

The City Council's annual goal setting meeting will begin at 5:00 p.m. During the goal setting session, the Council may tour the City.

<p>LAUDERDALE CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA Tuesday, March 25, 2008 7:30 P.M. CITY HALL 1891 WALNUT STREET</p>

FILE

1. **ROLL CALL**
2. **APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**
3. **APPROVALS**
 - a. Minutes of the Tuesday, March 11, 2008, City Council Meeting.
 - b. Claims totaling \$34,666.72.
4. **OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA**
5. **CONSENT**
 - a) Rental Housing Licenses
 - b) Approve Sanitary Sewer System television inspection
6. **SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS/RECOGNITION/PROCLAMATIONS**
7. **PUBLIC HEARINGS** Public hearings are conducted so that the public affected by a proposal can have input in to the decision.
 - a) Sewer Ordinance
8. **REPORTS**
9. **DISCUSSION / ACTION**
 - a) Emily Peters – Tree study in the Park
 - b) Ordinance 08-01 relating to Sewers
 - c) Resolution 032508A: Clear Water Inflow and Infiltration Reduction Program
 - d) Municipal Consent for sound wall – Resolution 032508B
 - e) Traffic Calming - carried over from March 11, 2008 meeting
10. **ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA**
11. **ADDITIONAL ITEMS**
12. **SET AGENDA FOR NEXT MEETING**
 - a) Audit Report (April 22)
 - b) Larpenteur Avenue Development
 - c) Hockey Rink Bid Award
 - d) Seal Coating Bid Award
13. **WORK SESSION**
 - a) Larpenteur Avenue Bridge

Tuesday, March 11, 2008

Mayor Dains called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

Council members present: Denise Hawkinson, Karen Doherty, and Mayor Jeff Dains.
Council members Lara Mac Lean and Clay Christensen were not present at the start of the meeting.

Mayor Dains asked for any additions, deletions, or changes to the meeting agenda. Mayor Dains requested the addition of recreational fire discussion.

Council member Doherty moved to approve the agenda as amended; council member Hawkinson seconded the motion and it carried.

Council member Hawkinson moved approval of the February 26, 2008, City Council meeting minutes. Council member Doherty seconded the motion and it carried.

Council member Hawkinson asked for clarification on the Park Services bill for fuel. Council member Doherty provided the second and the motion carried.

Mayor Dains asked if members of the public wished to address the council on items not on the agenda. Jack Barlow raised two issues. He questioned the stop sign on Roselawn and Eustis. He said traffic rarely stops there and felt the signs should be removed or changed to yield signs. He then addressed the nuisance ordinance. He indicated the current provision on storage of firewood might make it impossible for some people to have woodpiles. Staff clarified the issue and the Council thanked Mr. Barlow for his comments.

Council member Doherty moved the Consent Agenda approving 2008 rental housing licenses and HVAC licenses, PIC minutes and approval for the Administrator to attend the Annual MCMA Conference. Hawkinson seconded the motion and it carried.

Council member Mac Lean joined the meeting at 6:05 p.m.

Heck provided a brief update on the status of the Highway 280 bridge and sound wall. He stated he would be meeting with Mn/DOT on Wednesday, March 12 to discuss bridge issues and planned to meet with the sound wall engineer as well.

Heck then updated the Council on the joint NSCC / TAC Meeting held on Thursday, March 6.

The Council then discussed traffic calming options. Mayor Dains indicated he felt children at play signs were appropriate and suggested signs be installed upon resident request. Council member Hawkinson expressed concern about stop signs on Fulham and Roselawn as well as on Fulham and Spring.

After further discussion, the Council chose to have a community tour before the goal setting session on Tuesday, March 25, 2008. The goal setting meeting begins at 5:00 p.m. and the Council will conduct a brief tour of the community at that time and return to City Hall by 5:30 for the goal setting meeting.

The Council took a five minute recess at 6:55 p.m.

The Council reconvened at 7:00 p.m. for the public hearing on the Lauderdale Storm Water Plan. Heck stated this is an annual requirement of the city's storm water permit. He stated the city is involved with an organization that produces PSA's for radio and television and plays these during Twins games and at other times. This counts towards the city's educational and informational requirements. He said Lauderdale also uses the city newsletter, web site and community access as a means to educate the public. Heck attached a copy of the 2007 annual report of the city's activity in promoting storm water protection.

The Mayor opened the public hearing at 7:05 p.m. and asked if there were any comments or questions. There being none, the mayor closed the hearing at 7:08 p.m.

The Council took another break and reconvened at 7:30 p.m. for community discussion on the proposed sound wall along the east side of Highway 280.

