



*Our Mission is to Provide Quality Public Services
in a Fiscally Responsible Manner While Preserving
the City's Open Space Character*

**NOTICE OF
CITY COUNCIL
WORKSHOP MEETING**

**City of Lake Elmo
3800 Laverne Avenue North
City Council Workshop
Tuesday January 27th, 7:00 P.M.**

AGENDA

- A. CALL TO ORDER**
- B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
- C. 2015 DOWNTOWN STREET, SEWER, DRAINAGE WORKSHOP**
 - 1. PROCESS/COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT – Nick Johnson, Lake Elmo**
 - 2. RECOMMENDED PRELIMINARY DESIGN OVERVIEW / PROJECT STAGING – Frank Ticknor, Washington County**
 - 3. SEWER AND WATER SERVICE – Jack Griffin, Focus**
 - 4. DOWNTOWN DRAINAGE STRATEGY – Jack Griffin, Focus**
 - 5. QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES – Nick Johnson, Lake Elmo**
 - a. Sidewalks**
 - b. Tree Preservation**
 - c. Theming / Underground Utilities**
 - 6. ASSESSMENT POLICY – Dean Zuleger, Lake Elmo / Jack Griffin**
 - a. Funding Alternatives**
- D. RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL ON MUNICIPAL CONSENT**
- E. Adjourn**



MAYOR & COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

DATE: January 27, 2015
WORKSHOP
ITEM C

AGENDA ITEM: 2015 Downtown Street, Sewer, Drainage Workshop

SUBMITTED BY: Nick M. Johnson, City Planner

THROUGH: Dean Zuleger, City Administrator

REVIEWED BY: Jack Griffin, City Engineer
Frank Ticknor, Washington County
Kyle Klatt, Community Development Director

SUMMARY AND POLICY RECCOMENDATION:

Staff will present the proposed scope of work included in the Downtown Street and Utility project, to be constructed in two phases primarily in 2015 and 2016 in cooperation with Washington County. In addition, Staff is recommending that the City Council proceed with Municipal Consent action at the February 3rd City Council Meeting for Phase I of the Downtown Street and Utility Project. Phase I of the Downtown Street and Utility Project includes all segments/locations where construction activity is planned to begin in 2015, including Laverne Ave., Upper 33rd St., 36th St., and the downstream regional infiltration basin to be located north of Reid Park.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The Project will be funded through multiple sources including assessments to benefitting properties. The project financial plan including a project specific assessment policy will be developed for the project. In addition, the County has agreed to allow the City to finance the City cost share of the improvements over a 5 year period.

PROJECT PURPOSE, SCOPE AND SCHEDULE:

As the downtown project has developed and evolved over the last year, it has become clear that the purpose of the project is to solve or improve two fundamental problems in the Village Area: 1) individual septic systems and the need for sanitary sewer, and 2) longstanding drainage/storm water challenges in the downtown. It is the goal of this project to significantly improve both fundamental problems that have existed for long period of time.

Since the completion of the Lake Elmo Ave. sanitary sewer forcemain project, which extended sanitary sewer to the lift station east of Reid Park, the City has been planning on extending sanitary sewer to the Village Area. As a result of successful discussions with Washington

County, the County was agreeable to moving up the reconstruction of Lake Elmo Ave. from 30th Street to Trunk Highway 5 (TH 5) up in their Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) from 2018 to 2015. Moving this project up in the County CIP was a necessary component of extending sewer up into the Village Area, as the installation of gravity sewer in open trench would require the road to be completely reconstructed. In moving Lake Elmo Ave. up in the queue of County projects, the County signaled their commitment to proceed with the project in a collaborative fashion. It should also be noted that the County has adopted a policy to allow for the replacement of non-compliant septic systems to be delayed for properties in the Village Area that are to be served by sanitary sewer in the near timeframe. This administrative flexibility related to non-compliant septic systems offered by the County Health Department is another time sensitive factor that supports moving forward with the extension of sanitary sewer sooner rather than later. The flexibility offered by the County is founded in the premise that the City will be extending sewer to the Village. Should the City choose to delay the extension of sanitary sewer, the County may want to revisit the policy of leaving non-compliant systems in place until sewer is available. Therefore, it is important to note that the Downtown Street and Utility Project is fundamentally a sewer project, installing the trunk gravity sewer mains that will serve the existing portions of the Village Area.

In addition to sewer, the installation of a regional storm water management system is the other fundamental challenge that the downtown project will significantly improve. Along with the installation of sanitary sewer and replacement of aging watermain, the proposed scope of work includes the installation of storm sewer in the downtown area. The storm sewer as proposed will collect a significant amount of storm water that collects in the downtown area originating from the northwest, and direct it to a downstream regional infiltration basin north of Reid Park. The proposed system as designed will significantly reduce the volume of surface water that flows through the downtown, across the downtown baseball fields (Lions and VFW Park) and direct it to the south. From the southern regional infiltration basin, the storm water that does not infiltrate in larger events would then be directed to the planned storm water facilities within the Easton Village residential subdivision. All of these facilities are being coordinated with the City, Washington County, the Valley Branch Watershed District, and the private landowners. It has been a significant amount of work to bring all parties together to arrive at the proposed design. While the County road work is a driving factor behind the timing of the project, the downtown project is fundamentally a sewer project and a storm water management project.

In addition to the utility improvements being proposed as part of the project, all affected streets will need to be reconstructed as a result of the underground utility work. When planning a complete street reconstruction, the County and the City are presented with the opportunity to design and construct these facilities in a manner that is consistent with other plans and policies. For example, Washington County has made a concerted effort to include multi-modal facilities, allowing for safe walking and biking, with all projects for County arterial roads. In addition, the City has also been planning for pedestrian facilities within the Village Area and downtown as guided by the Village Land Use Plan. Based upon these planning efforts and policies, the City and County are both interested in including multi-modal facilities within the downtown project. Further detail of the policy and planning reasons behind pedestrian facilities can be found in Attachment #7, the Frequently Asked Questions exhibit. As part of the downtown project, the following streets will be reconstructed in two phases:

- 2015: Laverne Avenue North (from Upper 33rd St. to Trunk Highway 5), Upper 33rd Street North (from Lake Elmo Ave. to Laverne Ave.), and 36th Street North (from Lake Elmo Ave. to Laverne Ave.)
- 2016: Lake Elmo Avenue North (from 30th St. to Trunk Highway 5) and 30th Street (from the lift station location to Lake Elmo Ave.)

For illustrative detail on the proposed phasing of the project, see Attachment #1, Project Map. The proposed design as recommended by staff for the streets and utilities is found in Attachment #3. This proposed design is based on a year-long collaborative effort between the City and the County. The staff recommended design will be further described in a forthcoming section of this report.

With regards to schedule, the project as proposed is split into two phases of construction in 2015 and 2016, as noted previously. The most up-to-date version of the proposed schedule is found in Attachment #2, Project Schedule. Within this proposed schedule, there are some important process and timeline factors that must be noted. First, it is important to highlight the fact that the municipal consent process will be broken up into two phases; municipal consent for Phase I (2015 work) and municipal consent for Phase II (2016 work). The separation of the municipal consent process is a recent development in the City and County's timeline. The reason to separate municipal consent is that the City and County agree that additional neighborhood meetings to discuss alternatives for Lake Elmo Ave. (CSAH 17) and 30th Street are warranted. These meeting will likely take place in late February. While additional input is needed for the 2016 work, City and County staff are confident that enough information and preliminary design work has been completed to proceed with the municipal consent process for Phase I of the Downtown Street and Utility Project. It should also be noted that the City and County are nearing the deadline where construction in 2015 will not be possible if the consent process is delayed for any significant amount of time. For that reason, staff is recommending that the City Council take action on municipal consent at the February 3rd City Council meeting. If municipal consent for Phase I of the project proceeds, it is likely that construction could begin in July of 2015 per the proposed schedule (Attachment #2). After splitting the municipal consent process between Phase I and Phase II, the likely time period for municipal consent for Phase II of the Downtown Street and Utility would occur in late March or early April of 2015. In terms of why it is important to proceed with the schedule as proposed, there are two factors that should be noted: 1) It is important to bid the project as early as possible for a competitive price, and 2) Adequate construction time is needed to ensure asphalt is placed prior to winter frost conditions to have a paved surface for the winter months. Overall, City and County staff are confident that the proposed schedule will allow for a successful project, but it should be noted that the dates are time sensitive. Delays the proposed schedule would likely result in pushing the project back to the following year.

PROCESS/COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT:

As part of the Downtown Street and Utility Project, the City and County have undergone a significant planning process and community engagement effort. The process to begin planning the downtown project started in January of 2014. To begin planning the downtown project, a

Project Management Team (PMT) was formed, consisting of staff from the City of Lake Elmo, Washington County, Valley Branch Watershed District, SEH (consulting engineer) and Damon Farber and Associates (consulting landscape architect). The Project Management Team was tasked with formulating a preliminary recommended design to present to the Lake Elmo City Council and Washington County Board of Commissioners for approval. As a starting point, the policy documents that informed the recommended design include the City of Lake Elmo Comprehensive Plan (Village Land Use Plan, Transportation Plan, Trail Plan, etc.) and Washington County Comprehensive Plan, both of which emphasize the importance of multi-modal facilities, especially in the Village Area of Lake Elmo. Based on the guidance of these guiding plans, the members of the PMT prepared a preliminary design that has undergone significant development and revision since its inception point. Since January of 2014, there have been 13 PMT meetings to coordinate community engagement efforts, Preliminary Design preparation, design modifications, regional storm water study, construction schedule, and other critical elements of the project. If the Municipal and County consent process moves forward, the consulting engineers will move into the Final Design phase of the project. Looking ahead, members of the PMT will continue to work on timing/phasing, construction, and individual property owner concerns should the project proceed according to the proposed schedule.

Given the importance of the Downtown Street and Utility Project, it was critical for both the City and County to conduct a significant community engagement effort as part of the process. Over the course of the last year, the City and County held 3 public open houses (3/13/14, 6/12/14 and 12/11/14), 1 downtown summit meeting (10/14/14), 3 neighborhood focus group sessions (1/14/15), and completed a written survey for sidewalks along 30th Street (results published 10/15/14). All of the feedback and comments regarding the preliminary design of the project is found in Attachment #6 in chronological order. In addition, as the first stage of municipal consent relates only to Phase I of the project (2015 construction), the City and County intend to conduct additional neighborhood meetings for the Phase II portions of the project (Lake Elmo Avenue and 30th Street). These additional neighborhood meeting will likely occur in late February and early March. This additional input will inform design modifications leading up to the Municipal and County consent process in late March/early April of 2015 according to the proposed schedule (Attachment #2). Overall, City and County staff are confident that an effective community engagement process for the Downtown Street and Utility Project has occurred, as a great deal of valuable input has been gained.

STAFF RECOMMENDED DESIGN:

The Staff Recommended Design for the total project at the time of this workshop is found in Attachment #3. In preparing a recommended design over the last year, the members of the Project Management Team took many factors into consideration. Factors that influence the design include municipal state aid standards, traffic and civil engineering best practices, County and City Comprehensive Plans, planning best practices, and community input and engagement, among others. The staff recommended design is very similar to the design that was presented at public open house #3 (12/11/14). The Staff recommended design has become the baseline design for comparisons to various design alternatives that have been requested by property owners, City Council Members, County Board Members, and others who have taken interest in the project.

City and County Staff put forth this recommended design as a means to most effectively achieve City and County goals as specified in their Comprehensive Plans.

DESIGN ALTERNATIVES:

Throughout the processing of developing a recommended preliminary design for the Downtown Street and Utility Project, City and County staff have explored multiple alternatives for various segments of the project to mitigate potential impacts to properties caused by construction, or in response to neighborhood feedback. This section of the report is intended to describe the various alternatives that were studied. It should also be noted that a design matrix is provided that shows the anticipated tree impacts and parking stalls provided by the various design alternatives.

- ***Laverne Avenue (36th Street to TH 5).*** The staff recommended design for Laverne Ave. included sidewalks and parking on both sides of the street. This recommendation is based on Laverne's proximity to the downtown area, existing commercial and public uses on the street (Twin Point Tavern, Village Wine and Spirits, Christ Lutheran Church, Lions park also nearby), reported traffic patterns and speeds on the road, and guidance of Village Land Use Plan to include pedestrian facilities to create a walkable downtown. In response to the staff recommended design, the property owners of Laverne Ave. submitted a petition to the City (included in Attachment #6) indicating their preference for no sidewalks on Laverne Ave. This sentiment has been shared at community meetings as well. In response to this neighborhood preference, City and County staff prepared 5 designs for discussion:
 - ***Option #1 (Recommended):*** Option #1 reflects the staff recommendation for this corridor. There is 7-foot sidewalks directly behind the curb on both sides, as well as 8-foot parking lane on both sides.
 - ***Option #2:*** Option #2 presents a design with sidewalks on both sides, but removes on-street parking. This design was not well supported, as on-street parking was identified as a need by some property owners on Laverne Ave.
 - ***Option #3:*** Option #3 includes a sidewalk and parking on the west side of the street only. Parking and sidewalk on the west side were supported by Christ Lutheran Church at the neighborhood focus meeting on 1/14/15. In addition, the representative of Twin Point Tavern also noted finding value in on-street parking on the west side. Of the various alternatives to the recommended design, staff would recommend that the Council consider Option #3 above the other alternatives, as the neighborhood has indicated that this is a favorable option amongst those presented.
 - ***Option #4:*** Option #4 includes parking on both sides of the street, but no sidewalks. The property owners indicated that parking on both sides was above and beyond the current and future need for the corridor.
 - ***Option #5:*** Option #5 is the same design as option #3, except that a bituminous trail is provided as opposed to a concrete sidewalk. As the trail segment does not lead to a planned future trail connection in this area, and concrete sidewalks are

more common pedestrian facilities in downtown areas, staff would recommend that concrete sidewalks over a bituminous trail in this specific location.

- **36th Street (Lake Elmo Ave. to Laverne Ave.).** The staff recommended design for 36th Street is sidewalk on both sides with limited 8-foot parking on both sides of the street in specific locations. It should be noted that 36th Street is planned as the main corridor to connect to future developing area in the eastern side of the Village. 36th Street is planned to connect to the Village Parkway minor collector road, allowing residents to travel to downtown by bike or on-foot. For this reason, pedestrian facilities are planned on both sides of this corridor, as staff anticipates the traffic volume of this road to increase when the eastern and southern portions of the Village are built-out. Staff has provided two alternatives for discussion:
 - **Option #1 (Recommended):** Option #1 is the staff recommended design. As previously noted, it is anticipated that 36th Street will be a more important roadway for the downtown in the future, bringing more traffic to the downtown area.
 - **Option #2:** Option #2 is similar to Option #1, except the sidewalk on the north side of 36th Street has been removed. This option is presented in response to concern over the amount of pedestrian traffic, as well as impact to trees in the area. It should be noted that the south side of Christ Lutheran Church does occupy half of the 36th Street block on the north side. Staff would recommend that a sidewalk be in place for a facility/land use of this time that can at times generate higher amounts of activity.
- **Lake Elmo Ave. north of 36th Street (36th Street to TH 5).** The staff recommended design for this section includes a 7-foot sidewalk directly behind the curb on both sides, parking on the west side of the street, with a right-turn lane on the east side of the street for northbound CSAH 17. Brining the sidewalk directly behind the curb was done to minimize impact to mature trees within this corridor. Once again, neighborhood feedback in this area questioned the need for sidewalks on both sides of Lake Elmo Avenue. City and County staff find that pedestrian facilities are important along the downtown stretch of Lake Elmo Ave. Not only is Lake Elmo Ave. the main street for the Lake Elmo community, but it is also anticipated to serve projected traffic volume of nearly 9,000 vehicles per day out to 2040. At this level of traffic, both County and City staff recommend having off-road pedestrian facilities on both sides of the street. The following options were evaluated for this section of CSAH 17:
 - **Option #1:** Option #1 includes sidewalk on both sides, but in the form of a 5-foot walk with a 5-foot boulevard. The positive benefits of this option is that the boulevard would allow greater area for snow storage when the County plows Lake Elmo Ave.
 - **Option #2 (Recommended):** Option #2 is the staff recommended design with 7-foot walks directly behind the curb. The sidewalks were pulled into the cross section to minimize impact to nearby trees. Some impacts are still unavoidable.

- o **Option #3:** Option # 3 includes a 7-foot walk on the west side only. This option was evaluated in response to neighborhood sentiment that sidewalks were not needed on both sides of Lake Elmo Ave. As previously stated, both City and County Staff do not recommend this as an option, as pedestrian facilities on both sides of downtown main street are important for public safety, economic development, community aesthetic, and to achieve the goal of a walkable downtown.
- o **Option #4:** Option #4 is similar to Option #2, except that 4 parking spots on the west side of Lake Elmo Ave have been eliminated. The purpose of eliminating these parking spots is to shift the centerline of the road slightly to the west and bring the sidewalk in to reduce impact to nearby trees. This design alternative does save one additional tree according to the preliminary construction limits.
- **Lake Elmo Avenue south of the Railroad Tracks (30th Street to RR Tracks).** The staff recommended design for this section includes a trail on the west side of the road and a sidewalk on the east side. In addition, parking was eliminated on the recommended design, as a majority of residents noted that parking is not necessary on this corridor. Due to continued discussion with property owners along this corridor, the County and City have decided to hold additional neighborhood meetings. Municipal consent for the design of CSAH 17 and 30th Street is not required until late March/early April, allowing for more time to refine the design. In response to community feedback, the City and County have prepared the following alternatives:
 - o **Option #1 (Recommended):** Option #1 is the recommended design and has been presented consistently at various community meeting. There are pedestrian facilities on both sides (trail on west, sidewalk on east). As noted, parking was eliminated due to lack of community need. However, some property owners did note that it was useful to have parking, so the County is going to reexamine this issue.
 - o **Option #2:** Option 2 includes a sidewalk on the west side of Lake Elmo Ave. and a 10-foot parking lane provided on the east side of the road. This alternative is presented based on neighborhood input, noting that pedestrian facilities are only needed on one side of the road. It should be noted reducing pedestrian facilities to one side of the road does decrease tree impacts as shown in the attached design matrix. However, the drawback of sidewalk on only one side is that half of the corridor will not have direct access to a separated pedestrian facility on a road that is anticipated to serve nearly 9,000 vehicles per day out to 2040.
 - o **Option #3:** Option #3 is the same as Option #2 except it is reversed. Sidewalk is provided on the east side of the street, while parking is provided on the west side. One consideration worth mentioning is that if pedestrian facilities are to only be provided on one side, it may make more sense to locate them on the east side, as it would provide for easier access to planned pedestrian facilities on 30th Street, eliminating an additional unnecessary crossing of CSAH 17.

