

#### **NOTICE OF MEETING**

# City Council Meeting Tuesday, October 20, 2015 7:00 P.M. City of Lake Elmo | 3800 Laverne Avenue North

# **Agenda**

- A. Call to Order
- B. Pledge of Allegiance
- C. Roll Call/Order of Business
- D. Approval of Agenda
- E. Accept Minutes
  - 1. a. Approve September 15, 2015 Minutes
    - b. Approve October 6, 2015 Minutes
- F. Council Reports
- G. Presentations/Public Comments/Inquiries
- H. Finance Consent Agenda
  - 2. Approve Payment of Disbursements
  - 3. Approve Finance Report Dated 9/30/15
  - 4. Approve Quote to Seal and Abandon Test Well No. 4
  - 5. Approve Eagle Pt. Blvd. Street and Utility Improvements Pay Request No. 2
  - 6. Accept September 2015 Assessor Report

#### I. Other Consent Agenda

- 7. Approve Request for Public Works Operator Position
- 8. Manning Avenue Phase 1 Municipal Consent

# J. Regular Agenda

- 9. Lake Elmo Airport Realignment Resolution 2015-80
- 10. Hammes Plat Extension
- 11. Practice for Adding Committee/Commission members
- 12. Human Resources Committee Applications
- 13. Planning Commission Application
- 14. Community Development Director Position Vacancy
- 15. Proforma Update
- 16. Lake Elmo Water Rate Reduction Analysis (Fliflet request)

#### K. Staff Reports and Announcements

# L. Adjourn

# CITY OF LAKE ELMO CITY COUNCIL MINUTES OCTOBER 6, 2015

# A. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Pearson called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.

**PRESENT:** Mayor Mike Pearson and Council Members Julie Fliflet, Anne Smith, Justin Bloyer, and Jill Lundgren.

Staff present: Interim Administrator Schroeder, City Attorney Snyder, City Engineer Griffin, City Engineer Stempski, Finance Director Bendel, Community Development Director Klatt, and City Clerk Johnson.

# **B. PLEDGE OF ALLIGENCE**

# C. <u>APPROVAL OF AGENDA</u>

Councilmember Fliflet removed items 8 and 9 and added item 19, Finance Committee Membership and item 20, City Council Workshop Agenda.

Councilmember Smith, seconded by Councilmember Fliflet, moved TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AS AMENDED. MOTION PASSED 4 – 1. (Bloyer – nay)

# D. ACCEPT MINUTES

Mayor Pearson, seconded by Councilmember Fliflet, moved TO TABLE THE SEPTEMBER 15, 2015 MINUTES TO THE NEXT MEETING. MOTION PASSED 5 – 0.

# **COUNCIL REPORTS**

**Mayor Pearson:** Attended meetings with East Metro Strong, Washington County and the school district regarding road issues, and Public Safety Committee. Toured the Arts Center. Stated he would like the Council to hold a budget workshop. Encouraged residents to attend Volksmarch on Saturday, October 10<sup>th</sup> from 10:00 am to 3:00 pm at Sunfish Lake Park.

**Councilmember Fliflet:** Addressed many small issues with residents and a workshop with BMS.

**Councilmember Smith:** Toured the Arts Center with the City Administrator and noted it needs to be cleaned up. Attended training with BMS. Stated that she would like to step down from the HR committee and re-join the Finance Committee.

Councilmember Fliflet, seconded by Councilmember Lundgren, moved TO APPOINT COUNCILMEMBER SMITH TO THE FINANCE COMMITTEE AND COUNCILMEMBER LUNDGREN TO THE HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE. MOTION PASSED 3 – 2. (Pearson, Bloyer – nay)

**Councilmember Bloyer:** Discussions with residents regarding the dog park proposal, met with a resident who commented that Sunfish Lake Park is in the best shape it's ever been in. Walked through the Arts Center and feels it is not safe for use. Also noted that document requested from staff has been released.

**Councilmember Lundgren:** Discussed the cemetery proposal, dog park proposal and other issues with residents, walked through the Arts Center and agrees it needs work; and attended the quarterly Fire Relief meeting.