Mayor Dains welcomed the residents to the meeting and outlined the purpose of the meeting. Heck provided an update to the residents and explained the city's concerns with Mn/DOT's current plans. Specifically, Heck addressed the north end of the project.

Council member Mac Lean told the residents about some research she did on alternative materials.

Mayor Dains asked for anyone who may have comments or questions to state their name and address and come to the podium.

Council member Christensen joined the meeting at 7:45 p.m.

Steve Martinson, 1943 Walnut Street, told the council he is in favor of the sound wall however, he felt 20' behind his house was too high and suggested the council work with Mn/DOT to have the height reduced to 15'.

Mike Rose, 1751 Malvern Street, felt the sound wall was unnecessary. He stated he's accustomed to the noise and doesn't want his view of downtown obstructed by a sound wall. He indicated it would be like living behind prison walls. He suggested if the sound wall is constructed that it stop at Ione Street so he still has access to the corner to view downtown Minneapolis.

Dan Lang, 1725 Malvern Street, stated he has lived in the community for 30 years. He said he understands and agrees those along Walnut need the sound wall, but those on Malvern – the 1700 block – do not need the sound wall. He stated their homes already sit 50' to 60' above the road and the noise is not too bad. He suggested stopping the sound wall near the city park property. He also commented on the view of the downtown skyline.

Steve Munson, 1703 Malvern Street, echoed the comments of Mr. Lang.

Grace Dyrud, 1805 Walnut Street, commented that the sound wall is necessary. She said they cannot open windows in the summer because of the noise. She said it has always been bad, however; after 280 became the alternate route for I35W, the situation is unbearable.

Tracey Koch, 1769 Walnut Street, fully supports the sound wall and addressed the issue of drainage. He stated there seems to be a discrepancy between the bridge plan and the sound wall plan. The bridge plan calls for a retaining wall, yet the retaining wall is not shown on the sound wall plan. He wants to ensure Mn/DOT is using accurate data in their planning.

Gary Sax, 1935 Walnut Street, addressed the council. He said he opposed the sound wall in the past due to the view he enjoys. However, since the I35W bridge collapse, he fully supports the wall. His main concern is the design for the north end of the project.

Seth Dahlquist, 1966 Walnut Street, expressed concern over the proposed design at the north end. He likes the open field and wants to keep that space if possible. Heck responded Mn/DOT indicated they wanted that area for a storm pond and that the City shares his concerns as well and that the City will work with Mn/DOT on the design.

Bob Roper, 1759 Malvern Street, wanted to know if the construction of the sound wall would result in an increase in his property taxes. Heck said unless the city requested something that cost more, the funding for the wall would be all state dollars.

Nancy Reed, 1762 Carl Street, addressed the issue of crossing highway 280. Heck said there will be sidewalks and bike shoulders on the new bridge.

The Council thanked all for attending and for the comments.

Council member Hawkinson moved to adjourn the meeting. Council member Doherty seconded the motion and the meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.

LAUDERDALE CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
Lauderdale City Hall
1891 Walnut Street
Lauderdale, MN 55113

Page 4 of 4

Respectfully submitted

Brian W. Heck
City Administrator

**CITY OF LAUDERDALE
CLAIMS FOR APPROVAL**

March 25, 2008 City Council Meeting

Payroll	
03/21/08 Payroll: Direct Deposit # 500519-500528	\$8,122.15
03/21/08 Payroll: Payroll Liabilities, e-payments 241E-244E	\$7,174.30
<hr/>	
Vendor Claims	
03/25/08 Claims: Check #s 19105-19124	\$19,370.27

Subtotal of Claims From Above

\$34,666.72

Total Claims for Approval	\$34,666.72
----------------------------------	--------------------

CITY OF LAUDERDALE

Payments

Current Period: MARCH 2008

Batch Name 022108pyproll Payment Computer Dollar Amt \$7,174.30 Posted

Refer 171 ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST - 457 CK# 000241E 3/21/2008

Cash Payment G 101-21705 ICMA RETIREMENT 3/21/08 payroll \$2,002.68

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$2,002.68

Refer 172 PERA CK# 000242E 3/21/2008

Cash Payment G 101-21704 PERA 3/21/08 payroll \$1,451.70

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$1,451.70

Refer 173 NORTH STAR BANK, CHECKING S CK# 000243E 3/21/2008

Cash Payment G 101-21703 FICA WITHHOLDING. 3/21/08 payroll taxes \$2,017.48

Cash Payment G 101-21701 FEDERAL TAXES 3/21/08 payroll taxes \$889.90

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$2,907.38

Refer 174 MN DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE CK# 000244E 3/21/2008