- o **Option #4:** Option #4 is similar to the recommended design in Option #1, except that the trail has been replaced by a 5-foot sidewalk. In this design alternative, both sides have a 5-foot walk with boulevards, except in areas that are a challenge due to grade/retaining walls. It should be noted that this option provides pedestrian facilities on both sides while reducing the impacts to trees. This reduced footprint is achieved by shrinking the proposed trail in Option #1 to a sidewalk in Option #4.


As noted previously, the City and County intend to conduct additional neighborhood meetings on CSAH 17 and 30th Street (the Phase II portions of the project). Municipal consent for Phase II is not needed until late March/early April, so there is additional time to refine the designs of these sections. Whereas City and County staff are confident in the direction and designs of the Phase I work, additional neighborhood input and engagement is warranted for the Phase II work.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the goal of staff to provide a wide overview of the project and significant design detail to inform both the City Council and Washington County Board of Commissioners of the project scope and purpose, design process and community engagement efforts, staff recommended design, and design alternatives that have been explored as part of the project. It is the recommendation of staff that the City Council proceed to a municipal consent action for Phase I of the Downtown Street and Utility Project at the next City Council meeting on February 3rd, 2015.


ATTACHMENTS:

1. Project Map
2. Project Schedule
3. Staff Recommended Design
4. Design Alternatives
5. Preliminary Streetscape Design
6. Community Engagement Package
7. Frequently Asked Questions



LEGEND

- 2014 CONSTRUCTION: 39TH STREET IMPROVEMENTS (CITY PROJECT)
- - - - 2015 CONSTRUCTION: VILLAGE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENTS (PRIVATE PROJECT)
- 2015 CONSTRUCTION: VILLAGE STREET, SANITARY SEWER, AND WATERMAIN IMPROVEMENTS
- 2016 CONSTRUCTION: VILLAGE STREET, SANITARY SEWER, AND WATERMAIN IMPROVEMENTS
- FUTURE: TENTATIVE 2017-2019 CONSTRUCTION: VILLAGE STREET AND UTILITY IMPROVEMENTS (CITY PROJECTS)



FOCUS
ENGINEERING

OLD VILLAGE STREET AND
UTILITY IMPROVEMENTS
PROJECT NO. 2014.137
OCTOBER, 2014

EXHIBIT NO. 1


LOCATION MAP

OLD VILLAGE STREET AND UTILITY IMPROVEMENTS


LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Project Schedule & Key Milestones



S:\UZ\W\Washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\Washc126774_PlanAndTypicals.dgn
Plan 1





LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN

S:\UZ\W\Washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\Washc126774_PlanAndTypicals.dgn
Plan 2

8:08:14 AM
1/16/2015



LEGEND

PROPERTY LINE

RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE

CONSTRUCTION LIMIT

PROPOSED STORM SEWER

PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER

PROPOSED WATERMAIN




PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAONAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016
CONSTRUCTION

CSAH 17

FILE NO.
WASHC126774

2
OF 18



S:\U2\W\Washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\Washc126774_PlanAndTypicals.dgn
Plan_3

8:09:06 AM
1/16/2015


LEGEND


- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN


SEH
PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAONAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016
CONSTRUCTION
CSAH 17

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
3
OF 18







S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\Washc126774_PlanAndTypicals.dgn
1/22/2015 5:20:51 PM
Plan 6

LEGEND

PROPERTY LINE

RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE



PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAONAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2015
CONSTRUCTION

UPPER 33RD ST N

FILE NO.
WASHC126774

6
OF 18

S:\U2\W\Washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\Washc126774_PlanAndTypicals.dgn
Plan 7

1/22/2015

5:56:03 PM

LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN

CHEE LLC
#11320

CHEE LLC
#11320

65

JEROME & NANCY
EDER
#3415

50

SCALE IN FEET



PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAODNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2015
CONSTRUCTION
UPPER 33RD ST N

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
7
OF 18

5:23:10 PM

1/22/2015

S:\U2\W\Washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\Washc126774_PlanAndTypicals.dgn
Plan 8

LEGEND

PROPERTY LINE

RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE

CONSTRUCTION LIMIT

PROPOSED STORM SEWER

PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER

PROPOSED WATERMAIN

PETER J SCHILTGEN
#11351



50

SCALE IN FEET



PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAONAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2015
CONSTRUCTION

UPPER 33RD ST N

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
8
OF 18

LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN

JEROME & NANCY
EDER
#3415

PETER J SCHILTGEN
#11351

CHEE LLC
#11320

CHEE LLC
#11320

PETER J SCHILTGEN
#11351

SCHILTGEN II
BASIN


100
SCALE IN FEET



PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAODNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2015
CONSTRUCTION
SCHILTEN II BASIN

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
9
OF 18




LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN

SEH
PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAODNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2015 CONSTRUCTION
LAVERNE AVE N

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
10
OF 18



S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\washc126774_PlanAndTypicals.dgn
1/22/2015 7:11:09 PM
125
8' SHLD
7' WALK
8' SHLD
7' WALK



LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN


SEH
PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAONAS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110


LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2015
CONSTRUCTION
LAVERNE AVE N


FILE NO.
WASHC126774
11
OF 17

LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN







S:\U2\W\Washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\Washc126774_PlanAndTypicals.dgn
Plan 15

8/20/25 AM

1/16/2015

LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN

50
SCALE IN FEET



ELMO VIEW
TOWNHOUSE
ASSOCIATION

KEVIN T &
AMY B
DICKENSON
#11520

SUSAN D. CORCORAN
#2955

NORTHERN
NATURAL
GAS
CO

HERITAGE FARMS
HOMEOWNER
ASSOCIATION

HERITAGE FARMS
HOMEOWNER
ASSOCIATION



PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAODNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110







LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016
CONSTRUCTION

30TH ST N

FILE NO.
WASHC126774

15
OF 18

S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\Washc126774_PlanAndTypicals.dgn
Typicals 1
1/20/2015 7:55:38 AM





TYPICAL SECTIONS




PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAONAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE CONSTRUCTION

Existing Typical Sections



ROAD SECTION



- 4" WEARING COURSE
- 6" AGGREGATE BASE, CLASS 5 (CV)
- 12" SELECT GRANULAR

SANITARY
SEWER


PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2015
CONSTRUCTION

LAVERNE AVE., UPPER 33RD ST. N, & 36TH ST. N


S:\U2\W\Washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc. exhibits\Washc126774_PlanAndTypicals.dgn
Typicals 3
8/21/29 AM
1/16/2015

30TH STREET




CSAH 17

STA 7+80 TO 30TH




CSAH 17

30TH TO 33RD




CSAH 17

33RD TO 36TH




CSAH 17

36TH TO TH 5



ROAD SECTION



4" WEARING COURSE
6" AGGREGATE BASE, CLASS 5 (CV)
12" SELECT GRANULAR

NOTES:

- RETAINING WALL LOCATIONS SHALL HAVE 10' TRAIL DIRECTLY BEHIND CURB.
- RETAINING WALL LOCATIONS SHALL HAVE 7' WALK DIRECTLY BEHIND CURB.
- 5' GRASS BLVD BETWEEN 34TH ST N AND 35TH ST N.

TYPICAL SECTIONS



LAKE ELMO OLD VILLAGE RECONSTRUCTION
DESIGN MATRIX
LAVERNE AVENUE
36TH St. to TH 5
1/22/2015

OPTION	TREE REMOVALS				PROPOSED
	ROADWAY	PARKING	UTILITY	TOTAL	PARKING SPACES
8' PARKING, 7' WALK (RECOMMENDED)	8	4	4	16	32
NO PARKING, 7' SIDEWALK	8	0	4	12	0
PARKING/WALK ON WEST SIDE	8	1	4	13	14
8' PARKING, NO SIDEWALK	8	1	4	13	32
PARKING/TRAIL ON WEST SIDE	8	1	4	13	14

OPTION	NOTES
8' PARKING, 7' WALK (RECOMMENDED)	7' WALK, 8' PARK, 11' THRU, 11' THRU, 8' PARK, 7' WALK
NO PARKING, 7' SIDEWALK	7' WALK, 11' THRU, 11' THRU, 7' WALK
PARKING/WALK ON WEST SIDE	7' WALK, 8' PARK, 11' THRU, 11' THRU
8' PARKING, NO SIDEWALK	8' PARK, 11' THRU, 11' THRU, 8' PARK
PARKING/TRAIL ON WEST SIDE	7' TRAIL, 8' PARK, 11' THRU, 11' THRU



LAKE ELMO OLD VILLAGE RECONSTRUCTION
DESIGN MATRIX
36TH St. N
LAKE ELMO AVE TO LAVERNE AVE.
1/22/2015

OPTION	TREE REMOVALS				PROPOSED
	ROADWAY	PARKING	UTILITY	TOTAL	PARKING SPACES
OPTION #1 - 2 SIDEWALKS	10			10	13
OPTION #2 - SOUTH SIDEWALK ONLY	9			9	13

OPTION	NOTES
OPTION #1 - 2 SIDEWALKS	7' WALK, 8' PARK, 11' THRU, 11' THRU, 8' PARK, 7' WALK
OPTION #2 - SOUTH SIDEWALK ONLY	8' PARK, 11' THRU, 11' THRU, 8' PARK, 7' WALK



LAKE ELMO OLD VILLAGE RECONSTRUCTION
DESIGN MATRIX
LAKE ELMO AVE (CSAH 17)
36TH St. to TH 5
1/22/2015

OPTION	TREE REMOVALS				PROPOSED
	ROADWAY	BOULEVARD	UTILITY	TOTAL	PARKING SPACES
OPTION #1 - 5' WALK WITH 5' BLVD.	6	4	2	12	4
OPTION #2 - 7' WALK BEHIND CURB (RECOMMENDED)	6	0	2	8	4
OPTION #3 - NO WALK ON EAST SIDE	3	0	2	5	4
OPTION #4 - SHIFTED- 7' WALK BEHIND CURB, 4 LESS PARKING	2	0	2	4	0

OPTION	NOTES
OPTION #1 - 5' WALK WITH 5' BLVD.	5' WALK, 5' BLVD, 10' PARK, 10' LTL, 15' RTL, 5' BLVD, 5' WALK
OPTION #2 - 7' WALK BEHIND CURB (RECOMMENDED)	7' WALK, 10' PARK, 10' LTL, 15' RTL, 7' WALK
OPTION #3 - NO WALK ON EAST SIDE	7' WALK, 10' PARK, 10' LTL, 15' RTL
OPTION #4 - SHIFTED- 7' WALK BEHIND CURB, 4 LESS PARKING	7' WALK, 10' PARK, 10' LTL, 15' RTL, 7' WALK




LAKE ELMO OLD VILLAGE RECONSTRUCTION
DESIGN MATRIX
LAKE ELMO AVE (CSAH 17)
30TH St. TO RR TRACKS
1/22/2015

	TREE REMOVALS	PROPOSED	
OPTION	ROADWAY	PARKING SPACES	NOTES
OPTION #1 - WEST TRAIL AND EAST WALK NO PARKING	48	0	8' trail, 6' shld., 11' thru, 11' thru, 6' shld, 5' walk
OPTION #2 - WEST WALK AND EAST PARKING	33	73	5' walk, 6' shld., 11' thru, 11' thru, 10' shld
OPTION #3 - EAST WALK AND WEST PARKING	33	75	10' shld., 11' thru, 11' thru, 6' shld, 5' walk
OPTION #4 - 5' WALK BOTH SIDES NO PARKING	39	0	5' walk, 6' shld., 11' thru, 11' thru, 6' shld, 5' walk

S:\J2\W\washc\126774\4-pr\eliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\washc126774_LaverneTreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
1/21/2015 2:57:22 PM
Laverne - with parking

LAVERNE AVE. OPTION #1 (RECOMMENDED) 8' PARKING, 7' WALK



LAVERNE AVE.
TREE IMPACTS
OPTION #1 - 8' PARKING, 7' WALK

2:58:04 PM
1/21/2015
S:\J2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\washc126774_LaverneTreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Laverne2- without parking

LAVERNE AVE. OPTION #2 NO PARKING, 7' WALK

LEGEND

CONSTRUCTION LIMIT


TREE REMOVAL

PROPOSED WATER SERVICE

PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE

STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

SEPTIC SYSTEM LOCATION





① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1

PHONE: 651/490-2000
3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAVERNE AVE.
TREE IMPACTS
OPTION #2 - NO PARKING, 7' WALK

S:\J2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\washc126774_LaverneTreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
1/21/2015 2:58:30 PM
Laverne3 - walk and parking on west side

LAVERNE AVE. OPTION #3 - 8' PARKING, 7' WALK ONLY ON WEST SIDE




① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1

SEH
PHONE: 651/490-2000
3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAVERNE AVE.
TREE IMPACTS
OPTION #3 - 8' PARKING, 7' WALK
ONLY ON WEST SIDE

2:59:00 PM
1/21/2015
S:\J2\W\washc\126774\4-pr\eliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\washc126774_LaverneTreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Laverne4 - no walk

LAVERNE AVE. OPTION #4 - 8' PARKING, NO WALK



① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1





SEH
PHONE: 651/490-2000
3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAVERNE AVE.
TREE IMPACTS
OPTION #4 - 8' PARKING, NO WALK

S:\JUZ\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\washc126774_LaverneTreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Laverne5 - trailand parking on west side
1/21/2015
2:59:23 PM


LAVERNE AVE. OPTION #5 - 8' PARKING, 7' TRAIL ONLY ON WEST SIDE



① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1

S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\washc126774_36th_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
OPTION #1 36TH 2 SIDE WALKS
1/22/2015
1:10:06 PM

36TH ST N OPTION #1 - WALKS ON BOTH SIDES



LEGEND

- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- ⊗ TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE
- SEPTIC SYSTEM LOCATION





 PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

36TH ST N
TREE IMPACTS
OPTION #1 - WALKS
ON BOTH SIDES

S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\washc126774_36th_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
OPTION #2 36TH SOUTH SIDE WALK ONLY
1/22/2015 6:04:42 PM

36TH ST N OPTION #2 - WALK ONLY ON SOUTH SIDE



① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1



SEH
PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

36TH ST N
TREE IMPACTS
OPTION #2 - WALK ONLY
ON SOUTH SIDE

S:\J12\Washc\126774\4-pr\eliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\Washc126774_LaverneTreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
CSAH 17 1- b1vd
1/21/2015 2:54:11 PM


CSAH 17

OPTION #1 - 5' BOULEVARD BEHIND CURB AND 5' WALK



S:\NIZ\W\Washc\126774\4-pr\eliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\Washc126774_LaverneTreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
CSAH 17 2 -no bldg
1/21/2015 2:54:39 PM

CSAH 17 OPTION #2 (RECOMMENDED) 7' WALK BEHIND CURB



① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1


SEH
PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

CSAH 17
CONSTRUCTION LIMITS
OPTION #1 - 7' WALK BEHIND CURB

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
2
OF 4

CSAH 17

OPTION #3 - 7' WALK BEHIND CURB ONLY ON WEST SIDE



50
SCALE IN FEET



① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1




CSAH 17
CONSTRUCTION LIMITS
OPTION #3 - 7' WALK BEHIND CURB
ONLY ON WEST SIDE

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
3
OF 4

CSAH 17 OPTION #4

7' WALK BEHIND CURB, LESS PARKING



50
SCALE IN FEET




① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1



CSAH 17
CONSTRUCTION LIMITS
OPTION #4 - 7' WALK BEHIND CURB, LESS PARKING

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
4
OF 4

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #1 - WEST TRAIL AND EAST WALK



2:56:24 PM

1/22/2015

S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\Washc126774-CSAH17South_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Plan View 1 - 1

MARLON O
GUNDERSON
#2986

BONNIE J
BUTENHOFF
#2976

GARY M
JOLLYMORE
#2989

JULIA M
ASHLEY
#11205

TODD R &
MARJORIE F
WILLIAMS
#3025

TODD R &
MARJORIE F
WILLIAMS
#3025

BRUCE C
SCHMIDT
#3063

WESLEY S. MCCUNE
#3095

LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN

- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

90°

SOUTH ST

8' TRAIL
5' BLVD
6' SHOULDER
11' THRU LANE
11' THRU LANE
6' SHOULDER
5' BLVD
5' WALK

CSAH 17

PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAONAI'S CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110



LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016
CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #1 - WEST TRAIL AND EAST WALK
CSAH 17

S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\washc126774-CSAH17South_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Plan View 1 - 2
1/22/2015 2:57:19 PM

LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #1 - WEST TRAIL AND EAST WALK



SEH
PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAUDNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016
CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #1 - WEST TRAIL AND EAST WALK
CSAH 17

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
2
OF 16

S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\washc126774-CSAH17South_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Plan View 1 - 3
3/10/03 PM
1/22/2015


LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN

CONSTRUCTION LIMIT

- TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #1 - WEST TRAIL AND EAST WALK



OSHA L TAYLOR BLDG
#3394
KLM ENGINEERING
PSYCHOLOGIST
KLM AUTO SALES
LAKE ELMO
CHIROPRACTORS
CRAFTY CHICKENS
ST CROIX COUNSELLING

SCOTT JAMES &
MARGIE FOX
#3380


MICHAEL EDWARD &
VICKI GRACZYK
#3360

MICHAEL D
& CHLOE J
SWAN
#3348

MYRON L &
PATRICIA SCHNEIDER
#3326

RICHARD
KUBITSCHKEK
#3308

ANNE T &
STEVEN J
ENGDAHL
#3296



SEH
PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAONAS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016
CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #1 - WEST TRAIL AND EAST WALK
CSAH 17



7:00:12 PM
1/22/2015
S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\washc126774-CSAH17South_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Plan View 1 - 4

LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN

- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #1 - WEST TRAIL AND EAST WALK




SEH
PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016
CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #1 - WEST TRAIL AND EAST WALK
CSAH 17

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
4
OF 16

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #2 - WEST SIDE WALK AND EAST SIDE PARKING



