection between the work of city governments and the high quality of life Minnesotans experience.

We also will work to:

- Seek effective ways to get citizens involved in building their community.
- Continue improving our partnership with legislators and the media.
- Strengthen our collaboration with other community groups.

For more information and resources, visit the League's BQC Web site: www.lmnc.org/bqc/home.htm

Minnesota Cities:
Building Quality Communities

BENEFITS

By participating in the Building Quality Communities public education campaign, your city and all League members can benefit in a number of ways.

Benefits to your city:

- Greater understanding among your city's residents, businesses, community groups, legislators, reporters, and editors of how your city's efforts contribute to quality of life in your community.
- Increased citizen participation in your city's activities and discussions, due to an enhanced perception of the role of Minnesota city governments and city officials.
- Assistance from LMC in communicating this connection between what your city does and quality of life in your community – we plan for this assistance to come in many forms over the next two years, including:
 - a video you can show on your local cable access channel or at city events;
 - camera-ready and electronic versions of the Building Quality Communities logo (both black and white and color versions);
 - talking points for speaking to community groups and schools;
 - sample articles, press releases and advertisements;
 - facilitation of citizen focus groups; and
 - a catalog of items your city can purchase that incorporate the Building Quality Communities logo with your city's name.

Benefits to all Minnesota cities:

- Working together, Minnesota cities can proactively define themselves in a positive light, rather than reacting to how legislators, the media, and other groups characterize us.
- This statewide, grassroots effort gives cities strength in numbers and one voice in challenging negative perceptions and conveying the message that city governments are essential to quality of life in Minnesota.
- If we are successful in associating city governments with positive goals and essential services, we believe we can gain greater legislative cooperation and strengthen our partnership in serving our common constituents. We also believe that increased participation in city government may result, as well as decreased cynicism among young people.

Minnesota Cities:
Building Quality Communities

KEY MESSAGES

Key messages are concise, memorable phrases that have meaning for target audiences. The phrases describe the mission of an organization or program and help to focus efforts to achieve that mission.

The key messages for the Building Quality Communities campaign are based on what we learned from our focus group discussions and interviews with citizens, key influencers, and legislators. By integrating the BQC key messages into your city's communications, you can convey the connection between what cities do and quality of life in your community. (Please see sample ads in right folder pocket.)

KEY MESSAGES FOR CITIZENS

Cities...

- ... work for safe neighborhoods
- ... create economic vitality
- ... provide opportunities for all citizens
- ... value children and families
- ... encourage citizen involvement in community building
- ... foster pride in community
- ... work in the interest of citizens
- ... plan for the community's future

KEY MESSAGES FOR LEGISLATORS

Cities...

- ... plan for the community's future
- ... encourage citizen involvement in community building
- ... work in the interest of citizens
- ... improve the quality of life for Minnesotans

RESOLUTION NO. 091200A

**CITY OF LAUDERDALE
COUNTY OF RAMSEY
STATE OF MINNESOTA**

**A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE "BUILDING QUALITY COMMUNITIES"
STATEWIDE EDUCATION EFFORT IN COOPERATION WITH THE LEAGUE OF
MINNESOTA CITIES**

WHEREAS, the top values and priorities of citizens include safety, family, job opportunities, health, the well-being of children, and recreational opportunities; and

WHEREAS, many of the services cities provide directly enhance those citizen priorities; and

WHEREAS, the connection between city services and how they benefit citizen priorities and concerns is not always understood by citizens; and

WHEREAS, it is one of the responsibilities of city officials to ensure legislators, media, and citizens understand their governments through open and frequent communication using various avenues and means; and

WHEREAS, it is important to encourage citizens to actively participate in city government, to share their views, and to work in partnership with city officials to ensure that the needs of the community are met; and

WHEREAS, partnerships developed between citizens and city officials can result in greater understanding of the connection between Minnesota's high quality of life and the services provided by Minnesota cities, as well as in greater trust by citizens in the efforts of their city government;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Lauderdale hereby declares its commitment to join in the "Minnesota Cities: Building Quality Communities" statewide educational effort in cooperation with our fellow members of the League of Minnesota Cities. We hereby designate City Administrator Rick Getschow as the City of Lauderdale's key contact for this effort, and will inform the League of Minnesota Cities of this designation.