Cash Payment G 101-21702 STATE WITHHOLDING 3/08 state withholding \$812.54

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$812.54

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Transaction Date 3/20/2008 Due 0 NORTH STAR CHEC 10100 Total \$7,174.30

Refer 10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING

Pre-Written Checks	\$7,174.30
Checks to be Generated by the Computer	\$0.00
Total	\$7,174.30

CITY OF LAUDERDALE

*Check Detail Register©

MARCH 2008

Check Amt Invoice Comment

Check Amt	Invoice Comment
10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING	
Paid Chk# 019105	3/25/2008 AFSCME
G 101-21709	UNION DUES
\$96.04	Total AFSCME
\$96.04	3/08 union dues
Paid Chk# 019106	3/25/2008 AVENET, LLC
E 202-49500-307	COMPUTER SERVICES
\$111.00	Total AVENET, LLC
\$111.00	2q08 web hosting
Paid Chk# 019107	3/25/2008 BONESTROO, ROSENE, ANDERLIK
E 404-48404-304	ENGINEERING
E 403-48403-304	ENGINEERING
E 101-43000-304	ENGINEERING
\$5,866.00	2/08 engineering - Ice rink
\$95.00	2/08 engineering - MS4 Permit
\$140.00	2/08 engineering - review soun
\$6,101.00	Total BONESTROO, ROSENE, ANDERLIK
Paid Chk# 019108	3/25/2008 BOWNIK, JIM
E 101-41200-331	TRAVEL EXPENSE
\$86.25	Total BOWNIK, JIM
\$86.25	1/4/08-3/11/08 mileage expense
Paid Chk# 019109	3/25/2008 BUTKOWSKI, HEATHER
E 101-41200-308	TRAINING/CONFERENCES
E 101-41200-331	TRAVEL EXPENSE
\$75.00	March 26-27 ICMA conference
\$34.44	12/19/07-3/13/08 mileage expen
\$109.44	Total BUTKOWSKI, HEATHER
Paid Chk# 019110	3/25/2008 CINTAS
E 601-49000-425	CLOTHING
E 602-49100-425	CLOTHING
\$28.18	pw clothing
\$28.18	pw clothing
\$56.36	Total CINTAS
Paid Chk# 019111	3/25/2008 CITY OF ROSEVILLE
E 101-41200-306	CONSULTING FEES
\$202.83	Total CITY OF ROSEVILLE
\$202.83	2/08 IT support
Paid Chk# 019112	3/25/2008 EHLERS & ASSOCIATES
E 101-48100-306	CONSULTING FEES
\$462.50	Total EHLERS & ASSOCIATES
\$462.50	2/08 LA development consulting
Paid Chk# 019113	3/25/2008 EUREKA RECYCLING
E 203-50000-389	RECYCLING CONTRACTOR
\$1,688.05	Total EUREKA RECYCLING
\$1,688.05	2/08 recycling contract
Paid Chk# 019114	3/25/2008 GOVERNMENT TRAINING SERVICE
E 101-41200-308	TRAINING/CONFERENCES
\$125.00	Total GOVERNMENT TRAINING SERVICE
\$125.00	jb - advanced zoning applicati
Paid Chk# 019115	3/25/2008 INTEGRA
E 101-41200-391	TELEPHONE/PAGERS
\$50.44	Total INTEGRA
\$50.44	2/08 fax line