3:10:45 PM
1/22/2015
S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\washc126774_CSAH17South_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Plan View 2 - 1

MARLON O
GUNDERSON
#2986

BONNIE J
BUTENHOFF
#2976

GARY M
JOLLYMORE
#2989

JULIA M
ASHLEY
#11205

TODD R &
MARJORIE F
WILLIAMS
#3025

TODD R &
MARJORIE F
WILLIAMS
#3025

BRUCE C
SCHMIDT
#3063

WESLEY S. MCCUNE
#3095

LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN

- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

SOUTH ST

5' WALK
5' BLVD
6' SHOULDER
11' THRU LANE
11' THRU LANE
10' PARKING

CSAH 17


① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1

PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAONAS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016 CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #2 - WEST SIDE WALK AND EAST SIDE
PARKING
CSAH 17

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
5
OF 16

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #2 - WEST SIDE WALK AND EAST SIDE PARKING



- LEGEND**
- PROPERTY LINE
 - RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
 - CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
 - PROPOSED STORM SEWER
 - PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
 - PROPOSED WATERMAIN

- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

THEODORE M &
ELLEN KOHN
#3160

CONSTANCE K SMITH
#3200

CAROLYN M
SMITH HORTTOR

WARREN ROBERT
SCHMIDT
#3240

ROCHELLE M
MCCUNE &
LUC DUPUIS
#3109

ROCHELLE M
MCCUNE
#3131

LUC M DUPIUS
& ROCHELLE M
MCCUNE
#3151

NANCY E
GEVING
#3124

JEFFREY A &
CLAUDIA J
ANDERSON
#11225

ROGER A
SCHRADER
#11200

RICHARD J
& DEBORAH J
SACHS
#11212

SARA A
TERRELL
#11230

RICHARD J
SACHS JR
#3203

TRENTON J
& AMANDA L
HAWTHORNE
#3217

JAMES G BEERS
& EDNA T
BEERS TRS
#3235

SUSAN L PROKOSCH
#11240

① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1

SEH
PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAUGHAN CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016 CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #2 - WEST SIDE WALK AND EAST SIDE
PARKING
CSAH 17


FILE NO.
WASHC126774
6
OF 16

S:\U2\W\WashC126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\WashC126774-CSAH17South_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Plan View 2 - 2
1/22/2015
3:11:26 PM

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #2 - WEST SIDE WALK AND EAST SIDE PARKING

- LEGEND**
- PROPERTY LINE
 - RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
 - CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
 - PROPOSED STORM SEWER
 - PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
 - PROPOSED WATERMAIN

- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE



① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1

SEH
PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110


LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016 CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #2 - WEST SIDE WALK AND EAST SIDE
PARKING
CSAH 17

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
7
OF 16

S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\Washc126774-CSAH17South_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Plan View 2 - 3
6/5/24 PM
1/22/2015

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #2 - WEST SIDE WALK AND EAST SIDE PARKING

WICKER
#11079



LEGEND

-  PROPERTY LINE
 RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
 CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
 PROPOSED STORM SEWER
 PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
 PROPOSED WATERMAIN

-  CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
 TREE REMOVAL
 PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
 PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
 STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

LAKE ELMO
INN
#11104

LAKE ELMO INN
#3466

LAKE ELMO INN
#3504

LAKE ELMO
INN
#3514

WILLIAM C
& JOAN S
HJELMGREN
#3524

AMERICAN
FLAGPOLE
& FLAG
#3546

LAKE ELMO INN
#3442

LAKE ELLIOTT
PROFESSIONAL
ARTS BUILDING
#3476

GRAIN ON MAIN
#11123

12' WALK

10' PARKING

11' THRU LANE

11' THRU LANE

10' PARKING

12' WALK

40/

CSAH 17

AMERICAN
NATIONAL
INSURANCE
#3459

BOB MITCHELL
FLY SHOP
#3459

US POST OFFICE
#3469

ARCHITECTURE
#3479

RDWAGS #3479

WINE COMPANY
#3511

HAIR SALON #3515

VILLAGE HAIR CARE #3517

LAKE ELMO BARBER #325

LAKE ELM
PUBLIC
LIBRARY
#3537

BUIL
F
LE

JOHN W BAILLIE &
MARGARET BULLFOYL
#3417

JOHN LENZMEIER
& VICKY REHA
#11178

33RD ST

① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1



PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016 CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #2 - WEST SIDE WALK AND EAST SIDE
PARKING
CSAH 17

FILE NO.
ASHCI26774
8
OF 16

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #3 - EAST SIDE WALK AND WEST SIDE PARKING



3/20/08 PM

1/22/2015

S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\Washc126774-CSAH17South_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Plan View 3 - 1

- LEGEND**
- PROPERTY LINE
 - RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
 - CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
 - PROPOSED STORM SEWER
 - PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
 - PROPOSED WATERMAIN

- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

MARLON O
GUNDERSON
#2986

BONNIE J
BUTENHOFF
#2976

GARY M
JOLLYMORE
#2989

JULIA M
ASHLEY
#11205

TODD R &
MARJORIE F
WILLIAMS
#3025

TODD R &
MARJORIE F
WILLIAMS
#3025

BRUCE C
SCHMIDT
#3063


WESLEY S. MCCUNE
#3095

30TH ST

10' PARKING
11' THRU LANE
11' THRU LANE
6' SHOULDER
5' BLVD
5' WALK


CSAH 17

① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1



LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016 CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #3 - EAST SIDE WALK AND WEST SIDE
PARKING
CSAH 17

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
9
OF 16



LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN

- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #3 - EAST SIDE WALK AND WEST SIDE PARKING



3:20:51 PM
1/22/2015
S:\U2\W\Washci\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc-exhibits\Washci126774-CSAH17South_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Plan View 3 - 2

FREDERICK ROL BANI #3100

THEODORE M & ELLEN KOHN #3160

CONSTANCE K SMITH #3200

CAROLYN M SMITH HORTTOR

WARREN ROBERT SCHMIDT #3240

ROCHELLE M MCCUNE & LUC DUPUIS #3109

ROCHELLE M MCCUNE #3131

LUC M DUPIUS & ROCHELLE M MCCUNE #3151

NANCY E GEVING #3124

JEFFREY A & CLAUDIA J ANDERSON #11225

ROGER A SCHRADER #11200

RICHARD J & DEBORAH J SACHS #11212

SARA A TERRELL #11230

RICHARD J SACHS JR #3203

TRENTON J & AMANDA L HAWTHORNE #3217

JAMES G BEERS & EDNA T BEERS TRS #3235

SUSAN L PROKOSCH #11240

CSAH 17

10' PARKING

11' THRU LANE


11' THRU LANE

6' SHOULDER

5' BLVD

5' WALK

① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1



- LEGEND**
- PROPERTY LINE
 - RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
 - CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
 - PROPOSED STORM SEWER
 - PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
 - PROPOSED WATERMAIN

- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #3 - EAST SIDE WALK AND WEST SIDE PARKING

SCALE IN FEET
50

ANNE T & STEVEN J ENGDAHL #3296

RICHARD KUBITSCHKEK #3308

MYRON L & PATRICIA SCHNEIDER #3326

MICHAEL D & CHLOE J SWAN #3348

MICHAEL EDWARD & VICKI GRACZYK #3360

OSHUA L TAYLOR BLDG #3394

KLM ENGINEERING PSYCHOLOGIST KLM AUTO SALES LAKE CHIROPRACTORS CRAFTY CHICKENS ST CROIX COUNSELLING

SCOTT JAMES & MARGIE FOX #3380

SUSAN #3385

KATHLEEN T HARTMEISTER #3385

RUSSELL W & PATRICI ANDERSON #3373

MARTIN J & SILBYK NEUMANN #3351

THOMAS M DEGREE #3337

DONALD J BAKER #3303

CATHERINE HELMS #3287

ROBERT H & CAROL W STUDE #3263

25

10' PARKING

11' THRU LANE

11' THRU LANE

6' SHOULDER

25' BLVD

5' WALK

CSAH 17

7' WALK

1


S:\U2\W\Washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-codd\Misc-exhibits\Washc126774_CSAH17South_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Plan View 3 - 3

① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1

SEH
PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAONAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016 CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #3 - EAST SIDE WALK AND WEST SIDE
PARKING
CSAH 17

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
11
OF 16



LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN

- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #3 - EAST SIDE WALK AND WEST SIDE PARKING

WICKER
#11079

50

SCALE IN FEET

LAKE ELMO
INN
#11104

LAKE ELMO INN
#3442

LAKE ELMO INN
#3466

LAKE ELMO
PROFESSIONAL
ARTS BUILDING
#3476

LAKE ELMO INN
#3504

LAKE ELMO
INN
#3514

WILLIAM C
& JOAN S
HJELMGREN
#3524

AMERICAN
FLAGPOLE
& FLAG
#3546

GRAIN ON MAIN
#2111

LAKE ELMO
WINE COMPANY
#3511

HAIR SALON #3515

VILLAGE HAIR CARE #3517

LAKE ELMO BARBER #3251

LAKE ELMO
PUBLIC
LIBRARY
#3537

BUILD
FOR
LEAS

LAKE ELMO
CHIROPRACTIC
CLINIC
#3511

ROWAGS #3479

FINE LINE
ARCHITECTURE
#3479

US POST OFFICE
#3469

BOB MITCHELL'S
FLY SHOP
#3459

AMERICAN
NATIONAL
INSURANCE
#3459

JOHN W BAILLIE &
MARGARET BULLFOYLE
#3417

JOHN LENZMEIER
& VICKY REAY
#11178

① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1

SEH

PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016 CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #3 - EAST SIDE WALK AND WEST SIDE
PARKING
CSAH 17

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
12
OF 16

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #4 - 5' WALK



6:32:36 PM

1/22/2015

S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\Washc126774-CSAH17South_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Plan View 4 - 1

LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN

- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

MARLON O GUNDERSON #2986

BONNIE J BUTENHOFF #2976

GARY M JOLLYMORE #2989

JULIA M ASHLEY #11205

TODD R & MARJORIE F WILLIAMS #3025

TODD R & MARJORIE F WILLIAMS #3025

BRUCE C SCHMIDT #3063

WESLEY S MCCUNE #3095

① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1

PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VAUGHAN CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016
CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #4 - 5' WALK
CSAH 17

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
13
OF 16

6:32:59 PM

1/22/2015


S:\U2\W\washc\126774\4-preliminary-design\51-cadd\Misc.exhibits\washc126774-CSAH17South_TreeRemovalExhibit.dgn
Plan View 4 - 2

LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN

- CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
- TREE REMOVAL
- PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
- PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
- STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #4 - 5' WALK




SCALE IN FEET

CAROLYN M
SMITH HORTTOR

WARREN ROBERT
SCHMIDT
#3240

CONSTANCE K SMITH
#3200

THEODORE M &
ELLEN KOHN
#3160



ROCHELLE M
MCCUNE &
LUC DUPUIS
#3109

ROCHELLE M
MCCUNE
#3131

LUC M DUPIUS
& ROCHELLE M
MCCUNE
#3151

NANCY E
GEVING
#3124

JEFFREY A &
CLAUDIA J
ANDERSON
#11225

ROGER A
SCHRADER
#11200

RICHARD J
& DEBORAH J
SACHS
#11212

SARA A
TERRELL
#11230

RICHARD J
SACHS JR
#3203

TRENTON J
& AMANDA L
HAWTHORNE
#3217

JAMES G BEERS
& EDNA T
BEERS TRS
#3235

SUSAN L PROKOSCH
#11240


① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1



PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110

LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016
CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #4 - 5' WALK
CSAH 17

FILE NO.
WASHC126774
14
OF 16



CSAH 17 SOUTH OF RR TRACKS OPTION #4 - 5' WALK

LEGEND

-  PROPERTY LINE
 RIGHT OF WAY IN PLACE
 CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
 PROPOSED STORM SEWER
 PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
 PROPOSED WATERMAIN

-  CONSTRUCTION LIMIT
 TREE REMOVAL
 PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
 PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE
 STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE

WICKER
#11079



50

SCALE IN FEET

LAKE ELMO
INN
#11104

LAKE ELMO INN
#3466

LAKE ELMO INN
#3504

LAKE ELMO
INN
#3514

WILLIAM C
& JOAN S
HJELMGREN
#3524

AMERICAN
FLAGPOLE
& FLAG
#3546

LAKE ELMO INN
#3442

LAKE ELMU
PROFESSIONAL
ARTS BUILDING
#3476

GRAIN ON MAIN
#11123

CSAH 17

LAKE ELMO
WINE COMPANY
#3511

HAIR SALON #3515

LAKE ELMO BARBER #3251
VILLAGE HAIR CARE #351

LAKE ELMO
PUBLIC
LIBRARY
#3537

BUILD
FOR
LEASE

AMERICAN
NATIONAL
INSURANCE
#3459

BOB MITCHELL'S
FLY SHOP
#3459

US POST OFFICE
#3469

F INELINE
ARCHITECTURE
#3479

RDWAGS #3479

LAKE ELMO
CHIROPRACTIC
CLINIC
#3511

JOHN W BAILLIE &
MARGARET BULLFOYLE
#3417

JOHN LENZMEIER
& VICKY REHMAN
#11178

① TREE SAVED FROM OPTION 1



PHONE: (651)490-2000
3535 VADNAIS CENTER DR.
ST. PAUL, MN 55110


LAKE ELMO, OLD VILLAGE 2016
CONSTRUCTION
OPTION #4 - 5' WALK
CSAH 17

FILE NO.
SHCI26774
6
OF 16

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

RECOMMENDED STREETSCAPE PLAN - DOWNTOWN ZONE



LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

STREETSCAPE DESIGN & AMENITIES



EXISTING VIEW



VIEW WITH STREETSCAPE AMENITIES - BANNERS, VEGETATION, PEDESTRIAN LIGHTING, BUMP OUTS, AND SEATING

PRECEDENTS



'KIT OF PARTS'



Lake Elmo Avenue Corridor Improvements & Drainage Study

March 13th Public Open House Summary

March 19, 2014

Meeting Description

The meeting was held on 3/13/14 at Christ Lutheran Church, Lake Elmo, MN (Atrium & Fireside Room) from 4:00-7:00. It was an open house style format with presentation boards around the perimeter of the Atrium and a large aerial photo of the corridor on a long table in the center of the room. Presentation materials included information on the regional drainage study, City-led utility improvements, and the County's roadway reconstruction project. The Fireside Room had Google Earth on a large screen with staff available to discuss the project corridor and context. There was no formal presentation and staff answered questions and collected feedback one-on-one. Comment cards were available.

Attendance

- **~50-60 People** at the 3/12/14 Public Open House
 - a) 46 Attendees Signed In
 - b) A handful may not have signed in
 - c) 12 Staff were present

Common Themes from Community Feedback

- **Comments Received Via**
 - a) Comment cards submitted at meeting (6)
 - b) Email (1-2)
 - c) Verbal comments recorded by Staff (3)

The common themes we heard from the community feedback are below:

- Support for improved sanitary sewer
- Support for improved pedestrian facilities and connectivity
- Individual drainage incidents documented
- Calm traffic on Lake Elmo
- Others/Edit list

Comment Cards Received (Verbatim)

A total of (6) written comments were submitted at the public meeting.

- **Comment Card 1:**

On Lake Elmo Avenue between 30th St and Hwy 5, provide one side bike lane, parking, and sidewalk.

Between 30th St and I-94, provide a marked/labeled one side space for biking, parking, and walking. Currently, there are two relatively wide shoulders on this stretch which gets used for the above activities. Shifting traffic to one side would provide a wider space on the opposite side for biking, parking, and walking.

- **Comment Card 2:**

* Please slow down speed limit from Hwy 5 to 20th Street North. (Had a good friend hit by car and dog killed Dec 2013 @ Lake Elmo Ave and 31st)

* Be more consistent with sidewalk placement in business area.

* Better crosswalk marking and lighting. (Especially by Lake Elmo Inn)

* Roundabout on 30th & Manning??

* Septic for those of us with failing city sewer systems.

- **Comment Card 3:**

When you rebuild 30th Street to Reid Park, please consider a walk/bike path. As it stands now, two cannot walk abreast without entering the traffic lane.

Thank you

- **Comment Card 4:**

Please do as little as possible to change Lake Elmo Ave—from 30th to Railroad.

- 1) Keep traffic count low
- 2) People rarely park on that 30th to RR
 - Don't widen
 - Keep walking/biking together
 - Already wheelchair accessible
- 3) Lake Elmo Ave – 30th to RR and RR south all residential
 - Beautiful views of lake
 - No need for speed
 - People can walk along Lake safely already or bike
- 4) Low traffic count in evenings- very few people park on Lake Elmo Ave, RR to 26th
- 5) Best to reduce speed, keep width same
 - Could bury overhead electrical lines to enhance beauty

- **Comment Card 5:**

- 1) Like the “dog leg” development for MN5 & Manning. Connecting MN 5 to 40th (MN14). A much safer intersection. It can be managed in a number of ways with lights, roundabouts, and stop signs.
- 2) Use of rain gardens as a support to water management. Using “prairie model”, they could involve little care/maintenance. Where there is support, more structured models could be developed (flower gardens, Annual/Perennial)
- 3) No senior housing on top of railroad tracks. I can't think of a worse population for abodes that feature 100++ decibel train noise.
- 4) Underground utilities! Pay for it once rather than after each weather event.
- 5) Enough for now.

- **Comment Card 6:**

Slow the traffic down on Lake Elmo Ave all the way to 94 –

What is the depth that the sewer is from 30th North – Cost to dewater-

Recycle the runoff—Keep as much water here to fill the aquifer—we did just put in a new well.

Think outside of the box on water and moving it away!

Thanks

Emailed Comments

The following section includes comments that were emailed to project staff.

- **Email Comment 1:**

Dear Frank, I enjoyed seeing some of the ideas for the highstreet when it comes time to run mains sewer through the area. I had some thoughts and comments which I have put down in the attached note.
All the best

(See 5 page attachment at end of document. Includes sketches/graphics)

Verbal Comments from Open House (Staff Notes)

The following section includes staff notes recapping the discussions and comments from the open house.

- **Frank Tichnor Notes**

I spoke with one resident who understood the need for all the improvements but just wanted the design to be understated.....in other words, no need for too many extras. She also didn't see the need for expanded pedestrian facilities in downtown because she did not feel the downtown area would ever make it with retail.