Adopted by the Lauderdale City Council this the 12th day of September, 2000

(ATTEST)

Jeff Dains, Mayor

(SEAL)

Rick Getschow, City Administrator

City Council Memorandum

To: Mayor and City Council
From: Rick Getschow
Council Meeting Date: September 12, 2000
Agenda Item: 2001 Budget Discussion

BACKGROUND:

At a previous budget discussion, the Council requested that research be presented as it relates to Mayor and City Council salaries. Shannon has completed this research and has enclosed a spreadsheet that displays the salaries of City Councils that are in the metropolitan area and are of a similar size to Lauderdale.

Also enclosed is the relevant material from the City Code that sets the salaries. The last change in Mayor and Council pay was in 1986. The ordinance would need to be revised reflecting any changes in the salaries. The change would then be effective following the next City election, which would occur in November of 2001.

ENCLOSURES:

1. Mayor and City Council Salary comparisons
2. City Code material related to Mayor and City Council Salary

COUNCIL ACTION REQUESTED:

Council direction on how to proceed with issue. Staff can revise the ordinance and present a draft to the Council at a future meeting if the Council wishes to proceed with this issue.

Mayor/City Council Salaries

	Mayor	City Council
*Bayport	\$3,600.00	\$3,200.00
*Belle Plaine	\$3,000.00	\$2,800.00
*Deephaven	\$3,600.00	\$2,400.00
*Excelsior	\$3,600.00	\$2,400.00
*Falcon Heights	\$5,400.00	\$3,600.00
*Jordan	\$3,696.00	\$2,496.00
*Lexington	\$3,000.00	\$2,400.00
*Maple Plain	\$3,600.00	\$1,800.00
*Medina	\$2,700.00	\$1,800.00
*Newport	\$3,000.00	\$2,000.00
*New Prague	\$4,440.00	\$3,144.00
*Osseo	\$1,800.00	\$1,380.00
*St. Anthony	\$5,976.00	\$4,140.00
*St. Francis	\$2,700.00	\$2,100.00
*Victoria	\$2,700.00	\$2,400.00

Metro Average =	\$3,520.80	\$2,537.33
------------------------	-------------------	-------------------

Lauderdale	\$2,456.00	\$1,376.00
-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

Lexington pays \$35 for every meeting, and \$75 for meetings held during business hours.
 Maple Plain pays \$25 for special meetings,

CHAPTER 5
CITY OFFICIALS

SECTION:

- 1-5-1: Mayor And City Council Compensation
- 1-5-2: Council Meeting Bylaws
- 1-5-2- 1: Regular Meetings
- 1-5-2- 2: Special Meetings And Work Sessions
- 1-5-2- 3: Emergency Meetings
- 1-5-2- 4: Quorum
- 1-5-2- 5: Minutes
- 1-5-2- 6: Motions
- 1-5-2- 7: Petitions, Memorials, Etc.
- 1-5-2- 8: Votes
- 1-5-2- 9: Rules Of Order
- 1-5-2-10: Language Included On Agenda
- 1-5-2-11: Order Of Business
- 1-5-2-12: Seating Of Council
- 1-5-2-13: Items Not On Agenda
- 1-5-3: City Administrator

1-5-1: MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL COMPENSATION¹:

- A. Mayor: The annual salary for the Mayor shall be two thousand four hundred fifty six dollars (\$2,456.00).
- B. Council Members: The annual salary for each member of the City Council shall be one thousand three hundred seventy six dollars (\$1,376.00).
- C. Payment: The salaries established in this Section shall be paid in four (4) equal installments at the March, June, September and December Council meetings of each year. (Ord. 72, 10-14-1986)

1. M.S.A. § 415.11.

Minnesota Statutes 1999, Table of Chapters

Table of contents for Chapter 415

415.11 Second to fourth class cities; governing body salaries.

Subdivision 1. **Set by ordinance.** Notwithstanding the provisions of any general or special law, charter, or ordinance, the governing body of any statutory or home rule charter city of the second, third or fourth class may by ordinance fix their own salaries as members of such governing body, and the salary of the chief elected executive officer of such city, in such amount as they deem reasonable.

Subd. 2. **After next election.** No change in salary shall take effect until after the next succeeding municipal election.

HIST: Ex1967 c 42 s 1,2; 1976 c 44 s 34

Copyright 1999 by the Office of Revisor of Statutes, State of Minnesota.

City Council Memorandum

To: Mayor and City Council
From: Rick Getschow
Council Meeting Date: September 12, 2000
Agenda Item: Zoning Ordinance Review: Chapters 17-18

BACKGROUND:

The intent at the meeting is to discuss Chapters 17 and 18. These chapters cover Home Occupations and Adult Uses.

Please read these chapters before next Tuesday for discussion at the meeting and contact me if you have any questions about these chapters. I can then research and address any concerns or issues in these sections prior to the meeting.