CITY OF LAUDERDALE

*Check Detail Register©

MARCH 2008

Check Amt Invoice Comment

Paid Chk# 019116	3/25/2008	KENNEDY & GRAVEN		
E 101-41200-355	MISC PRINTING/PROCESS SER	\$14.56		2/08 legal processing
E 101-41200-305	LEGAL FEES	\$356.50		2/08 legal services - park
E 101-41200-305	LEGAL FEES	\$356.50		2/08 legal services - general
Total KENNEDY & GRAVEN \$727.56				
Paid Chk# 019117	3/25/2008	MAMA		
E 101-41200-308	TRAINING/CONFERENCES	\$20.00		lh - luncheon/training
Total MAMA \$20.00				
Paid Chk# 019118	3/25/2008	NELSON CHEESE AND DELI		
E 101-41100-440	MEETING EXPENSES	\$59.92		3/11/08 council meeting
Total NELSON CHEESE AND DELI \$59.92				
Paid Chk# 019119	3/25/2008	NORTH SUBURBAN COMMUNICATIONS		
E 202-49500-329	CABLE FRANCHISE FEE	\$5,023.46		'08 contribution to NSCC
Total NORTH SUBURBAN COMMUNICATIONS \$5,023.46				
Paid Chk# 019120	3/25/2008	OFFICE MAX		
E 101-41200-201	GENERAL SUPPLIES	\$84.36		postcards & misc supplies
Total OFFICE MAX \$84.36				
Paid Chk# 019121	3/25/2008	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES INS PROGRAM		
G 101-21706	HEALTH INSURANCE	\$1,054.53		4/08 health insurance
Total PUBLIC EMPLOYEES INS PROGRAM \$1,054.53				
Paid Chk# 019122	3/25/2008	RAMSEY COUNTY, PROP REC & REV		
G 101-21706	HEALTH INSURANCE	\$378.02		3/08 employee benefits
E 101-43000-313	SNOW & ICE REMOVAL	\$1,821.36		2/08 sanding & snow-ploving
E 101-41200-355	MISC PRINTING/PROCESS SER	\$25.00		3/08 employee benefits process
Total RAMSEY COUNTY, PROP REC & REV \$2,224.38				
Paid Chk# 019123	3/25/2008	XCEL ENERGY, PARK & GARAGE		
E 101-43000-381	ELECTRIC	\$113.85		2/08 wh & pw garage electric/g
E 101-45200-381	ELECTRIC	\$113.85		2/08 wh & pw garage electric/g
E 101-43000-383	GAS UTILITIES	\$190.02		2/08 wh & pw garage electric/g
E 101-45200-383	GAS UTILITIES	\$190.03		2/08 wh & pw garage electric/g
Total XCEL ENERGY, PARK & GARAGE \$607.75				
Paid Chk# 019124	3/25/2008	XCEL ENERGY, STREET LIGHTING		
E 101-43000-381	ELECTRIC	\$479.40		2/08 street lighting
Total XCEL ENERGY, STREET LIGHTING \$479.40				
10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING \$19,370.27				

CITY OF LAUDERDALE

*Check Detail Register®

MARCH 2008

Check Amt Invoice Comment

Fund Summary

10100 NORTH STAR CHECKING	\$6,530.40
101 GENERAL	\$5,134.46
202 COMMUNICATIONS	\$1,688.05
203 RECYCLING	\$95.00
403 CAPITAL IMPROVE STORM WATER	\$5,866.00
404 PARK IMPROVEMENT	\$28.18
601 SEWER UTILITIES	\$28.18
602 STORM SEWER ENTERPRISE FUND	\$19,370.27

LAUDERDALE COUNCIL ACTION FORM

- TYPE OF REQUEST**
- Consent
 - Action
 - Resolution
 - Information
 - Work session

MEETING DATE March 25, 2008

AGENDA NUMBER 5A Rental Housing License

DESCRIPTION 2008 Rental Housing License



BACKGROUND OR PAST COUNCIL ACTION

Daniel Busse, owner of 1768 Eustis Street, met the requirements for a rental housing license for 2008.

OPTIONS

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approve this rental housing license for 2008.

COUNCIL ACTION

STAFF ACTION

MOTION BY _____

SECOND _____

LAUDERDALE COUNCIL ACTION FORM

TYPE OF REQUEST

- Consent
- Action
- Resolution
- Information
- Work session

MEETING DATE Tuesday, March 25, 2008

AGENDA NUMBER 5 - B

DESCRIPTION Televising sanitary sewer system

BACKGROUND OR PAST COUNCIL ACTION

As part of the assessment and investigation of Inflow and Infiltration and as a lead up to residential inspections for possible a non-compliant connection, staff is recommending televising the City's sanitary sewer lines. The cost to televise the sanitary sewer system is estimated at \$11,000 and \$12,000 depending on when the company performs the work. The City already has video of the system that was part of the final phase of the street and utility construction.

There are adequate funds in the budget to cover this expense and is part of our compliance efforts to reduce and eliminate I and I.

OPTIONS

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COUNCIL ACTION

STAFF ACTION

MOTION BY _____

SECOND _____

QUOTATION

March 12, 2008

Mr. David Hinrichs, Public Works Coordinator

City of Lauderdale

1891 Walnut Street

Lauderdale, Minnesota 55113-5137

Project: Sanitary sewer collection system television inspection

Lauderdale, Minnesota

Mr. Hinrichs,

First let me thank you for this opportunity to provide the city of Lauderdale with this quotation. Pursuant to your request, Infratech is pleased to offer the following quotation for the aforementioned project.