Another resident was concerned about speed on Lake Elmo Ave as well as excessive truck traffic and wanted this project to address those concerns.

Many were very happy to hear that signals were being installed this year at TH 5.

I had a few conversations with folks interested in parking on downtown and even pointed out lots that could potentially be used (Dr. Baillies old building south of the RR tracks on the east side). One commented that the bump outs would take away parking spaces and so should be limited.

Otherwise the focus of most of my other conversations were directed toward drainage issues and sewer connections. For instance, the neighborhood south of the RR tracks and east of Lake Elmo Ave (no in the Down's Lake watershed but actually the Lake Elmo Watershed) wanted to make sure the stormwater was controlled in such a manner as to not cause flooding but still feed the two ponds within Reid park.

- **Ann Pung-Terwedo Notes**

- I spoke with a number of seniors who live in the "Old Village" and had questions about sewer and costs. Lots of water and drainage problems in the spring.

- John Schlitz would like the electrical upgraded. He currently has a generator in case the power goes out. Would like the “image” of the Village upgraded.

-Todd Streetar, Stillwater Area Chamber, Great Project-Why is the County leading it?

-There was a comment on the Regional Trail. Does not like it on CSAH 17. Would like it through the Park.

-Wendy Griffin.....Has issues about the speed and volume of traffic. Doesn't want the Regional Trail on Lake Elmo Avenue. Not enough room. Can't cross the street to the lake in the summer. They are transporting Silica Sand to the Village and loading it onto trains.

-Jeff Berg.....The new housing will take away from the rural character.

-Kathy Weeks, Lives next to the car repair shop at TH5 and CSAH 17. Concerned about traffic at the intersection and the new signals.

How will it impact my driveway and access?

- Steve Delapp, Lake Elmo Library, wants landscaping, boulevard and trees in front of the library. Will put table and chairs on sidewalk even if you aren't allowed.

- **Sue Mason Notes**

Area south of RR.

I heard one homeowner on the route say they definitely were in favor of trail/walk and if had to choose between parking or a walk, they would choose a walk.

I also heard from a homeowner along the route that thinks the shoulder is just fine for walking and biking and nothing more is needed. It is only 35 mph and she had lived there for 40 years.

I talked to probably 3 or 4 others that walk along there from other places that think a separated walk/trail would be good

I talked to another person outside of the project area that he was opposed to the County (his taxes) paying for any parking, increased impervious surface etc. in that area. And this person also felt strongly about having a grassy boulevard between the walk and curb.

Downtown

Talked to people interested in what it was going to look like, some wanting it beautiful, some thinking more sidewalk is all they need (We do not need a fancy street scape with pots of flowers!)

Talked to a couple business owners worried about construction and how the work will be staged. Many acknowledged that they have good access from Laverne

Talked to a couple people who live downtown and they were happy to get information

Talked to a person connected to the library and is active in the community about a dream to change the access along the north side of the library to a walk way that would connect to the east to the park and felt strongly about having trees out front.

Comments on Aerial of Corridor

The following section lists the notes that were written on the corridor aerial during the open house.

- Flooding/ponding outside of church on Laverne and 36th
- Lions Park(?) - Whole area floods in Spring, makes it difficult to play baseball
- Flooding, minor flooding, basement flooding along 35th Street North, west of Lake Elmo Ave.
- Flooding along 34th Street North
- Water main issue at 34th Street N and Lake Elmo Ave
- Poorly lit crossing outside of Lake Elmo Inn/Railroad Crossing
- Quiet Zone at Crossing
- Pavement seems higher than sidewalk just south of tracks on west side of street, ponding water on sidewalk
- Resident south of tracks wants a sidewalk on the east side of Lake Elmo Ave
- Hill causes difficult sight lines for the driveway on the curve, south of railroad tracks, east side
- Mailboxes face Lake Elmo Ave, how will sidewalks affect mail delivery
- Water flows east down 32nd Street North
- Water flows south and east of water tower
- 30 mph speed limit south of tracks
- Solar light south of track

Mailed Comments

The following section includes comment(s) that were emailed to project staff.

- Do not destroy or impact mature trees along Lake Elmo Avenue.

Need a real regional surface water management plan before any development plans for houses approved by City Council.

No disruption to anyone's private property.

Minimum width of any street or sidewalk.

Sidewalks only as they are now – improve but do not expand.

Inform residents of all proposed modifications to their streets.

How will costs be shared?

What will the impact be to having two signals lights in such a short distance on County Road 17 and Hwy 5?

What will be impact to businesses that are established (turn lanes).

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 1	Date: March 13, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 4:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: ELIZABETH ELKES	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: everson13@yahoo.com	
Phone: 651-493-9156	Address: 11085 34th ST. N.

Name: MIMI Q. MACKLIN	Affiliation/Neighborhood: OLD VILLAGE
Email:	
Phone: 651-777-9377	Address: PO Box 167, 3603 LAVERNE AVE N

Name: Diane Kroll	Affiliation/Neighborhood: OLD VILLAGE
Email: dmkrill@msn.com	LEED PARK
Phone: 651-777-9443	Address:

Name: Rose Larson	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: rlarson@me.com	
Phone: 651-303-1358	Address:

Name: Susan Dunn	Affiliation/Neighborhood: OLD VILLAGE
Email: sduan86@gmail.com	
Phone: 651-777-0197	Address: PO Box 153 11018 LYNNE ST. North

Name: JIM F. ANDREWS	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: jcf@comcast.net	
Phone: 651-747-1401	Address: 11295 32nd ST. N.

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House I	Date: March 13, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 4:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: JANEI P&FF	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: historian12@yahoo.com	
Phone: 651-777-7501	Address: 3479 LAKE ELMO AVEN #2

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 1	Date: March 13, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 4:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: Jack Kohlman	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Vashua Taylor Bldg
Email: jack.kohlman@klmengineering.com	
Phone: 651-773-5111	Address: 3354 - 3370 Lake Elmo Ave NE.

Name: Ed Goran	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Calmarus Restaurant
Email: kelpo@comcast.net	
Phone: 651-4852882	Address: 11011 Stillwater Blvd

Name: Kelly Jackson	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: swacker913@gmail.com	
Phone: 651-777-9397	Address:

Name: Dr Beth Bailey	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Cedar Leaf Clinic & Spa
Email: Drbailey@cedar.com	
Phone: 651-770-3250	Address:

Name: Barbara Byrd	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 651-777-7827	Address:

Name: Don E. Carl	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address: 3094 Dayton CT W

Name: ALISSA WALLACE	Affiliation/Neighborhood: City of Lake Elmo
Email: amwalced@lakeelmo.org	
Phone: 651-747-3208	Address: 3800 Lawrence Ave N.

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 1		Date: March 13, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church		Time: 4:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: Richard (usoo) Glangar	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: Reglan3er194@gmail.com	
Phone: 651-202-1750	Address: 3112 Dayton Court N

Name: Todd Williams	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: toddwilli@comcast.net	
Phone:	Address: 3025 LE Ave N.

Name: Betty Henry	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: Phomergar1@gmail.com	
Phone: 651-783-6113	Address: 3511 Lake Elmo Ave

Name: Cindy Gackle	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Meyer's Park
Email: 2201 Legion Lane N.	
Phone: cacklec@ptelecom.us	Address:

Name: David B. Olsen	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Meyer's Park
Email: dholzen@minnepspring.com	
Phone: 651-777-9225	Address:

Name: Andrew	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Lake Elmo Ave N.
Email: andrew@hottmail.com	
Phone:	Address:

Name: John Swenson	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Heritage Farms
Email: j.p. swenson@comcast.net	
Phone: 651-704-0081	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House I		Date: March 13, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church		Time: 4:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: <i>Michelle G. Leary</i>	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: <i>777-2888</i>	Address:

Name: <i>John Sch. 172</i>	Affiliation/Neighborhood: <i>Lake Elmo Town</i>
Email: <i>chetschil172@aol.com</i>	
Phone: <i>651-777-8435</i>	Address: <i>P.O. Box 182 Lake Elmo Ave. RD. 3842</i>

Name: <i>JEFF JUNKER</i>	Affiliation/Neighborhood: <i>FREQUENT USER OF L.E. AVE</i>
Email: <i>JEJUNKER@COMCAST.NET</i>	
Phone: <i>651-779-9244</i>	Address: <i>11130 22TH ST. CT. N. LAKE ELMO</i>

Name: <i>Linda Wagner</i>	Affiliation/Neighborhood: <i>old village</i>
Email: <i>ltdwagner@comcast.net</i>	
Phone: <i>651-779-8267</i>	Address: <i>Leopold Ave N</i>

Name: <i>Wendy Giffen</i>	Affiliation/Neighborhood: <i>Lake Elmo</i>
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name: <i>Rich KOBITSCHKE KIT O'CARADY</i>	Affiliation/Neighborhood: <i>LAKE ELMO CUE RD</i>
Email: <i>RTK10MMS@MSU.COM</i>	
Phone: <i>651 775 1517</i>	Address: <i>3308 LAKE ELMO AVE N.</i>

Name: <i>Nikea Ward Jones</i>	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: <i>lakeelmo58@hotmail.com</i>	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 1	Date: March 13, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 4:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: Candace Ryberg	Affiliation/Neighborhood: 30th St. N.
Email: cgyberg@gmail.com	
Phone:	Address:

Name: Phil Riber	Affiliation/Neighborhood: 30th St. N
Email: PRYBERG@EMAIL.COM	
Phone:	Address:

Name: Rosemary Meier	Affiliation/Neighborhood: 32nd St. N
Email: rosemarymeier@comcast.net	
Phone: 651-777-0015	Address: 11234-32nd St. N Lake Elmo

Name: Kaitlyn Daly	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Oakdale - Lake Elmo (media)
Email: krdaly@citizenews.com	
Phone: 651-748-7314	Address:

Name: Shana & Eric Pascal	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Lake Elmo Ave N
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name: Andy & Florend Stevens	Affiliation/Neighborhood: LAKE ELMO - LEGION AVE
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name: Phil & Mary Ann	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: phil@philann.com	
Phone:	Address: 2025 Lake Elmo Ave N.

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 1	Date: March 13, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 4:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: Ella Menendez	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Meyers
Email: ella_bella@comcast.net	
Phone: 651-770-6778	Address: 3105 Layton Ct.

Name: E. B. Zetterlund	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 651-777-6928	Address:

Name: Calli Wilkins	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Lake Elmo Wline Co.
Email: calli.wilkins@gmail.com	3511 Lake Elmo Ave.
Phone: 651-621-1520	Address: Lake Elmo, MN 55042

Name: David Harrell	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Business
Email: dare@finearchitects.com	Fine Line Architects
Phone: 651-777-7054	Address: 3476 Lake Elmo Av N,

Name: Dee Thompson	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 651-777-8292	Address: 3153 Jackson St NW - Lake Elmo, MN

Name: Randy Wagner	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Business
Email: wagner@design.com	
Phone: 651-755-4651	Address: 3479 Lake Elmo Ave

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSA# 17)
Curb Management and Safety Improvement Project

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: JERRY JUNKER

*PHONE 651 779-9244

*EMAIL JEJUNKER@COMCAST.NET

*ADDRESS 11130 20TH ST Ct N. LAKE ELMO

(* Indicates optional)

COMMENTS: ON LAKE ELMO AVENUE BETWEEN 30TH ST & HWY 5, PROVIDE
ONE SIDE BIKE LANE, PARKING, & SIDEWALK

BETWEEN 30TH ST & I94, PROVIDE A MARKED/LABELED ONE SIDE
SPACE FOR BIKING, PARKING, & WALKING. CURRENTLY, THERE ARE
TWO RELATIVELY WIDE SHOULDERS ON THIS STRETCH WHICH GET
USED FOR THE ABOVE ACTIVITIES. SHIFTING TRAFFIC TO ONE SIDE
WOULD PROVIDE A WIDER SPACE ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE FOR
BIKING, PARKING, & WALKING.

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight. Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record that will be posted on the project website. No personal information will be posted on the project website, comments will be listed anonymously.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to **Frank Ticknor**, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myeron Rd, N., Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17) Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Diane Knoll
*PHONE: 651 777 9443
*EMAIL: cmknoll@msn.com
*ADDRESS: 3127 Laverne Ct. N. L. Elmo

(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: * PLEASE slow down speed limit from
2 Hwy S to 20th St North
(had a good friend hit by car and dog
killed Dec 2013 - Lake Elmo Ave & 31st).

* Be more consistent with side walk
placement in business area.

* Better crosswalk marking / lighting
(especially by Lake Elmo Inn.).

* Round about on 30th & Manning??

* Septic for those of us with failing
City Sewer Systems.

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight. Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record that will be posted on the project website. No personal information will be posted on the project website, comments will be listed anonymously.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to Frank Ticknor, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myron Rd, N.,
Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Cindy Stevens
*PHONE: 651 770 5538
*EMAIL: noalarmday@gmail.com
*ADDRESS: 2955 Legion Ave N.
(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: When you rebuild 30th Street to Reed Park,
please consider a walk/bike path. As it stands now,
two cannot walk abreast w/out entering the traffic lane.
Thank you.

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight. Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record that will be posted on the project website. No personal information will be posted on the project website, comments will be listed anonymously.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to **Frank Ticknor**, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myeron Rd, N., Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Margaret Dillman
*PHONE: 651-777-0440
*EMAIL: mildman@comcast.net
*ADDRESS: 3025 Lake Elmo Ave. N.E.

(* Indicates optional)

COMMENTS: Please - do as little as possible
for change Lake Elmo Ave - from 30th to RR.
1. Keep traffic count low
2. People rarely park on that 30th - to RR
- don't widen
- keep walking/biking together
- already wheel chair accessible
3. L.E. Ave - 30th - to RR and RR
south - all residential -
beautiful views of homes
- no need for speed
- people can walk along lake safely
alone - on bike -
4. Low traffic count in evenings -
very few cars park on L.E. Ave
RR to 20th
5. Best to reduce speed
Keep width same
- could bury over head electrical lines
to enhance beauty

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight. Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record that will be posted on the project website. No personal information will be posted on the project website, comments will be listed anonymously.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:


www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to Frank Ticknor, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myron Rd, N., Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Rolf Larson
*PHONE: (651) 303-1358
*EMAIL: halver@mac.com
*ADDRESS: 11720 Little Bluestem Ct. N.
(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS:

- 1) Like the "dogleg" development for MNS & Manning. Connecting MNS to 40th (MN 14). a much safer intersection. It can be managed in a number of ways with lights, roundabouts & stoplights
 - 2) use of rain gardens as a support to water management. Using a "prairie model," they could involve little care/maintenance. Where there ~~are~~ is support, more structured models could be developed (flower gardens (annual/perennial))
 - 3) ~~No~~ No senior housing on top of railroad tracks. I can't think of a worse population for a bad street feature 100+ dB decibels train noise
 - 4) Underground utilities! Pay for it once rather than after each weather event.
 - 5) enough for now
- 

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight. Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record that will be posted on the project website. No personal information will be posted on the project website, comments will be listed anonymously.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to Frank Ticknor, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myron Rd, N., Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Sanitary Sewer Management and Safety Improvement Project

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Wendy Guffin

*PHONE _____

*EMAIL _____

*ADDRESS 2835 Lake Elmo Ave Lake Elmo MN 55042

(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: Show the traffic down on Lake Elmo Ave
all the way to 94 -

What is the depth that the sewer is from 30th
north - cost to dewater -

Recycle the Run off - keep as much water here
to fill the aquifer - we did just put in
a new well -

Think outside the Box on water and
moving it away! -

Thanks

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight. Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record that will be posted on the project website. No personal information will be posted on the project website, comments will be listed anonymously.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to **Frank Ticknor**, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myron Rd, N., Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

Lake Elmo Avenue Corridor Improvements & Drainage Study

June 12th Public Open House Summary

June 16, 2014 Draft

Meeting Description

The meeting was held on 6/12/14 at Christ Lutheran Church, Lake Elmo, MN (Atrium) from 4:00-7:00. It was an open house style format with presentation boards around the perimeter of the Atrium and a large preliminary roadway layout on a table in the center of the room. Google Earth was available on a monitor in the Atrium. Presentation materials included information on the regional drainage study, City-led utility improvements, and the County's roadway reconstruction project. There was no formal presentation and staff answered questions and collected feedback one-on-one. Comment cards were available.

Attendance

- **~50 People** at the 6/12/14 Public Open House
 - a) 35 Attendees
 - b) 15 Staff were present

Common Themes from Community Feedback

- **Comments Received Via**
 - a) Comment cards submitted at meeting (5)
 - b) Email (0)
 - c) Verbal comments recorded by Staff (2)

The common themes we heard from the community feedback are below:

- Broad support and enthusiasm for the proposed utility and roadway improvements.
- Interest in other surrounding improvement projects (Hwy 5, Manning, Regional Trail)
- Others/Edit list

Comment Cards Received (Verbatim)

A total of (5) written comments were submitted at the public meeting.

- **Comment Card 1:** (Frank Squadrito, 3570 Laverne)

I am thrilled city sewer is coming in 2015. It's long overdue. I hope also, that the Laverne Ave reconstruction and culverts to handle water help alleviate the severe flooding we have on my property and Lions Park across from me.

Also, the addition of traffic signal lights on CSAH 17 (two places) is wonderful. This has been a severe safety issue.

I think the planning shown is right on! Good job. Let's hope for a quick implementation!

- **Comment Card 2:** (John Hodler)

The indicated locations for future regional trail will be very well received. This trail should be installed at the same time that Manning Ave is reconstructed.

- **Comment Card 3:** (Todd Williams)

Plans look good. You seem to be considering the important issues.

How will you show clearly which side of streets are the bike lanes and which are “pedestrian only”?

- **Comment Card 4:** (Rolf Larson, 11720 Little Bluestem Ct. N.)

- 1) I really like the flow for traffic (Cars, Walking, Bicycling, Etc) of all types within the CSAH 17 corridor. It sets a good stable standard for connecting neighborhoods throughout the community with the old village. The old village should be a hub.
- 2) Though not the topic of this event, I am concerned about safe pedestrian crossings across State Highway 5. For both the sake of safety and commerce, the growing population in the North section of Old Village Development need to have safe passage to get to businesses like Hagberg’s, ball fields on the south side of 5 and to Lake Elmo Elementary, Lake Elmo Bank on the north side of the highway.
- 3) Another idea for a crossing: could the City consider a natural bridge (Wildlife Overpass) such as is found in Banff National Park in Alberta Canada. A portion could be set aside for walkers and bicyclists. The rest could be covered with soil and add prairie grass and shrubs to encourage wildlife to cross safely to Sunfish Lake Park to the Lake Elmo Park Preserve. This would be an important addition to the wildlife corridor on the East side of the Cities. I will find some pictures to help you visualize the concept.