As I understand the work being quoted, the aforementioned project involves 8" PVC and VCP sanitary sewer mainline that is assumed to not require hydraulic cleaning. If hydraulic jet/vac services are determined to be necessary, the city of Lauderdale may provide this service, or Infratech could provide this service. Viewing of mainlines that reside within easements may be viewed, upstream or downstream, from the most accessible manhole. Regardless of actual flow levels present, televising will be performed using the best available method, to obtain as much information as possible.

Infratech's television inspection will be documented on the city's choice of VHS or DVD. DVD Video inspections and reports will be provided to the city with a complimentary demo CD-ROM of Visual Pipes Pipeline Inspection Software. Visual Pipes software provides seamless viewing of your inspection videos, photos and reports on any computer.

Infratech proposes to furnish labor, material, skill and equipment necessary to perform the services pertinent to this quotation for the following remuneration:

Typical rates apply for work commencing after April 11, 2008

1. Closed Circuit Televising of approximately 16,000 linear feet of sanitary sewer collection system mainline, including mobilizations
Typical time & materials rate of \$210 per hour
Estimate=55 hours
2. Combination jet/vac cleaning services, including mobilizations
Typical time & materials rate of \$210 per hour
Estimate=55 hours

Seasonal discounted rates apply for work commencing before April 11, 2008

1. Closed Circuit Televising of approximately 16,000 linear feet of sanitary sewer collection system mainline, including mobilizations
Discounted time & materials rate of \$195 per hour
Estimate=55 hours

2. Combination jet/vac cleaning services, including mobilizations
Discounted time & materials rate of \$195 per hour

The owner may modify overall quantities of this project +/- 20%, without modifying the aforementioned rates.

Intratech intends to perform work associated with this project in such a manner as to minimize disruption to the city's customers and traffic flow.

Owner shall provide for ingress/egress access to each work site, all applicable permitting (local, county, state and federal), and all supply water in the event that combination jet/vac cleaning services are required. Intratech does not assume any financial liability for the aforementioned accommodations.

If this quotation meets your needs regarding the above referenced project, please provide an authorizing signature and return the entire proposal to Intratech. A signed copy of this agreement will be returned to you prior to execution of a work order. This work will likely commence immediately after receiving the *authorization to proceed*.

Please call the Intratech office or my cell phone (612-817-6521) with any questions or concerns.

Thank you for choosing Intratech.

Respectfully,

Joseph B. Rubbelke
Project Manager

cc: File

TV 08/Lauderdale, MN/Lauderdale 03-12-08

AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED

Signing of this proposal by authorizing agents of the prescribed project constitutes the entire agreement between the parties and supersedes all prior proposals and agreements.

Infrastructure Technologies, Inc.

City of Lauderdale, Minnesota
Owner

Subcontractor

Sign _____
Name Joseph B. Rubbelke
Title Project Manager
Date _____

Special Instructions (if any): _____

References:

Following is a short list of some of your neighboring communities that Infratech has provided these specific types of service for. We encourage you to compare Infratech's service satisfaction history with any of our competitors. Individual contact names and telephone numbers available upon request.

Arden Hills	Fridley	Mendota Heights	Roseville	Shoreview	Vadnais Heights
Brooklyn Center	Little Canada	No. St. Paul	St. Anthony	So. St. Paul	W St. Paul
Columbia Heights	Maplewood	Robbinsdale	St. Paul	Spring Lake Park	White Bear Lake

LAUDERDALE COUNCIL ACTION FORM

Meeting Date	March 25, 2008	ITEM NUMBER	7A & 9A/C—Sewers & I/I	STAFF INITIAL	[Signature]	APPROVED BY ADMINISTRATOR	[Signature]
--------------	----------------	-------------	------------------------	---------------	-------------	---------------------------	-------------

Action Requested	Consent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Public Hearing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Discussion	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Action	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Resolution	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Work Session	<input type="checkbox"/>

DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE AND PAST COUNCIL ACTION:

Section 8-2-2-10 of *Lauderdale City Code* was modified to reflect inspection practices that are more enforceable per the Council's previous discussions and other cities' experiences. Additionally, the section "Penalties for Non-Compliance" of the *Clear Water Inflow and Infiltration Reduction Program Policy* was updated to reflect the changes.