(Kristin added this one)

- **Comment Card 5:** (Julie Rogness)

- 1) Concern about the water that stands on Laverne Ave and 36th Street. Hard to have funerals with hearse parking because of flooding.

Verbal Comments from Open House (Staff Notes)

The following section includes staff notes recapping the discussions and comments from the open house.

- **Nick Johnson Notes**

I got almost all positive feedback, with the only negatives being concern over cost (understandably). I did have two notes that I wanted to add to the comments:

1. Sara Terrell wanted it known that her property at 11230 31st St. N. desperately needs sewer as soon as possible.
2. Ann and Steve Engdahl wanted it known that their property at 3296 Lake Elmo Ave. N. experienced flooding or drainage issues off of Lake Elmo Ave.

- **Sue Mason Notes**

There is a resident with a concrete driveway, south of the tracks, that has significant flooding issues.

- **Add Other Staff Notes**

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 2	Date: June 12, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 4:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: <i>Wm. G. Wacker</i>	Affiliation/Neighborhood: <i>OLD VILLAGE</i>
Email:	
Phone: <i>651-777-9377</i>	Address: <i>3603 LAVERNE AV N</i>

Name: <i>BARRY WEEKS</i>	Affiliation/Neighborhood: <i>OLD VILLAGE</i>
Email: <i>BK WEEKS@40L.COM</i>	
Phone: <i>651-779-0307</i>	Address: <i>3647 LAKE ELMO AVE. N.</i>

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 2	Date: June 12, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 4:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: JERRY KRISTINA	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 777-2024	Address: 11217 3rd St.

Name: Sandra + Jim Ogden	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address: 11790 Little Bluestem Ct W

Name: Susan Duon	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Lake Elmo
Email:	
Phone: 777-0197	Address: 11018 Upper 33rd St. No.

Name: Rick & Kit Kubitschuk	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 651-770-1517	Address: 3303 Lake Elmo Ave N6

Name: Rolf Larson	Affiliation/Neighborhood: PoSC
Email:	
Phone: (651) 303-1358	Address: 11720 Little Bluestem Ct. N

Name: Bruce Beuthe	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address: Laverne 36th

Name: Greg Johnson	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 2	Date: June 12, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 4:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: TODD WILLIAMS	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Old Village
Email: toddwilliams@comcast.net	
Phone:	Address:

Name: Shawn Lohmann	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address: 3604 Layton Ave N

Name: Julia Rogers	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Christ Lutheran
Email: clc.pastor@comcast.net	
Phone: 651.777.2881	Address: 11194 36th St N CE

Name: Shelly McEune & Pete Dupuis	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 651-779-7303	Address: 3109 Lake Elmo Ave N.

Name: Terry Laird	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address: 3582 Lake Elmo Ave.

Name: Richard Mathews	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Southfork Ponds
Email: emathews@msn.com	
Phone:	Address: 4265 Kirkwood Ln N.

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 2	Date: June 12, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 4:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: Ella & Armando Menendez	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Meyer Park
Email: ella.bella@comcast.net	
Phone: 651-770-6778	Address: 3105 Cayton Ct. No.

Name: Don & Lynn Garner	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 651-770-5387	Address: 2988 Legion Ave. No.

Name: James & Lorraine	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: JDL@KMANAVIDAOL.COM	
Phone: 651-439-8984	Address: 3610 Lake Elmo Ave. No.

Name: Lois Ann Zetterlund	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 651-777-6928	Address: 2866 Legion Ave N.

Name: Rolana Jankie	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 651-277-7300	Address: 11377 36 TH ST N LAKE ELMO

Name: Rosemary Meier	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: rosegem1@comcast.net	
Phone: 651-777-0015	Address: 11284 32 ND ST N Lake Elmo

Name: Nancy Johansen	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 651-777-2464	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 2	Date: June 12, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 4:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: Patricia Swenson	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Hertig age Farms
Email:	
Phone: 651-7691-0081	Address: 2795 Lisbon Ave. N.

Name: John Swenson	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Hartlage Farms
Email:	
Phone: 651-704-0081	Address: 2795 Lisbon Ave North

Name: Frank Quader	Affiliation/Neighborhood: B&B Village
Email: frankquader@comcast.net	
Phone: 651-773-9939	Address: 2570 Laverne Ave N

Name: Sara Terrell	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Meyer Park (old village)
Email: sarakboys2@hotmail.com	
Phone: 651-748-4117	Address: 11230-31st St N.

Name: John Hodler	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: johnhodler@gmail.com	
Phone: 651-770-1302	Address:

Name: Lampert's - Laverne, across from Church	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name: Napa / Orange Plaid	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: FRANK SQUADRITO
*PHONE: 651-773-9939
*EMAIL: JSquadruto01@Comcast.net
*ADDRESS: 3570 LAVERNE AVE N

(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: I am thrilled city sewer is coming in 2015. It's
long overdue. I hope also, that the Laverne Ave reconstruction
and culverts to handle water help alleviate the severe
flooding we have on my property and Lions Field
across from me.

Also, the addition of traffic signal lights on
CSAH 17 (two places) is wonderful. There has been
a severe safety issue.

I think the planning shown is right on!
Good job!

Let's hope for a quick implementation!

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight.
Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to Frank Ticknor, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myeron Rd, N.,
Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: John Hoder
*PHONE _____
*EMAIL johnhoder@gmail.com
*ADDRESS _____

(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: The indicated locations for Future Regional trail will
be very well received. ~~at the~~ This trail should
be installed at the same time that Manning Ave is reconstructed.

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight.
Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to **Frank Ticknor**, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myron Rd, N.,
Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Todd Williams

*PHONE: _____

*EMAIL: _____

*ADDRESS: _____

(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: Plans look good. You seem to be considering the
important issues.

How will you show clearly which side of streets are the
bike lanes and which are "pedestrian only"?

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight.
Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to Frank Ticknor, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myron Rd, N.,
Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Rolf Larson

*PHONE: (651) 303-1358

*EMAIL: halver@mac.com

*ADDRESS: 11720 Little Bluestem Ct. N.

(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS:

(Car, walking, bicycling, etc.)

1) Really like the flow for traffic of all types within the CSAH 17 corridor. It sets a gold standard standard for connecting neighborhood thoroughst the community with the Old Village. The old Village should be a hub.

2) Though not the topic of this event, I am concerned about safe ^{pedestrian} crossing ^{access} State Highway 5. For both the sake of safety and commerce, the growing population in the North section of Old Village development need to have safe passage to get to businesses like Hagberg's ball fields on the south side of 5 and to Lake Elmo Elementary, Lake Elmo Bank on the north side of the highway.

3) Another idea for a crossing: Could the city consider a natural bridge such as is found in Banff National Park in Alberta Canada. A portion could be set aside for walkers & ~~hikers~~ bicyclists. The rest could be covered w/ the soil and add prairie grass & shrubs to encourage wildlife to cross safely from Sandfish Lake ~~Park~~ Park to the Lake Elmo Park Preserve. This would be an important addition to the Wildlife Corridor on the East side of the city. I will find some pictures to help you visualize the concept.

Rolf

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight.
Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to Frank Ticknor, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myron Rd, N., Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)
Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Julie Regness

*PHONE: 651.777.2881

*EMAIL: clcpastor@comcast.net

*ADDRESS: 11194 36th St. N

(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: Concern about the water that stands on
Lakem Ave & 36th St. Hard to have funerals w/ horse parking
because of flooding

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight.
Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to Frank Ticknor, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myeron Rd, N.,
Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.



2014 Resident Survey:

30th Street Sidewalk

Date: 10/15/14



Results

The City conducted a survey to gain insight into public opinion regarding a sidewalk being installed on the south side of 30th Street near Lake Elmo Ave. The survey asked two question and provided a section for residents to write comments or concerns. In total, 57 residents responded with the majority in favor of the sidewalk. The results of the survey are as follows:

Question 1: Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

Results:

67% (38 of 57) answered “Yes”

28% (16 of 57) answered “No”

6% (3 of 57) answered “Undecided”

Question 2: Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

Results:

21% (12 of 57) answered “Yes”

17.5% (10 of 57) answered “No”

60% (34 of 57) answered “Not Applicable”

1.5% (1 of 57) answered “Undecided”

Of the property owners directly affected by the installation of the proposed sidewalk, the results are as follows:

Question 1: Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

Results:

30% (3 of 10) answered “Yes”

60% (6 of 10) answered “No”

10% (1 of 10) answered “Undecided”

Question 2: Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

Results:

40% (4 of 10) answered “Yes”

60% (6 of 10) answered “No”

Comments

The survey provided space for residents to write their comments and concerns and a wide range of comments were received. 70% were in support of the sidewalk (28 of 39) with 30% (12 of 27) stating that 30th Street is currently unsafe for pedestrians and the sidewalk is a necessity. The comments were categorized and the results are as follows:

Comment Category	Number of Comments
In Favor:	
General	2
Safety Increases with Sidewalk	12
Connection to Village Area	3
Promote Pedestrian Activity	1
Develop Healthy Communities	1
Support with Contingency:	
• Replace Trees	3
• Include Crosswalk to Reid Park	1
• Use the North Side of 30th	2
• Sidewalk on South Side Only	1
• Use HOA Land	1
• Put Sidewalk Through Reid Park	1
Opposed:	
Property Value Concerns	2
Snow Removal Maintenance	6
Cost of Project Too High	1
General:	
• Expand Shoulder Instead	2
• Neighbor is Opposed	1
Total	39

A wide range of comments were received, but the issues of safety, connection, property value and snow removal maintenance received the most comments.

Safety:

We would love to have a safe connection from Heritage Farms neighborhood to the downtown area. The road near 30th Street and Lisbon is narrow for walking, running, and biking especially for the safety of children.

A sidewalk along 30th Street would greatly improve the safety of both bikes and pet walkers.

This would make 30th a more safe walking/biking road.

I walk my dog every day on 30th (for 15 years). I have almost been hit many times!

We live on Lisbon Ave N and run/walk on 30th all year long. Sidewalks are needed!!! The road is too narrow and vehicles don't always yield to pedestrians. Should have been done 17 years ago!

It is needed for safety and to be connected to the village .

We now rent our property in Lake Elmo so I don't feel our input is very applicable. Also, the property we own is on the north side of 30th and away from the road. However, as a runner, and a mother, it felt dangerous to go down that street.

Needed attention, very hard to walk in this area. Almost been hit by cars when walking.

Comments

We were told when we built our home that there would be a path from Lisbon Ave N to Lake Elmo Ave on 30th Street. However, when they redid the road, they did not put in the path. Lake Elmo needs sidewalks and paths so our children and adults can walk safely in our community.

At present, it is dangerous to walk 30th Street. Sidewalk or a wide shoulder on the south side would be a great improvement.

We need a safe walking “path” on 30th Street! So many people of all ages walk along 30th...which is too narrow for strollers etc. Please... for everyone’s safety...designate a sidewalk, right-of-way, etc. Thank you!

When 30th was upgraded, pedestrians lost adequate shoulders on this street. We don’t really need a sidewalk, we need wider shoulders on the sides of 30th. Come up with some street design that would FORCE drivers to drive the posted speed of 30mph! When driving lanes were widened, driving speeds increased.

Connection:

I love the idea of walking and biking trails cross-cutting through the village. Leave the car at home! Walk more!

It is needed for safety and to be connected to the village.

We would love to have a safe connection from Heritage Farms neighborhood to the downtown area.

More trails, sidewalks, and paths would be greatly appreciated.

Snow Removal Maintenance:

If the sidewalk is installed, will the property owners be required to keep clean (snow removal in winter?)

Shovel and plow in winter!

Sidewalks mean snow removal. We work retail and can’t always make it happen in a timely manner. Use the street north of 30th and wind it through the park and out.

I don’t want to do the maintenance on the sidewalk in winter. I think it is just fine the way it is. I enjoy the country living without a sidewalk.

The north side may be viable, if the sidewalk is located where the existing curb is and the road is shifted to the south while potentially viable, the cost would likely be prohibitive. A sidewalk would be nice, but who will maintain it, shovel it, etc. What about adding 4 to 6 feet to the road width? This would allow a wider bike/walking lane on each side of the street.

The project would take out valued trees, cause a liability for shoveling the sidewalk and add cost of taxes where the expensive sewer. Put it on the north side of 30th.

Property Value:

Would there be a cost to homeowners on 30th Street-an assessment? Would the sidewalk affect property values? Not in favor of increased costs for the residents since it will serve the whole city.

It would not be good for our property value to have a sidewalk in our backyard. Stormwater drain in 15ft area “works good” and power pole cable supports to south in 15ft area.

Comments

Other Comments:

Our HOA may have property on 30th, if so, I'd be in favor of providing the right of way for this purpose.

I am in favor of this as long as nothing happens to the north side of the road.

Use the north side where the hills across from us consist of dead grass hills which are unusable, and there is the park. Take that land. Last time 30th changed, we lost land and 5 trees with no compensation. What we have now is more traffic and speeding school buses. We have limited parking space trying to stay away from the pine sap. Few walkers use 30th St. Sidewalks mean snow removal. We work retail and can't always make it happen in a timely manner. Use the street north of 30th and wind it through the park and out.

Extend path from Lisbon into Reid Park on north side of 30th. Improve trails in Reid Park.

Do this ASAP- Clear out trees and brush in front of park so park is in full view. Place crosswalk paint from sidewalk to park. Park not used because dark, dreary, possibly dangerous for children.

If the price is right, I'd be willing to sell 10-15 ft.

There is already a sidewalk in place and our property is part of the MN Land Trust.

Talked to neighbors and they definitely do not want it.

Sidewalk is unnecessary. The project would be a waste of time and money. PS thank you for fixing the grade from Lake Elmo Ave to 30th St.

Should be in favor of sidewalks if trees are replaced on the boulevard if taken down to make room for sidewalks.

Either side.

I would consider 10 ft from the curb going south.

So glad Lake Elmo is becoming more pedestrian/bike friendly. Really helps build a healthy community!

Yes, love the idea! Would be great. We love our city!

30th Street



30th Street



30th Street



Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

IF THE PRICE WAS RIGHT I'D
BE WILLING TO SELL 10-15 FT.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

Extend path from Lisbon into Reid
Park on north side of 30th. Improve
trails in Reid Park.

Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

SIDEWALK IS UNNECESSARY.
THE PROJECT WOULD BE A
WASTE OF TIME + MONEY.
P.S. THANK YOU FOR FIXING THE GRADE FROM
LAKE ELMO AVE TO
30TH ST.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

I am in favor of this as long as nothing happens to the north side of the road.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions: Use the North side where the hills across from us consist of dead grass hills which are unusable, and there is the park. Take that land. Last time 30th changed, we lost land and 5 trees with no compensation. What we have now is more traffic and speeding school buses. We have limited parking space, trying to stay away from the pine strip. Few walkers use 30th st. Sidewalks mean snow removal, we work retail & can't always make it happen in a timely manner. Use the street North of 30th and use it thru the park and into the park. Thank you! Rtkh.

Name: _____
 Address: _____

Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions: *I don't want to have to do the maintenance on the sidewalk in the winter. I think it just fine the way it is. I enjoy the country living without a sidewalk*

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

Talked to neighbors and they definitely do NOT want it.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided *But*

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

*Would there be a cost to homeowners on 30th St - an assessment?
Would the sidewalk affect property values?
Not in favor of increased costs for the residents since it will serve the*

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

Not affected
① Do this ASAP -
Clear out Trees + Brush in front
of Park so park is in full view
② Place crosswalk paint from
sidewalk to Park -
Park not used because dark & scary
possibly dangerous for children.

Name: _____

Address: _____

Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

There already is a sidewalk in place
and our property is part of the MN
Land Trust.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

THE PROJECT WOULD TAKE OUT
VALUED TREES, CAUSE A LIABILITY
FOR SHOVELING THE SIDEWALKS
AND ADD COST OF TAXES WHERE THE
EXPENSIVE SEWER.
BUT IS ON THE NORTH SIDE
OF 30th

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

I would consider 10-ft
From The curb going so.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided
2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable
3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided
2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:
- THE NORTH SIDE MAY BE VIABLE, IF THE SIDEWALK IS LOCATED WHERE THE EXISTING CURB IS AND THE ROAD IS SHIFTED TO THE SOUTH. WHILE POTENTIALLY VIABLE, THE COST WOULD LIKELY BE PROHIBITIVE.
 - A SIDEWALK WOULD BE NICE, BUT WHO WILL MAINTAIN IT, SHOVEL SNOW, ETC.
 - WHAT ABOUT ADDING 4 TO 6 FEET TO THE ROAD WIDTH? THIS WOULD ALLOW A WIDER BIKE/WALKING LANE ON EACH SIDE OF THE STREET.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided
2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable
3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

It is needed for safety and to be connected to the village!

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided
2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable
3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

So glad Lake Elmo is becoming more pedestrian/bike friendly. Really helps build a healthy community!

Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

MORE SIDEWALKS, TRAILS, PATHS
WOULD BE GREATLY APPRECIATED.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

This would make 30th a more safe walking/biking road.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

*It would not be good for our property values to have a side walk in our back yard
storm water drain in 15ft area "works good"
and power pole cable supports to south
in 15ft area*

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

At present, it is dangerous to walk 30th Street. Sidewalk or a wide shoulder on the south side would be a great improvement.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

Should be in favor of sidewalks if trees are replaced on the boulevard if taken down to make room for sidewalks

Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

A sidewalk along 30th Street would greatly improve the safety of both bikers and pet walkers.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

We would love to have a safe connection from Heritage Farms neighborhood to the downtown area. The road near 30th + Lisbon is narrow for walking, running + biking especially for the safety of children.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

We were told when we built our home that there would be a path from Lisbon Ave N to Lake Elm Ave on 30th Street. However when they redid the road, they did not put in the path. Lake Elm needs sidewalks and paths so our children and adults can walk safely in our community.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

either side

Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

I love the idea of walking and biking trails cross-cutting thru the village. Leave the car at home! Walk more!