If adopted, the ordinance would be published in the Roseville Review in the format provided.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

1. Hold public hearing regarding changes to *Lauderdale City Code* Title 8, Chapter 2
2. Consider adoption of ordinance 08-01 amending Title 8, Chapter 2 of *Lauderdale City Code* Regarding Sewers
3. Consider adoption of resolution 032508A regarding the *Clear Water Inflow and Infiltration Reduction Program Policy*

COUNCIL ACTION:

**CITY OF LAUDERDALE
ORDINANCE NO. 08-01**

An Ordinance Amending Title 8, Chapter 2 of Lauderdale City Code Regarding Sewers

The City Council of the City of Lauderdale ordains as follows:

SECTION 1. The Lauderdale City Code, Title 8, Chapter 2, Section 8-2-2-10, is amended as follows:

8-2-2-10: INSPECTION:

Every person owning improved real estate that discharges into the City's sanitary sewer system shall allow be subject to an inspection by an employee of the City or its designated representative to inspect the building or improvement to confirm that there is no sump pump or other prohibited discharge into the sanitary sewer system.

~~If Any person refuses to allow their property to be inspected by a City employee or its designated representatives shall immediately become subject to the surcharge hereinafter provided for. Charges will accrue until the property is inspected and found to be in compliance with this code by a City employee or its designated representative, the City reserves the right to seek an administrative search warrant from a court of competent jurisdiction before conducting the inspection in accordance with this chapter. (amended 3-25-2008)~~

New Home Inspections: All new homes with a sump pump system shall be inspected by a City employee or its designated representative before a certificate of occupancy is issued.

Assessments for Improvements: If a City employee or its designated representative identifies an illegal discharge into the sanitary sewer system and the cost to disconnect exceeds \$500, the property owner may petition the City Council to allow the disconnection costs to be assessed as a lien against its property under the provisions of Minnesota Statutes Chapter 429 and Lauderdale City Code 1-7-1.

~~Penalty/Surcharge: A surcharge of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) per month will be imposed and added to sewer bills of residential property owners who are not in compliance with this Chapter by the correction date established by the City. A surcharge of three hundred dollars (\$300.00) per month shall be imposed and added to sewer bills of commercial or industrial property owners who are not in compliance with this Chapter by the correction date established by the City. The surcharges shall be added every quarter until the property is brought into compliance with this Chapter. (amended 3-25-2008)~~

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be effective upon its adoption and publication.

Adopted by the city council of the City of Lauderdale this 25th day of March, 2008.

Jeffrey Dains, Mayor

ATTEST:

Brian W. Heck, City Administrator

Published in the Roseville Review this 1st day of April, 2008.

CITY OF LAUDERDALE

Clear Water Inflow and Infiltration Reduction Program Policy

Purpose of Policy: This policy establishes program elements for the reduction of clear water inflow and infiltration into the metropolitan disposal system.

Introduction

The Metropolitan Council owns, operates, and maintains a system of wastewater interceptors in the city and region that transport waste for final treatment. Current system capacity is being consumed by clear water that enters the pipe network from a variety of public and private entrance points. As a result, in 2006 the Metropolitan Council adopted a surcharge program that imposes charges on cities when flows exceed allowable limits.

To avoid additional expense to the community and its residents, it is the city's responsibility to reduce clear water flows into the wastewater disposal system. To achieve the goal of reducing or eliminating clear water flow into the metropolitan disposal system, the city has adopted the following program.

Clear Water Reduction Program Components

The city's clear water reduction program includes four elements intended to reduce or eliminate the flow of clear water into the wastewater disposal system:

- **Public Education.** The city will use a variety of media sources to raise awareness and provide education about the problems and costs associated with clear water inflow and infiltration into the metropolitan disposal system. The key to a successful program is an educated community.
- **Public Right-of-Way Improvements.** The city will inspect and repair street manhole barrels and castings that currently allow clear water to quickly enter the wastewater disposal system.

- **Residential Property Inspections.** The city will inspect and certify all residential and commercial properties to ensure they are not contributing clear water to the wastewater disposal system. Visual inspections may be supplemented with camera or video inspections to determine if foundation drains are connected to service laterals. Non-compliant property owners will be given a reasonable amount of time to make repairs so that the property can be certified as compliant.
- **Grant Program.** The city will establish a grant program to assist property owners with needed repairs. The owner of any property that has an existing illegal connection, who submits to an inspection by city personnel, a representative, or agent within 14 days of initial notice of inspection, may apply once for City financial assistance based upon the following grant program:

- \$300 for voluntary compliance in 2008, or
- \$150 for voluntary compliance in 2009.

To qualify for financial assistance a property owner must:

1. Request a City inspector verify the illegal connection before beginning corrective action;
2. Correct the illegal connection per the provisions of Title 8, Chapter 2 of the Lauderdale City Code, which includes securing all necessary building or plumbing permits;
3. Request a second inspection by city personnel, a representative, or agent to confirm the disconnection has been satisfactorily completed; and
4. Submit receipt(s) for labor and/or materials within 30 days of the second inspection.