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

If this sidewalk is installed will the property owners be required to keep clean (snow removal in winter)?

Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided
2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable
3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided
2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable
3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

OUR HOA MAY HAVE PROPERTY
ON 30TH, IF SO, I'D BE IN
FAVOR OF PROVIDING THE
RIGHT OF WAY FOR THIS PURPOSE

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided
2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable
3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided
2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable
3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

We need a safe walking "path" on 30th
Street! So many people of all ages
walk along 30th... which is too narrow
for strollers etc. Please ... for
everyone's safety ... designate a
sidewalk, right-of-way, etc. Thank you!

Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

☒ Yes!!! ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

We live on Lisbon Ave N and run/walk on 30th all year long. Sidewalks are needed!!! The road is too narrow and vehicles don't always yield to pedestrians. Should have been done 17 years ago!

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

I walk my dog every day on 30th (for 15 years). I have almost been hit many times!

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

Shovel or plow in the winter!

Surveys

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

We now rent our property in Lake Elmo, so I don't feel our input is very applicable. Also, the property we own is on the North side of 30th and away from the road. However, as a runner and a mother, it felt dangerous to go down that street.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☒ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

Needed additional very hard to walk in this area. Almost been hit by cars when walking.

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

1. Would you be in favor of the City installing a sidewalk on the south side of 30th Street?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided

2. Would you be willing to sell/provide a minimal amount (approx. 10-15 feet) of additional right-of-way on the south side of 30th Street for the City to complete the improvements?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undecided ☐ Not Applicable

3. Additional Comments/Suggestions:

Yes, Love the idea!
Would be great. We love our City!!

Downtown Summit Comment Cards



Downtown Summit Comment Card Results			
Name	Address	Contact Info	Comment
Ann Bucheck	2301 Legion Ave	djbucheck@comcast.net	Will the city put a pause on building so that the citizens and city can decide if what has been approved is correct?
			I would like the city to reinstate the 1% rate 2nd volume water rule. All new development should be showing some LEED aspects. The city should have an "old person" committee since there are so many in the city.
			Please put all changes to codes, ordinances on the website since so many have changed. We have trouble keeping up with them.
			Please let community know how/what changes have been made to comprehensive plan. How many units is the city allowing in the old village- the number has grown since the council told the citizens we could count on it.
			The city has consistently not been in favor/allowed/used TIF. It takes money away from schools and this communtiy has always been in favor of a good education. Please keep streets narrow, speeds low, people safe!
Chuck Harstad	3503 Kelvin Ave. N.- Sunfish Lake	779-0613 charstad@comcast.net	I would be interested in meeting with the watershed board about potential impact of Sunfish Lake water levels based on current plans. Also- to understand the impact on water quality.
Joni Chavez	3505 Kelvin Ave/ Sunfish Lake	612-991-6035 joni.chavez@comcast.net	Regarding the sewer installation on Kelvin Ave... for those 4 residents who have prohibitive setbacks and are not included in sewer hook up, would we have a "guarantee" of future connection if needed (at a greater cost of couse)
			Observation regarding narrowing shoulder on Lake Elmo Ace to accommodate sidewalks: concerns about bicycling safety. There has been a fatality involving a bicycle, so safety is paramount in determining shoulder size.
Frank Squadrito	3570 Laverne Ave N.	fsquadrito01@comcast.net	Village green or commons size should be middle range and lower and the two ball parks with green, trees, landscape, water fountain, kind of gathering.
Warren Schmidt	3240 Lake Elmo Ave		We don't need sideewalks down lower Lake Elmo Ave.
April Schumacher	3625 Lake Elmo Ave N.	Aprilshowerz63@gmail.com	I would like to discuss the tree issue in the old village.
Jon Eisele	11094 35th St.	651-702-6626 jon.eisele@alliancepipeline.com	How do you plan to fix the grade difference between Lake Elmo Ave and the enterance/exit of 35th St? Businesses and homes on 35th are at grade of street, but Lake Elmo Ave is much higher making getting onto Lake Elmo Ave difficalt. Parked cars on Lake Elmo block view as you sit lower than Lake Elmo Ave.
Wendy Griffin	2835 Lake Elmo Ave N.	wlgiffin9@gmail.com	What about water reuse! Clean water funding-look at Hugo- why can't we have more information an the city website or in the city email letter that comes out on Friday?
			Why only bump outs on Lake Elmo ave by the Lake Elmo Inn? Why not more between the railroad track and 30th?
Neil Krueger			Astherics and pedestrian friendly must be high priority for out town to be successful!

Downtown Summit Comment Card Results			
Name	Address	Contact Info	Comment
Susan Dunn	11018 Upper 33rd St. N.	651-777-0197	Design standards are important to keep values up in the city. Please consider them for all the new developments.
			Sidewalk proposal too large.
			Do not lose mature trees.
			Reinstate the 1% rate and volume ordinance.
			Scale of Village Green similar in size of Lions Park and VFW ballfield.
			No TIF- Takes \$ away from children- higher population, more children- schools
			Intensity increase of Old Village way too many people
			The roads have been in horrible condition since the City has focused on growth.
			Put Interim Ordinance in effect until 2015 system statement.
"Voice of the people"			Thanks for your efforts- Stop working so hard!
To Kyle			Sue Dunn got a copy of the City's bond rating report. It said the city is preparing for "1,600 new residences," I only learned this Saturday.
Commission Member			Let people dedicate pavers on the sidewalks or benches to family members or community members. This would let people help sponsor the design.
Ernie Bruckner	11272 31st St. N.	651-770-6980	Excellent informational meeting last night. Special "thanks" to all those giving presentations for their detailed preparations. My #1 concern is the traffic speed on Lake Elmo Ave between 30th north to railroad tracks. A new smooth road will make things worse!
			Green space in the downtown area is a must in my eyes. From Lake Elmo Inn to the ball fields would be ideal, probably north of the post office.
			I was pleased to hear about sidewalks 30th to Lake Elmo Ave, and north on into town. Bike paths would also be a plus as I do both along Lake Elmo Ave. into downtown on a regular basis. Again "thanks" for the great meeting.
Todd Williams	3025 Lake Elmo Ave N	651-274-4658	For Lake Elmo Ave north of 30th St, there should be oly one bike land or trail, with one sidewalk south of the tracks and sidewalks each side north of the tracks to HWY 5.

**Downtown Summit
Resident Comment Card**

Name: Susan Dunn

Address: 11018 Upper 33rd St. N

Contact (Phone or Email): _____

Question / Comment: _____

- Scale of Village Green similar in size of Lions Park + 1/2 FW ballfield
- No TIF. Takes \$1 away from children - Higher population, more children - Schools (OVER)

**Downtown Summit
Resident Comment Card**

Name: Susan Dunn

Address: 11018 Upper 33rd St. N.

Contact (Phone or Email): 651-777-0197

Question / Comment: _____

- Design standards are important to keep values up in the city. Please consider them for all the new developments.
- Sidewalk proposals Too large -
- Do not lose mature trees
- Reinstate the 1% Rate + Valuation Ordinance

- Intensity increase of Old Village way too many people.
- The roads have been in horrible condition since the city has focused on growth.
- Put Interim Ordinance in effect until 2015 Sept or Student.

**Downtown Summit
Resident Comment Card**

Name: Todd Williams

Address: 3025 Lake Elmo Ave N

Contact (Phone or Email): 651-274-4658

Question / Comment: For Lake Elmo Ave north of 30th St,

there should be only one bike lane or trail, with one sidewalk south of the tracks and sidewalks each side north of the tracks to Hwy 5.

Downtown Summit Resident Comment Card

Name: Frank SQUADRITO
 Address: 3570 LAVERNE AVE N
 Contact (Phone or Email): fsquadr101@comcast.net
 Question / Comment: Village Green or Commons
size should be middle range and
connect the two parks - ball parks
with green trees, landscaping
water fountain kind of gathering.

Downtown Summit Resident Comment Card

Name: NEIL KRUEGER
 Address: _____
 Contact (Phone or Email): _____
 Question / Comment: _____
ASTHETICS; PEDESTRIAN FRIENDLY
MUST BE HIGH PRIORITY FOR OUR
TOWN TO ~~SUCCEED~~ BE SUCCESSFUL.

Downtown Summit Resident Comment Card

Name: Jon Eisele (1651)
 Address: 11094 35th St 702-66626
 Contact (Phone or Email): jon.eisele@alliancepipeline.com
 Question / Comment: How Do You Plan to Fix
THE GRADE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LK ELMO
AVE AND THE ENTRANCE / EXIT OF 35th ST?
BUSINESS + HOMES ON 35th ARE AT GRADE OF
STREET, BUT LK ELMO AVE IS MUCH HIGHER
MAKING GETTING ONTO LK ELMO AVE DIFFICULT.
PAKED CARS ON LK ELMO BLOCK VIEW AS YOU SIT
LOWER THAN ~~STREET~~ LK ELMO AVE.

Downtown Summit Resident Comment Card

Name: APRIL SCHUMACHER
 Address: 3625 LAKE ELMO AVE N.
 Contact (Phone or Email): APRILSHOWERZ63@GMAIL.COM
 Question / Comment: I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS
THE TREE ISSUE IN THE OLD
VILLAGE.

**Downtown Summit
Resident Comment Card**

Name: Warren Schmidt

Address: 3240 W. Elmo Ave

Contact (Phone or Email): _____

Question / Comment: We don't need side
walks down lower W. Elmo
Ave.

**Downtown Summit
Resident Comment Card**

Name: Chuck Harstad

Address: 3503 Kelvin Ave. N. - Sunfish Lake

Contact (Phone or Email): 729-0613 charstad@comcast.net

Question / Comment: I would be interested in meeting
with the Watershed Board re: potential impact of
Sunfish Lake water levels & based on current plans.
Also - to understand the impact on water quality.

**Downtown Summit
Resident Comment Card**

Name: Voice of the People

Address: _____

Contact (Phone or Email): _____

Question / Comment: _____

Thanks for your efforts -
Stop working so hard!

See Dunn got a copy of the
city's bond rating report,
it said the city is preparing
for "1,600 new residences".
I only learned this Saturday
for Kyle



Downtown Summit Resident Comment Card

Name: Wendy Griffin
Address: 2835 Lake Elmo av N
Contact (Phone or Email): WLGriffin90@gmail.com
Question / Comment: What about water Reuse!
Clean water funding - Look @ Hago -
Why Can't we have more information
on the city website or in the city
Email letter that comes out on Friday
Why only one Bump out on
Lake Elmo ave By The Elmo Inn ->



Downtown Summit Resident Comment Card

Name: ERNIE BRUCKNER
Address: 11272 31st St. N
Contact (Phone or Email): 651-770-6980
Question / Comment: Excellent informational
meeting last night. Special "Thanks"
to all those giving presentations for their
detailed preparations. My #1 concern
is the traffic speed on Lake Elmo Ave between
30th north to railroad tracks. A new smooth
road will make things worse!! (over)



Not more between the
RR tracks & 30th?



Green space in the downtown area is
a must in my eyes. From Lake Elmo Inn
to the ball fields would be ideal probably
north of the Post Office.

I was pleased to hear about sidewalks
on 30th to Lake Elmo ave. and north on
into town. Bike paths would also be
a plus as I do both along Lake Elmo Ave.
into downtown on a regular basis. Again
"Thanks" for the great meeting.



Downtown Summit Resident Comment Card

Name: Ann Bucheck

Address: 2301 Legion Ave

Contact (Phone or Email): djbucheck@comcast.net

Question / Comment: _____

I would like the city to reinstate the 1% rate and volumetric water rule. All new development should be showing some LEED aspects. The city should have an "old person" committee since there are so many in the city. Please put all changes to codes, ordinances on the website.



Downtown Summit Resident Comment Card

Name: Ann Bucheck

Address: 2301 Legion Ave

Contact (Phone or Email): djbucheck@comcast.net

Question / Comment: _____

Will the city put a pause on building so that the citizens and city can decide if what has been approved is correct?

Since so many have changed we have trouble keeping up with them. Please let community know how/what changes have been made to comprehensive plan. How many units ~~is~~ the city allowing in the "old village" - the number has grown since the council told the citizen we could count on it. The city has consistently not been in favor/allowed/used TIF. It takes money away from schools and this community has always been in favor of good education. Please keep streets narrow, speeds low, people safe!



Downtown Summit Resident Comment Card

Name: Council Member

Address: _____

Contact (Phone or Email): _____

Question / Comment: _____

Dedicate pavers on sidewalks
or benches
let people sponsor their paver/design



**Downtown Summit
Resident Comment Card**

→
over

Name: Joni Chavez
Address: 3505 Kelvin Ave. / Sunfish Lake
Contact (Phone or Email): 612-991-6035
Question / Comment: joni.chavez@comcast.net
Regarding sewer installation on Kelvin
Ave. ... for those 4 residents who
have prohibitive setbacks and are not
included in sewer hook-up, would
we have "guarantee" of future connec-
tion if ~~desired~~ (at a greater cost
or needed of course)

Observation regarding
narrowing shoulder on
Lk. Elmo Ave to accomodate
sidewalks: concerns about
bicycling safety. There has
been a fatality involving car
and bicycle, so safety is
paramount in determining
shoulder size.

Lake Elmo Avenue Corridor Improvements & Drainage Study

December 11th Public Open House 3 Summary

December 19, 2014

Meeting Description

The meeting was held on 12/11/14 at Christ Lutheran Church, Lake Elmo, MN (Atrium) from 5:00-7:00 pm. It was an open house style format with presentation boards around the perimeter of the Atrium and two layouts on a table in the center of the room. Google Earth was available on a monitor in the Atrium. Presentation materials included information on the regional drainage study, City-led utility improvements, and the County's roadway reconstruction project. There was no formal presentation and staff answered questions and collected feedback one-on-one. Comment cards were available.

Attendance

- **~80 People** at the 12/11/14 Public Open House
 - a) 59 Attendees signed in (estimated about 5-6 people did not sign in)
 - b) 16 Staff were present

Common Themes from Community Feedback

- **Comments Received Via**
 - a) Comment cards submitted at meeting (6)
 - b) Email (0)
 - c) Staff Notes (5 so far...)

The common themes we heard from the community feedback are below:

- Support and enthusiasm for the proposed utility and roadway improvements
- Mix of support and critique for pedestrian improvements
- Concern about loss of trees
- Mixed support and concern about other nearby projects outside of the scope of this project
- Others/Edit list

Comment Cards Received (Verbatim)

A total of (6) written comments were submitted at the public meeting.

- **Comment Card 1:** (Jay Knutson)
45 degree of latitude passes directly through downtown Lake Elmo. This line of latitude is significant because it marks the midpoint between the equator and the North Pole. I believe it would be great if something could be done to bring attention to this special location. Perhaps a plaque in the sidewalk or sign in the Park would be appropriate to notify people that they are at the exact middle of the northern hemisphere!

Thanks for your consideration!

Please keep up all the good work in making Lake Elmo better!!!

- **Comment Card 2:** (Susan Dunn)

- No sidewalk to Highway 5
- Do not remove healthy mature trees
- Do not put utilities underground – Very expensive and hard to maintain.
- Work with arborist
- Total Transformation of Lake Elmo is not an Enhancement.
- City – will they carry insurance for when the septic system (poop pipe) backs up?
- Why did this plan go forward without input from people who live here first?
- Lake Elmo Downtown to be left alone.

- **Comment Card 3:** (Marjorie Williams)

Do not put a sidewalk from railroad to 30th Street – very walkable already now – plenty of parking.
Reduce speed to 30 from 20th to RR tracks.

We do not need to change road – we have lived on the road for 42 years.

- **Comment Card 4:** (Andrea Albrecht)

I support improvements for better utility services and safer pathways for pedestrians. However we need to be realistic about the future of the downtown area. It is a destination commerce area. I do not see a significant increase in foot traffic for the limited retail section of the Old Village.

The old bank and parking lot is sufficient area for people to park and walk to specific businesses. I do not see the need for sidewalks on both sides of all old village streets. There are more residents than businesses so where are you anticipating all of this foot traffic to be coming for? If you are planning on building businesses on Hwy 5 – people will drive there and expect parking on Hwy 5. No one is going to be walking from Hwy 5 to the downtown area.

I am not sure your visions are aligned with the reality of what 1-2 city blocks can produce as far as an increase in business.

- **Comment Card 5:** (Ann Bucheck)

I am disappointed the access from Lake Elmo Ave to the parking lot for the library is being cut off. It would have been good for the City to contact the library regarding this plan.

The trees on Lake Elmo Avenue should not be removed. There is not enough money that can be paid to the homeowner to compensate them. Their property value will go down and the character of Lake Elmo will be ever changed.

Sidewalks are not necessary. Please ask all residents (tax paying residents who will be affected) of the area if they want them.

Who will be responsible for clearing them in the winter?

- **Comment Card 6:** (Cindy Gackle)

Hopefully you'll be able to preserve as many trees as possible in downtown – there's much beauty (and shade in summer) from those big trees.

I appreciate that consideration of groundwater flow to Down's Lake is being done – preventing overflow with the new developments is important.

Verbal Comments from Open House (Staff Notes)

The following section includes staff notes recapping the discussions and comments from the open house.

- **Kristin Petersen Notes**

I spoke with a daycare provider that is very happy that sidewalks will be continuous along both sides of Lake Elmo Ave.

- **Casey Riley Notes**

A resident from Fields of St. Croix was very interested in the trail system and would like a more walkable community and emphasized his desire to walk and bike to downtown safely.

I spoke with a woman who has a septic tank that is failing. She lives off of 35th Street and won't get to connect to the sewer until 2017-2019. She was upset the sewer would take so long to build. She was also upset because she has heard repeatedly over the years that the sewer was being built and it hasn't been built yet.

I spoke with John Lecy about the sidewalk on 30th Street. He does not want the sidewalk at all. He said that 30th Street doesn't lead anywhere and people don't need to walk on it. He would like to widen the road and reduce the speed limit.

I also spoke with Donald Klassen who is neighbors with John Lecy. He is in favor of the sidewalk on 30th and thinks it is needed for safety.

I spoke with a resident who is receiving a retaining wall. He was unhappy about how far the project intruded into his yard and impacted his land. He wanted to eliminate either the sidewalk or the trail on Lake Elmo Ave after the railroad tracks.