Other Provisions:

- City staff will reimburse property owners with qualifying receipts after receiving City Council approval.
- Financial assistance is limited to a one-time reimbursement per dwelling, building or structure.
- The City will reimburse for labor from a licensed plumber only and materials excluding sump pumps. Routine sump pump maintenance will not be reimbursed.
- Property owners will be required to obtain the appropriate permits at City Hall before completing the disconnection. Permit fees will be waived.

Program Timetable

The clear water reduction program began January 1, 2007, and will continue until all properties have been inspected. Property re-inspections may occur at any time, with advance notice, in perpetuity.

Inspection Personnel

City personnel or authorized representatives or agents will conduct the inspection of residential and commercial properties for certification of disconnection.

Assessments for Improvements

If inspection personnel identify an illegal discharge into the wastewater disposal system and the cost to disconnect exceeds \$500, the property owner may petition the City Council to allow the disconnection costs to be assessed as a lien against their property under the provisions of Minnesota Statutes Chapter 429 and Lauderdale City Code I-7-1.

Penalties for Non-Compliance

If any person refuses to allow their property to be inspected by a City employee or its designated representatives, the City reserves the right to seek an administrative search warrant from a court of competent jurisdiction before conducting the inspection. Any person refusing to correct illegal discharges will become subject to the penalties provided for in Title 8, Chapter 2 of Lauderdale City Code.

Member _____ introduced the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**CITY OF LAUDERDALE
RESOLUTION NO. 032508A**

Clear Water Inflow and Infiltration Reduction Program

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Lauderdale, Minnesota, as follows:

WHEREAS, a program to reduce infiltration and inflow of clear water into the municipal sanitary sewer system is necessary to reduce the amount of clear water draining into the metropolitan disposal system and to reduce the resulting fees and penalties; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has reviewed a control program proposed by city staff.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Lauderdale, that *Clear Water Inflow and Infiltration Reduction Program Policy*, which is attached, is adopted by the City Council of the City of Lauderdale, Minnesota.

) CITY OF LAUDERDALE
) COUNTY OF RAMSEY
) STATE OF MINNESOTA

ss

I, Brian Heck, being duly qualified and City Administrator for the City of Lauderdale, Ramsey County, Minnesota, do hereby certify that the attached and foregoing is a true and correct copy of a Resolution duly adopted by the City Council of the City of Lauderdale on Tuesday, March 25, 2008, as the same appears in the minutes of said meeting on file and of record in City Offices.

Dated: March 25, 2008.

Jeffrey Dains, Mayor

ATTEST:

Brian Heck, City Administrator

The motion for the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly seconded by member

YES

MAC LEAN _____

HAWKINSON _____

DOHERTY _____

CHRISTENSEN _____

DAINS _____

NO

MAC LEAN _____

HAWKINSON _____

DOHERTY _____

CHRISTENSEN _____

DAINS _____

LAUDERDALE COUNCIL ACTION FORM

MEETING DATE <u>Tuesday, March 25, 2008</u>	AGENDA NUMBER <u>9 - A</u>
DESCRIPTION <u>Tree Study in Community Park</u>	<div style="text-align: right; margin-bottom: 5px;"> </div>

TYPE OF REQUEST	<input type="checkbox"/> Consent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Action <input type="checkbox"/> Resolution <input type="checkbox"/> Information <input type="checkbox"/> Work session
-----------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p>BACKGROUND OR PAST COUNCIL ACTION</p> <p>Ms. Emily Peters, PhD student at the U of M contacted me about including trees in the Community Park as part of her research. I attached information about her plans in the March 14 Friday report. Ms. Peters is here to answer questions about her research and receive formal approval from the Council to proceed.</p>	
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

<p>OPTIONS</p> <p>1. Approve the request. 2. Deny the request.</p>	
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

<p>STAFF RECOMMENDATION</p> <p>Staff recommends the Council approve the request and allow Ms. Peters to include the tree stand in the Community Park in her research.</p>	
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

<p>COUNCIL ACTION</p>	
------------------------------	--

MOTION BY _____ SECOND _____

STAFF ACTION

LAUDERDALE COUNCIL ACTION FORM

MEETING DATE <u>Tuesday, March 25, 2008</u>
AGENDA NUMBER <u>9 - d</u>
DESCRIPTION <u>Consideration of Municipal Consent for TH 280 Sound Wall</u>

TYPE OF REQUEST	<input type="checkbox"/> Consent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Action	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Resolution	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information	
<input type="checkbox"/> Work session	

BACKGROUND OR PAST COUNCIL ACTION

The Council held two public meetings to gather input on the sound wall design. The first meeting was held on February 26, 2008. MN/DOT officials were present to provide information and preliminary design for the proposed project. The second meeting was on March 11, 2008. The council took public comment on the preliminary design from residents in the community. As a result of the comments and suggestions, staff met with MN/DOT officials and worked out a revised plan that address most of the residential concerns while maintaining the effectiveness of the sound wall.

- OPTIONS**
1. Approve the final design and provide municipal consent;
 2. Provide municipal consent with additional modifications;
 3. Reject the noise wall.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Council approve the attached Resolution providing municipal consent for the final design

COUNCIL ACTION

MOTION BY _____
SECOND _____
STAFF ACTION

Memo

To: Council
From: Brian W. Heck, City Administrator
Date: March 20, 2008
Re: Sound Wall

I met with Mn/DOT several times over the past few days to discuss the placement of the sound wall at the north end. Mn/DOT officials are using their property at the end of Walnut for a storm pond and plan to develop the pond in 2009 when they reopen the Broadway intersection. The policy on storm ponds is to locate them away from residential areas when possible to reduce potential liability.

My other concern surrounded the placement of the wall in relation to our sanitary sewer manhole. We discovered the sound wall as planned would about the manhole making it difficult to maintain and get equipment in and out of the area. After discussing several options, Mn/DOT agreed to move the sound wall north approximately 16 feet. Moving the wall places the manhole on the "Lauderdale" side of the wall so we can access the utility without going around the wall, being subjected to highway 280 traffic, and negotiating the pond. Mn/DOT saves dollars by not having to create a road around the storm pond and provides them more area to develop the pond.

The sound wall will end at Ryan Avenue and will decrease in height to approximately 6' at the end. This should make the area less closed-in and oppressive. Furthermore, Mn/DOT will remove the asphalt from Ryan to highway 280 - Walnut Street - and seed it for grass providing additional green space. Walnut Street north of Ryan is Mn/DOT right of way.

I spoke to Seth Dalquist and showed him the new design and he is ok with the new plan. I also sent a note to and spoke with Cindy Radke about the design changes. Her main concern was the mitigation of the noise and felt a 15' wall to the end of Ryan is better than dropping to a 6' level.

I contacted Mn/DOT with the information and asked they design the wall to remain 15' to the end of Ryan Avenue.

Member _____ introduced the following resolution and moved its adoption:

CITY OF LAUDERDALE

**RESOLUTION NO. 032508B
RESOLUTION FOR LAYOUT APPROVAL
OF THE TH280 NOISE WALL FROM IONE TO RYAN AVENUE
PROJECT STATE SP. 6241-59**

WHEREAS, The Commissioner of Transportation has prepared the final layout for the noise wall along TH 280 from Ione Street to Ryan Avenue know as the TH 280 Noise Wall Project in the City of Lauderdale. The noise wall will be located on the east side of TH 280; and seeks the approval thereof; and

WHEREAS, said final layout is on file in the Minnesota Department of Transportation office, Roseville, Minnesota, being marked, labeled, and identified as Final Layout, S.P. 6241-59 from Sta. 15+70.00 to 45+00.00; and

WHEREAS, the project will close the Roselawn Avenue access to TH 280, modification of storm sewer and drainage system and appurtenances, which have been included in the said final layout; and

WHEREAS, the Minnesota Department of Transportation will lower the noise wall to 980' at around Sta. 40+00.00 as requested by the City.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Lauderdale, that said final layout for the construction of said Noise Wall along Trunk Highway 280 within the corporate limits be and is hereby approved.

CITY OF LAUDERDALE)
COUNTY OF RAMSEY)
STATE OF MINNESOTA)

ss

I, Brian Heck, being duly qualified and City Administrator for the City of Lauderdale, Ramsey County, Minnesota, do hereby certify that the attached and foregoing is a true and correct copy of a Resolution duly adopted by the City Council of the City of Lauderdale on Tuesday, March 25, 2008 as the same appears in the minutes of said meeting on file and of record in City Offices.

Dated: March 25, 2008.

ATTEST:

Brian Heck, City Administrator

The motion for the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly seconded by member

Jeffrey Dains, Mayor

YES

MAC LEAN

HAWKINSON

DOHERTY

CHRISTENSEN

DAINS

NO

MAC LEAN

HAWKINSON

DOHERTY

CHRISTENSEN

DAINS