Several residents were worried about the process of hiring a private contractor to hook up their sewer to the system, and how much it may cost.

- **Sue Mason notes**

I talked to people concerned with accidents at 30th and Lake Elmo Avenue, due to the curve. Also concerned with new traffic adding to the intersection and trails ending and people crossing there.

We also talked to two homeowners that are just south of the tracks on the west side that have houses close to the tracks. One is concerned with mail service, both with the proximity of the roadway and proposed wall.

I did not hear any large support of parking south of the tracks, fairly ambivalent - people use it when they have company, generally more concern for trees.

- **Mindy Leadholm**

Some houses can't receive mail delivery (south of tracks/west side – Swan)
Retaining wall issues (at 32nd and just south of tracks)
Closing access in downtown on east side of road
Questions about the actual r/w on 30th Street

- **Jack Griffin:**

Spoke with several people regarding the need for sanitary sewer and were concerned with additional potential project delays.

Answered questions regarding range of costs for sewer and street assessments.

Talked to Downtown residents opposed to sidewalks along residential property fronts on Lake Elmo Avenue.

- **Jesse Symynkywicz**

Here are a couple of things I heard:

1. Lots of discussion about trees and sidewalks. Trees and sidewalks. Trees and sidewalks. And also some sewer but no surprise there.
2. They all love their trees and generally don't like sidewalks. Although I think they would eventually like them if they had them.
3. Interesting tidbit...the 45th parallel goes through downtown (somewhere close to the post office).
4. Lake Elmo (north of 36th): residents on east side don't want a sidewalk / want to keep existing trees. (At a minimum – I think we should have a sidewalk directly behind the curb).
5. Laverne: my understanding is that they were okay with a sidewalk on the west side only. No sidewalk on east side.
6. 36th: Although there wasn't any resident reaction to 36th – I think we need to look at eliminating parking on the south side. Our current layout is probably too close to the Church parking lot and house.
7. Lake Elmo (south of RR): lots of discussion. Could potentially eliminate on-street parking (not used very often). Could also locate sidewalk (both sides) directly behind curb. Stripped bike lane/shoulder. Narrow potential grading limits and existing tree impacts.

Thanks and have a happy weekend.

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 3	Date: December 11, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 5:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: Rosemary Nielsen	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Old Village
Email: rose991@comcast.net	
Phone: 651-777-0015	Address: 11284-33rd St. N Lake Elmo, MN 55042

Name: Cindy Gacke	Affiliation/Neighborhood: South of town - Eden Park?
Email: cgrade@cptelecom.us	
Phone: 612-751-7921	Address:

Name: Peter Hagstrom	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Old village
Email: peter@hagstrombuilder.com	
Phone: 651-777-8563	Address: 3511 Lake Elmo Ave

Name: Mandi Leonard	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Lake Elmo Lake
Email: mandi.floerke@gmail.com	
Phone: 651-491-7248	Address: 3012 Lake Elmo Ave N. / LE

Name: SUSAN JOHNSON	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: SEUACHLER@COMCAST.NET	
Phone: 651-330-1153	Address: 11227 30th St. N.D.

Name: Elizabeth (Johnson) & Michael Melkus	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: emnle3@hotmail.com	
Phone: 651 283 8794	Address: 2945 Lake Elmo Ave N.

Name: Barbara Bjorkman	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: bjorkmanb01@comcast	
Phone: 651-777-7827	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 3	Date: December 11, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 5:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: <u>Molly Greenlee</u>	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: <u>mbrunelmoen@msn.com</u>	
Phone: <u>651-338-3410</u>	Address: <u>3031 Laverne Ave N Lake Elmo MN</u>

Name: <u>JACK & NAOMI MILLER</u>	Affiliation/Neighborhood: <u>30th ST</u>
Email: <u>JANOMILLER@VSFAMILY.NET</u>	
Phone: <u>651-631-9613</u>	Address: <u>11989 30th ST</u>

Name: <u>BARRY WEEKS</u>	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: <u>BKWEEKS@AOL.COM</u>	
Phone: <u>779-0307</u>	Address: <u>31047 LAKE ELMO AVE. N.</u>

Name: <u>TERESA SCHMIDT</u>	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: <u>Schmidt3240@comcast.net</u>	
Phone:	Address:

Name: <u>BRUCE BEUTEL</u>	Affiliation/Neighborhood: <u>NEIGHBOR</u>
Email:	
Phone: <u>777-2949</u>	Address:

Name: <u>WARREN SCHMIDT</u>	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name: <u>Boogie + John Butenhoff</u>	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: <u>John.Butenhoff@gmail.com</u>	
Phone: <u>651-773-9807</u>	Address: <u>2976 LAKE ELMO AVE N</u>

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 3	Date: December 11, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 5:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: David B. Olsen	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Magee's Park
Email: dolsen@mindspring.com	
Phone: 651-927-7725	Address: 3124 Layton Ct.N.

Name: Joe Seeliger	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Xcel Energy
Email:	
Phone: 651-453-1228	Address:

Name: KATHY WEEKS	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: WEEKENDSIGNS@AOL.COM	
Phone: 779-0307	Address:

Name: Ann Buckner	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: abuckner@comcast.net	
Phone: 651-770-1730	Address:

Name: Dave Faint	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 651-773-9700	Address:

Name: Vickie Graczyk	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: vickie.graczyk@gmail.com	
Phone: 651-226-4804	Address:

Name: Terry Pengush	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: twpengush@yahoo.com	
Phone:	Address:

Don Johnson

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 3	Date: December 11, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 5:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: Mike Pearson	Affiliation/Neighborhood: MATH CHURCH
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name: Gary Kriesa	Affiliation/Neighborhood: WASHINGTON CO COMMISSIONER
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name: FRANK SQUADRO	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: Squadrito 81 (a) Comcast net	
Phone:	Address: 3570 LAUREL AVE N.

Name: IIR OGER	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name: Ron Johnson	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Church C.C.
Email:	
Phone: 651-430-2669	Address: 5264 S. Uvatter Blvd N. ST. CLAYTON

Name: Warren Salmat	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address: 3280 46th Ave NE

Name: Roland Janku	Affiliation/Neighborhood: 11327 35th ST N LAKE BLVD
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 3	Date: December 11, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 5:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: Bill Wicker	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: bwicker@live.com	
Phone: 612-594-8799	Address: 11079 35th Street North LE

Name: Jill Lundgren	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Councilwoman - Elect
Email:	
Phone: 651-261-4493	Address:

Name: Wally Nelson	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 612-247-3443	Address: 4582 Lilac Cir S

Name: Stephen M. Haver	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address: 2988 Region Dr. N

Name: Susan Dunn	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address: 11018 Upper 33rd St. Nover

Name: Nancy Gering	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address: 3124 Laverne Ct N

Name: Dale Dolschauer	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 3	Date: December 11, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 5:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: Kurt Schumacher	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Old Village
Email: Kurt0316@gmail.com	
Phone: 612-369-3175	Address: 3625 Lake Elmo Ave N.

Name: Pat & Renee Anderson	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Old Village
Email:	
Phone: 651-777-2825	Address: 3373 Lk Elmo Ave N

Name: ELIZABETH EVERSON	Affiliation/Neighborhood: OLD VILLAGE
Email: eeverson13@yahoo.com	
Phone: 1051-493-9150	Address: 11075 34TH ST. N.

Name: ANDREA ANBRECHT	Affiliation/Neighborhood: OLD VILLAGE
Email: a-anbrecht1@yahoo.com	
Phone: 651-263-9097	Address: 3003 LAKE ELMO AVE N.

Name: Alex Garner	Affiliation/Neighborhood: 2988 Legion Ave.
Email:	
Phone: 651 770 5387	Address:

Name: Wendy Gaffner	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Lake Elmo Area
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 3	Date: December 11, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 5:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: <i>Mervine Williams</i>	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: <i>williams@comcast.net</i>	
Phone: <i>651-777-8446</i>	Address: <i>3025 Lake Elmo Ave. N.</i>

Name: <i>Joy Knutson</i>	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: <i>jaKnutson@outlook.com</i>	
Phone: <i>651-769-5229</i>	Address: <i>4249 Irving Ct. N.</i>

Name: <i>MHC Graetz</i>	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: <i>Mgr58LIMA@gmail.com</i>	
Phone: <i>651-285-2971</i>	Address:

Name: <i>Dick Gonsen</i>	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: <i>DICK.GONSEN@CAA.GOV</i>	
Phone: <i>651-777-8966</i>	Address: <i>10867 33rd St. N.</i>

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Open House 3	Date: December 11, 2014
Location: Christ Lutheran Church	Time: 5:00 - 7:00 pm

Name: TERI SELDEN	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Laverne Ave.
Email:	
Phone: 651 777-8793	Address: 3673 Laverne Ave. N.

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Joshua Nielsen
*PHONE: 651-748-7822
*EMAIL: jnielsen@lillienews.com
*ADDRESS: _____

(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: SIGNAL IN

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>
-------------	----------------

<u>A. Moyaerdez Jr</u>	<u>3105 Layton Ct. N.</u>
<u>Donna Carol Kasper</u>	<u>3094 Layton Ct N</u>
<u>Leri Barthelmy</u>	<u>11543 Stillwater Rd</u>
<u>Peter Hagstrom</u>	<u>3511 Lake Elmo Ave.</u>

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight.
Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to Frank Ticknor, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myeron Rd, N.,
Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: _____

*PHONE: _____

*EMAIL: _____

*ADDRESS: _____

(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: _____

Arrive & Dick Wier 3645 Lawrence Ave N.

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight. Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record that will be posted on the project website. No personal information will be posted on the project website, comments will be listed anonymously.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to **Frank Ticknor**, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myron Rd, N., Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)
Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Jay Knutson
*PHONE: 651-769-5229
*EMAIL: jaknutson@outlook.com
*ADDRESS: 4240 Ervin Ct. N.

(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: 45° of latitude passes directly through downtown Lake Elmo. This line of latitude is significant because it marks the midpoint between the equator and the North Pole. I believe it would be great if something could be done to bring attention to this special location. ~~Maybe~~ Perhaps ~~some~~ a plaque in the sidewalk or sign in the park would be appropriate to notify people that they are at the exact middle of the Northern Hemisphere!

Thanks for your consideration!

please keep up all the good work
in making Lake Elmo better!!!

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight.
Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to Frank Ticknor, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myeron Rd, N., Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.



COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Susan Dunn
*PHONE: 651-777-0197
*EMAIL: _____
*ADDRESS: 11018 Lepper 33rd St. North Lake Elmo, MN
(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: • No sidewalks to highway 5
• Do not remove healthy mature trees
• Do not put utilities underground - Very expensive & hard to maintain.
• Work with arborist -
• Total Transformation of Lake Elmo is not an Enhancement.
• City - will they carry insurance for when the septic system (poop pipe) backs up?
★ • Why did this plan go forward without input from people who live here first?
• Lake Elmo Downtown to be left alone.

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight. Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record that will be posted on the project website. No personal information will be posted on the project website, comments will be listed anonymously.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to **Frank Ticknor**, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myron Rd, N., Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)
Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Marjorie Williams
*PHONE: _____
*EMAIL: milliams@comcast.net
*ADDRESS: 3025 Lake Elmo Ave. N.

(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: 1. Do not put a side walk from
Railroad to 30th Street - very walkable already,
now - plenty of parking.
Reduce Speed to 30 from 20th to RR tracks
We do not need to change road - we
have lived on the road for 42 years.

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight.
Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to **Frank Ticknor**, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myeron Rd, N.,
Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: ANDREA ALBRECHT
*PHONE: 651-263-9697
*EMAIL: a.albrecht1@yahoo.com
*ADDRESS: 3103 LAKE ELMO AVE N.

(* Indicates optional)

COMMENTS: I Support IMPROVEMENTS FOR BETTER UTILITY SERVICES AND SAFER PATHWAYS FOR PEDESTRIANS. HOWEVER WE NEED TO BE REALISTIC ABOUT THE FUTURE OF THE DOWNTOWN AREA. IT IS A DESTINATION COMMERCE AREA. I DO NOT SEE A SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN FOOT TRAFFIC FOR THE LIMITED RETAIL SECTION OF THE OLD VILLAGE.

THE OLD BANK & PARKING LOT IS SUFFICIENT^{AREA} FOR PEOPLE TO PARK & WALK TO SPECIFIC BUSINESSES. I DO NOT SEE THE NEED FOR ~~THE~~ SIDEWALKS ON BOTH SIDES OF ALL OLD VILLAGE STREETS. THERE ARE MORE RESIDENTS THAN BUSINESSES SO WHERE ARE YOU ~~ANTICIPATING~~ ANTICIPATING ALL OF THIS FOOT TRAFFIC TO BECOMING FOR? IF YOU ARE PLANNING ON BUILDING BUSINESSES ON HWY 5 - PEOPLE WILL DRIVE THERE & EXPECT PARKING ON HWY 5. NO ONE IS GOING TO BE WALKING FROM HWY 5 TO THE DOWNTOWN AREA

I AM NOT SURE YOUR VISIONS ARE ALIGNED WITH THE REALITY OF WHAT 1-2 CITY BLOCKS CAN PRODUCE AS FAR AS AN INCREASE IN BUSINESS

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight. Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record that will be posted on the project website. No personal information will be posted on the project website, comments will be listed anonymously.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Ann Buckeck
*PHONE _____
*EMAIL _____
*ADDRESS _____

(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: I am disappointed the access from Lake Elmo Ave to the parking lot for the library is being cut off. It would have been good for the city to contact the library regarding the plan.

The trees on Lake Elmo Avenue should not be removed. There is not enough money that can be paid to the homeowner to compensate them. Their property value will go down and the character of Lake Elmo will be ever changed.

Sidewalks are not necessary. Please ask all residents of the area if they want them

↑
to paying residents
who will be affected

who will be responsible
for designing them in
the winter?

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight. Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record that will be posted on the project website. No personal information will be posted on the project website, comments will be listed anonymously.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to **Frank Ticknor**, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myeron Rd, N., Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)
Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Cindy Gackle
*PHONE: _____
*EMAIL: cgackle@cp telecom.us
*ADDRESS: 2201 Lyon Lane N.
(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS:

Hopefully, you'll be able to preserve as many trees as possible in downtown — there's much beauty (shade in summer) from those big trees.

I appreciate that consideration of groundwater flow to Dennis Lake is being done — ~~preventing overflow~~ ↑ groundwater Down's ~~area~~ with the new developments is important

Thank you

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight.
Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to Frank Ticknor, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myeron Rd, N., Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

Lake Elmo Avenue Corridor Improvements & Drainage Study

January 14th Neighborhood Focus Groups

Meeting Summary

January 16, 2015

Meeting Description

The neighborhood focus groups were held on 1/14/15 in the Lake Elmo City Hall Council Chambers. Three groups met for 45 minutes each starting at 5:30 pm, 6:15 pm, and 7:00 pm. Group 1 included 17 properties on CSAH 17 south of the tracks, Group 2 included 8 properties on CSAH 17 at Hwy 5, and Group 3 included 12 properties on Laverne Ave. Each group was given a brief introductory presentation and then either broke out into one-on-one sessions or had a group Q&A. Presentation materials included layout alternatives and cross sections at each property within the three groups. FAQ sheets on Right-of-Way and Compensation, Tree Impacts, and Pedestrian Facilities were also distributed. Comment cards were available.

Attendance

- **~40 People** attended the 1/14/15 Neighborhood Focus Groups
 - a) 32 Attendees signed in*
 - b) 8 Staff were present
- * Including 4 Councilmembers

Common Themes from Community Feedback

- **Comments Received Via**
 - a) Comment cards submitted at meeting (1)
 - b) Email (0)
 - c) Staff Notes (4)

The common themes we heard from the community feedback are below:

- Mix of support and critique for proposed utility and roadway improvements
- Mix of support and critique for pedestrian improvements
- Concern about loss of trees
- Others/Edit list

Comment Cards Received (Verbatim)

A total of (1) written comments was submitted at the Neighborhood Focus Groups.

- **Comment Card 1:** (Frank Squadrito)
I need a one-on-one!

Staff Notes

The following section includes staff notes recapping the discussions and comments from the open house.

- **Nick Johnson notes**

These are the things I heard at last night's meeting:

- The County/City should hire an arborist to consult on all tree removals, as some species are more resilient than others when it comes to construction impacts.
- I met with Mike and Vicki Graczyk (3360 Lake Elmo Ave.), and they were encouraged that the flat or usable space of their front yard would remain approximately the same dimension. They did not have any clear preference for the retaining wall type at this time.
- Michael and Chloe Swan (3348 Lake Elmo Ave.) wanted to see more possible designs for the retaining walls, as they did not favor the options presented.
- Martin and Silbyk Neumann (Guy with Goatee...Kevin help me out here) (3351 Lake Elmo Ave.) were favorable to the pedestrian facilities. While not thrilled that trees would need to be removed, they seemed to understand that tree removals would be necessary to provide the recommended design.
- Frank Squadrito (3570 Laverne Ave.) wanted the team to prepare a cross section for his property. He noted that he would likely follow up for an individual site visit to discuss his issues related to flooding/drainage.
- Justin Lacroix (Twin Point Tavern – 11199 Stillwater Blvd.) wanted to ensure that the tavern still has two driveways on Laverne Ave. He also expressed concern about how the proposed design would interact with the tavern's parking lot. Kevin and I spoke with him and noted that further examination of this issue is needed, perhaps a site visit.
- Rich Kosman (Village Wine and Spirits – 11227 Stillwater Blvd.) also expressed concern how the proposed design would interact with his parking area on the west side of his building. Further examination of this issue is warranted.
- Representatives from Christ Lutheran Church (Jim Kelly, Roger Knutson, etc.) noted they are in favor of on-street parking and sidewalks on west side of street.
- I met with Rich Kosman of Village Wine today (*day after focus groups*). He noted that he has concerns about water coming onto his property. There is an existing catch basin at the corner of Laverne and TH5 on the west side by Twin Point. Nevertheless, Rich says that water flows back to his property in in between his building and the neighbors (Guerin 3699 Laverne). He noted that the Guerin home floods in the back yard when this occurs.

- **Frank Ticknor notes**

1. CSAH 17 south of RR tracks

- a. There is still interest in parking on one side
- b. Many comments that said only one trail needed
- c. We will organize another neighborhood meeting for CSAH 17 where we will present three main alternatives for this section....1)the current design with 5 foot sidewalks on both sides, 2) 5 foot sidewalk on east side with parking on west side, and 3) 5 foot sidewalk on west side with parking on east side.

2. CSAH 17 north of 36th street

- a. We will consider an option where we grade the area behind the curb on the east side of CSAH 17 but not build the sidewalk now. We will, however, build the sidewalk when TH 5 is turned back to the County and rebuilt with pedestrian facilities.

- **Mindy Leadholm notes**

Below are additional comments/concerns that I heard at the meetings:

I talked with Michael and Chloe Swan (3360 LEA) – Chloe said she had a specific idea of retaining walls she might like better. While they know we can't necessarily accommodate these wishes, I asked her to send me examples of walls they might like better. Another concern on their property is the existing retaining wall – we will have to look at whether we can match this wall; or whether we need to re-construct the entire thing. Also talked with them about the possibility of moving a few of their trees – at least 2 of them would be pretty easy for the Swans to move and replant. Their opinion regarding parking south of the tracks is that it is not needed.

The appraiser brought to my attention on Wednesday, that the church thinks their septic system is within the TE area. I told the appraiser that we'd take a look at this; but that we would make sure the church can use their existing septic system until they hook up to the new sanitary sewer line. Other option: the appraiser would add into the appraisal the cost of moving this septic system to another part of their property; which doesn't make much sense because they won't need it for much longer; and would obviously be very costly.

I also talked with Rich Kosman (Village Wine and Spirits). Going along with Nick's comments, he expressed concern over how the access to his business will end up; currently, it is just open – but he wants to know where the curb will be and where the actual access will be.

- **Sue Mason notes**

1. Group One

-Talked to the younger couple at 3351. They are on the east side with a proposed wall. They are very pro sidewalk, parking is not a priority, if parking is chosen over sidewalk, they would rather have sidewalk. They realize they are losing trees, but are accepting of it. Wall type desired is that which has the smallest profile/impact, most durable. Looked at their cross section, if we pull in the walk to 7 feet behind the curb, we do not need a wall.

-Also talked to their neighbor. Russel Anderson at 3373. He does not think change is needed for much. Council Member Ann also spoke with him more than I did, not sure what she heard, would be good to follow up. The tree that is being shown for removal is a 200 year old oak, according to him. At that age, there could be some public safety issues with it, but I told him we will want to assess it. - and also find his water shut off etc. If we pull in the walk to 7 feet, we do not need a wall.

2. Group 2

- in the group questions, I really only heard two couples loudly opposing the sidewalk, hard to tell what the others were thinking... except I spoke with Barry and Kathleen Weeks at 3647 Lake Elmo Avenue and they did not express much concern over their tree loss. They have two ash on either property side and then a maple in the middle that they would like to save if possible. They told me that Lake Elmo Repair's septic is in the back of their building, looking at it, we should see if they can get service from Laverne.

3. Group 3

- Spoke with the bar owner at Twin Points, he thinks we should build a boulevard as it will look better and provide the snow storage. He was not opposed to having a walk. We do not have to have the sidewalk in a strictly "typical" location, but could have places with boulevard and then without. The Church would benefit with the walk behind the parking and maybe they would be okay with a little wider walk, so we could provide more snow storage. 10 feet would be better. At the end of the day, Sidewalk on the west side should be the minimum condition in my opinion.

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Neighborhood Focus Groups	Date: January 14, 2015
Location: Lake Elmo City Hall, Council Chambers	Time: 5:30, 6:15, and 7:00 pm

Name: Kathleen Hartman	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Area A
Email: oppalandet@cs.com	
Phone: 970-481-4772	Address: 3385 Lake Elmo Ave. N.

Name: Geth Schi 172	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Lake Elmo Inn
Email: chefschi172@aol.com	
Phone: 651-402-1282	Address: 3442 Lake Elmo Ave NW,

Name: Peter Ryan Lindstrom	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 777-2825	Address: 3373 Lake Elmo Ave

Name: Mike & Chae Swan	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: mdswan@hotmail.com	
Phone: 651-736-9594	Address: 3348 Lake Elmo Ave / PO Box 177

Name: Shane & Eric Pascal	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: shane.neumann@gmail.com	
Phone: 765-563-7327	Address: 3351 Lake Elmo Ave N

Name: Mike & Vickie Graczyk	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: Vickie.graczyk@gmail.com	
Phone: 651-226-4804	Address: 3358 Lake Elmo Ave.

Name: Gaby Henson	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Neighborhood Focus Groups	Date: January 14, 2015
Location: Lake Elmo City Hall, Council Chambers	Time: 5:30, 6:15, and 7:00 pm

Name: APRIL SCHUMACHER	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: APRILS.HOWELL263@GMAIL.COM	
Phone: 651-442-8288	Address: 3625 LAKE ELMO AVE N.

Name: Andrea Albrecht	Affiliation/Neighborhood: 3603 LAKE ELMO AVE
Email: a-albrecht1@yahoo.com	
Phone: 651-263-9697	Address:

Name: Justin Dennis Tracy L. Coit	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Twin Point
Email: G4949@yahoo.com	
Phone: 651-342-3360	Address: 1199 Stillwater Blvd N

Name: Dick Wier	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: ADWIER@AOL.COM	
Phone:	Address: 3645 LAVERNE AVE N

Name: Rich Kosman	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Village wine
Email: Kosmanrj@aol.com	
Phone: 651-270-0321	Address: 11227 Stillwater BLVD

Name: Susan Dunn	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Old Village Area
Email:	
Phone: 777-0197	Address: 11018 Lynden 33rd St W

Name: Gay Waneison, Jan Kelly, Dawn K	Affiliation/Neighborhood: Christ Lutheran Church
Email: Dawna.Fuchs@	
Phone:	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Neighborhood Focus Groups	Date: January 14, 2015
Location: Lake Elmo City Hall, Council Chambers	Time: 5:30, 6:15, and 7:00 pm

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address: 3240 Lake Elmo Ave.

Name: Ave Engdahl	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address: 3246 Lake Elmo Ave.

Name: Jim Lohman	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: JDLohman@aol.com	
Phone: 651-439-8984	Address: 3582 Lake Elmo Ave

Name: BILL WACKER	Affiliation/Neighborhood: OLD VILLAGE
Email: N/A	
Phone: 651-777-9377	Address: 3603 LAVERN AVEN

Name: Barb Bjorkman	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone: 651-777-7827	Address:

Name: KATHY & BARRY WEEKS	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: WEEKS WEEKSEND SIGNS @ AOL.COM	3647 LAKE ELMO AVEN.
Phone: 651-779-0307	Address:

Name: Matt Knowlan	Affiliation/Neighborhood: 3546 Lake Elmo Ave.
Email: matk@aol.com	
Phone: (651) 777-8172	Address:

LAKE ELMO AVENUE (CSAH 17)

Corridor Management and Safety Improvement Project

Meeting Description: Neighborhood Focus Groups	Date: January 14, 2015
Location: Lake Elmo City Hall, Council Chambers	Time: 5:30, 6:15, and 7:00 pm

Name: Frank Seydewitz	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email: fsquadrito@comcast.net	
Phone:	Address: 3570 Lawrence Ave D

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

Name:	Affiliation/Neighborhood:
Email:	
Phone:	Address:

COMMENTS & FEEDBACK

*NAME: Frank Squadrito
*PHONE: 651-713-9939
*EMAIL: fsquadrito@comcast.net
*ADDRESS: 3570 Laramie Avenue
(* indicates optional)

COMMENTS: I need a one-on-one

Please fill out this comment and feedback form and leave in the comment box or with project staff tonight. Your feedback will be shared with project staff and included in the project record that will be posted on the project website. No personal information will be posted on the project website, comments will be listed anonymously.

Visit the project website and sign up for email updates at:

www.co.washington.mn.us/LakeElmoAveCR17

Comments may also be directed to **Frank Ticknor**, Washington County Public Works, 11660 Myeron Rd, N., Stillwater, MN 55082. Email: frank.ticknor@co.washington.mn.us Phone: 651.430.4319.

DATE August 25, 2014

TO: Mike Pearson, Mayor
City Council. Justin Bloyer
Wally Nelson
Mike Reeves
Anne Smith
City Administrator, Dean Zuleger
✓ City Planner, Nick Johnson

FROM: Residents of Laverne Ave No.
3699 K. Guerin

3693 D. Beutel

3673 A. Thompson

3650 B. Bjorkman

3645 R. Wier

3631 B. Butler

3617 D. Faint

Christ Lutheran Church

3603 W. Wacker

3590 B. Beutel

3570 F. Squadrito

REG: Sidewalks/Water/Parking

We assumed by all the studies in reference to the old village suggest that the old village would be protected. The protection of the old village is the heritage of Lake Elmo.

The residents of Laverne Ave. No. — from Highway 5 to the Railroad tracks would like to make known their concerns. We strongly oppose the plan to put sidewalks on Laverne Ave No. and parking on both sides of the street when the sewer comes through on our street.

Has anyone ever sat by the street for any length of time, done a study, to see exactly how many people actually walk down the street? Very few to none! We know, we live there. This is a street that is lined with nineteen (19) 80—90 year old trees. Putting sidewalks in would either require tree removal or damage of the tree roots causing the trees to eventually killing them. Therefore, we question why the need of sidewalks and parking on both sides of Laverne Ave. No when the residential district doesn't need it. .

Page 2
August 25, 2014

Is a sidewalk that very few use more important than a street lined with mature trees that provide much needed shade?

We also realize that there is a water drainage problem on our street. So we would be in favor of curb and gutter. Curbing along Laverne Ave. would really exacerbate water flooding at the 3570 address (Squadrito property) and Lion field. Also, the water table is so high and the flooding from the culvert and flowage needs to be addressed first.

This is our tax money, we expect the city to use it wisely and where it is actually needed.

Right-of-Way & Compensation

Frequently Asked Questions

What is a temporary easement?

A recorded document that will allow the County, City, and its contractors the rights to access this area of your property. Many times, temporary easements are necessary for grading purposes. The actual roadway, utilities, sidewalks, and trails will NOT be in this area. All rights to the temporary easement area are retained by the property owner at the end of the easement timeframe.

When will a temporary easement be used on my property?

If your property is needed for the purpose of the road construction project or construction of the trunk lines for any utilities (storm sewer, watermain, sanitary sewer, or private utilities), a temporary easement will be acquired from you.

How is compensation determined for a temporary easement?

An appraiser (independent from the City or County) will be hired to appraise your property. They will determine the value of the temporary easement area. They will also determine the value of any landscaping or trees within that temporary easement area. Once the appraisal is complete, Mindy Leadholm, Washington County Property Acquisition Manager, will set up a meeting with you to go over the appraisal.

What is the duration of the temporary easement?

The easements needed for the 2015 construction area (on Laverne Avenue, Upper 33rd Street, and 36th Street) will be from July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2016. The majority of the work in this area is scheduled for 2015. However, some clean up and restoration work may be needed in 2016. The duration needed for the temporary easements within the 2016 construction area (on Lake Elmo Avenue and 30th Street) have not been determined.

What is a right of entry?

A document between the property owner and the City of Lake Elmo that allows access to the property for specified activities and construction.

When will a right of entry be needed on my property?

When access to the private property is necessary to provide services (water and sanitary) for this specific private property, a right of entry will be acquired. The property owner will have some input into where this occurs along their property line.

How is compensation determined for a right of entry?

There is no compensation for a right of entry.

What is the duration of the right of entry?

On the right of entry document, there will be a specified time period that the contractor is allowed to do the work on your private property.

If a tree gets impacted from a sewer or water service, will I be compensated?

No. The City will work with each individual property to identify locations that avoid tree removal due to service construction.

Tree & Landscape Impacts

Frequently Asked Questions

Why are trees important?

Trees are an essential element to health of our natural environment and our communities. They produce oxygen, filter the soil, reduce noise transmission, slow stormwater run off, reduce air-borne carbon and pollutants, provide shade and windbreaks, prevent soil erosion, and increase the beauty and value of property. A healthy urban forest should have a variety of species and varying levels of maturity.

Will this project affect trees?

Yes. This project introduces sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and upgraded utilities to the project area followed by road construction within the public right-of-way. Project engineers continue to develop and refine plans that aim to avoid and minimize impacts where possible, and to mitigate tree loss where it is unavoidable. Staff are seeking grants to include tree trenches that improve tree health and provides surface water management.

Will my trees be affected? Can they be saved?

Due to the deep excavation and significant soil disruption that is required to install sanitary sewer, upgrade utilities, and reconstruct the roadway; many trees and shrubs simply can not survive or fully recover from the proposed construction. The preliminary design plans have identified many trees at risk of damage or requiring removal. Project staff are carefully considering design modifications on a case by case basis that may potentially save individual trees in some locations.

Project staff will be working with a certified arborist (tree expert) during final design to analyze the condition and location of individual trees and to further evaluate the likelihood of tree loss or damage due to proposed construction activities.

Who pays for tree removals?

The project will fully cover all tree removal costs, on both on public and private land, if they are impacted by the project and removed at the time of construction. If a property owner wishes to attempt save a tree identified as being at risk for damage during construction, and the tree eventually dies, then the property owner will be responsible for any removal costs.

Will my removed trees be replaced?

The project includes plans to plant 95 new boulevard trees within the Village Center. Additional funding is being sought to provide additional replacement trees.

Are there risks associated with trying to save trees under these conditions?

Yes. A main concern is that root loss or damage due to construction impacts not only affects the health of these trees but also their stability. A street tree that experiences significant root loss or damage will have a different center of gravity and diminished anchoring to the ground creating a potential public safety hazard.



Photos showing examples of the trench digging and soil disruption required to install sanitary sewer (left, center) and a toppled tree with compromised roots (right).

Pedestrian Facilities - Why Sidewalks & Trails?

Frequently Asked Questions

What guidance does the Washington County 2030 Comprehensive Plan provide?

The Washington County 2030 Comprehensive Plan has a number of goals and policies which are implemented by the CSAH 17/Lake Elmo Avenue Project, including::

- Goal 4-4 Develop a non-motorized transportation system to provide mobility and recreational options to the public
- Policy 4-20 Integrate trails and pedestrian and bicycle uses into the design of the roadway and transit facilities, as appropriate
- Policy 4-21 Identify and support trail connections that provide links to parks, cultural and historic resources, and community destinations
- Policy 4-22 Provide safe and appropriate trail crossings and access to the county roadway system
- Policy 4-23 Comply with the American with Disabilities Act (ADA) and guidelines for new and reconstructed trail and roadway projects.

Implementation strategies

- Discourage uncontrolled pedestrian and bicycle trail crossings
- Provide pedestrian/bicycle features at signalized intersections
- When justified by an engineering study, provide consistent signing and pavement markings for pedestrian/bicyclist crossings

Roadway design

- Based on traffic volumes and turning movements, the roadway design along CSAH 17/Lake Elmo Avenue at TH 5 should include left and right-turn lanes.

What guidance does the City of Lake Elmo Comprehensive Plan provide?

The provision of walkability and pedestrian facilities are key goals of the City of Lake Elmo's Comprehensive Plan, the main policy document of the City. The plan includes the following guidance:

- Core principle #3 of the Land Use Plan is a rebirth of the historic Village Center.
- Objective #3 of the Village Land Use Plan is to "Create a walkable, viable community with a distinct center"
- The Transportation section of the Village Land Use Plan notes that "Future road improvements to Lake Elmo Avenue will include an emphasis on the pedestrian environment and incorporate appropriate features including benches, lighting, sidewalks, and other elements in order to create an inviting pedestrian environment." In addition, "New trails along State Highway 5 and Lake Elmo Avenue will serve as the backbone for a trail system that connects the existing and new development within the Village Planning Area"
- The Parks and Trails section of the Village Land Use Plan: "The City will work with Washington County to incorporate a separated on-grade bicycle or multi-purpose trail along Lake Elmo Avenue when this corridor is reconstructed."
- Principle #8 of the Village Master Plan is to "Improve connectivity . . . Provide a balanced network for movement that links local neighborhoods and Village Area attractions with city-wide and regional systems, paying equal attention to cars, bicycles, pedestrians and transit." And "For example: the Master Plan is not anti-car . . . but it also does not emphasize traffic management and car convenience as the primary drivers of Village design. Instead, the Plan seeks to

Pedestrian Facilities- Why Sidewalks & Trails?

Frequently Asked Questions, Continued

create a safe, functional and aesthetically pleasing experience for everyone, while encouraging increased pedestrian and bicycle trips with a decreased dependency on the automobile.”

- The Village Land Use Plan “recommends locating new neighborhoods contiguous to the existing core and emphasizing walking connections between neighborhoods and the core”
- Overall Goal #2: Multimodal Interaction - A fully developed transportation system enables the best use of the capabilities of individual and combined modes of travel. It encourages public transit as a viable alternative and creates a safe and acceptable environment for foot or bicycle travel.
- Policy Statement K: Convenient and safe pedestrian/bike circulation within a community not only reduces the air quality degradation and crash risks of the vehicular alternative but also strengthens the sense of community through resident interaction. Much of the Village Area, particularly south of Stillwater Boulevard (Trunk Highway 5), has historically developed at a density and in a pattern that encourages pedestrian/bike circulation. That development pattern shall be continued with new Village area development.
- Some consideration to the future projected traffic volumes of these roads should be considered. This project is planned to serve the Village for the next 50 years, not the next 5. The more traffic on these streets, the greater the need for separated pedestrian facilities. Projected 2030 Traffic Volumes are the following:
 1. 8,000 trips per day on Lake Elmo Avenue (CSAH 17)
 2. 1,200 trips per day on Laverne Avenue
 3. 900 trips per day on Upper 33rd Street
 4. 2,800 trips per day on 30th Street (Major Collector Road)

With these levels of traffic, separated pedestrian facilities are certainly warranted from a public safety standpoint.

What feedback did the City of Lake Elmo’s 2013 Park Survey provide regarding parks and trails?

“Overall, walking and hiking is the most popular park activity engaged in by taxpayers. Biking, nature observation, and monitoring children are also frequent activities, which vary in priority slightly among age groups.”

“Eighty percent (80%) of taxpayers are in favor of the city developing a trail system that connects neighborhoods, parks, downtown and schools. This desire is shared evenly among age groups, with slightly more interest among 26 to 35 year olds.”

“Survey respondents indicated that they would like to see more paved trails. The most common factors discouraging the use of trails include safety and poor maintenance.”