# PUBLIC COMMENTS/INQUIRIES

None

# **PRESENTATIONS**

None

# **FINANCE CONSENT AGENDA**

- 2. Approve Payment of Disbursements
- 3. 2015 Stormwater Assessments
- 4. 39th St. N. Street & Sanitary Sewer Improvements Pay Request No. 7

Councilmember Smith, seconded by Councilmember Lundgren, moved TO APPROVE THE FINANCE CONSENT AGENDA AS PRESENTED. MOTION PASSED 5-0.

# OTHER CONSENT AGENDA

- 5. Administrative Position Request
- 6. Approve Animal Humane Society 2016 Letter of Understanding for Impound Services
- 7. Approve Building Inspector Hiring Recommendation

Councilmember Lundgren, seconded by Mayor Pearson, moved TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA AS PRESENTED, MOTION PASSED 5-0.

# ITEM 10: KLEIS MINOR SUBDIVISION:

Community Development Director Klatt presented the planning report on the request to divide a 54.29 acre parcel into three lots. Klatt reviewed the layout of the new lots, noting that the layout was designed to preserve the original farmstead and farm operations while creating two ten acre lots that can be sold. Klatt also reviewed the Planning Commission recommendation for approval with four conditions.

Councilmember Smith, seconded by Councilmember Lundgren, moved TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2015-79 WITH AN ADDED CONDITION THAT THE ASSESSMENTS BE PAID OFF. MOTION PASSED 5 – 0.

# ITEM 11: PRELIMINARY AND FINAL PLAT – HALCYON CEMETERY

Community Development Director Klatt presented a summary of the request previously reviewed by the Council to plat a cemetery at 11050 50<sup>th</sup> Street North with changes and new information. Site plan was reviewed, noting parking, existing structures and proposed future structures and burial sites. Klatt also reviewed a summary of questions and concerns from the neighboring property owners.

Klatt provided a review of the zoning code update in 2013 that added cemeteries as an allowed use, noting the distinction between a church and cemetery and that funeral homes are not permitted. Klatt identified four options for Council action with two alternatives for further discussion, one option for approval and one option for denial.

# LAKE ELMO CITY COUNCIL MINUTES OCTOBER 6, 2015

Jean Mandrinich, 11240 50<sup>th</sup> Street N., spoke in opposition, noting that her property is adjacent to the proposed site and she feels the proposed cemetery would disrupt the rural residential character of the area.

Jeff Saffle, 11180 50<sup>th</sup> Street N., spoke in opposition, stating that he and his wife chose to live in Lake Elmo because of the rural character and stated the proposed cemetery as described is not a cemetery.

Susan Saffle, 11180 50<sup>th</sup> Street N., spoke in opposition, stating that the proposed cemetery is a large, for profit venture and does not fit the rural residential area.

Saxe Roberts, 11165 50<sup>th</sup> Street N., spoke in opposition, stating that he has water quality and runoff concerns, concerns about property values and feels the proposal is much more than a cemetery.

Christy Roberts, 11165 50<sup>th</sup> Street N., spoke in opposition, expressing concerns over traffic across the road from her young children and water runoff.

Joe Fleming, 5040 Lake Elmo Avenue N., spoke in opposition, stating that a mature cemetery without a mausoleum wouldn't impact property values much but the proposed project would.

Richard Day, 11049 50<sup>th</sup> Street N., spoke in opposition regarding the impact the project would have on his property that has been in his family since 1847, listing concerns over traffic and water runoff. Stated this proposal is a privately owned business and should not be allowed in a rural residential district.

Margaret Schmidt, 4525 Lake Elmo Avenue N., spoke in opposition stating concern that the proposed cemetery would affect the entire city. Mrs. Schmidt noted that she and other volunteers collected signatures on a petition requesting denial of the application from residents and asked the Council to consider public opinion along with facts.

Robert Williams, attorney representing concerned residents, reiterated that the intent of the rural residential zoning is not for commercial use and parking, and requested denial of the application.

Yvette Oldendorf, 5418 Lake Elmo Avenue N., spoke in opposition, noting this is a permanent decision that cannot be reversed once a cemetery is built.

Dean Dodson, 2915 Jonquil Tr. N., spoke as a Planning Commissioner and stated he would have voted against the proposal if he had known there was a legal basis to do so.

Lee Rossow, applicant, stated he wanted to design something special and worked to design the parking to avoid on street parking that would disrupt the neighbors. Mr. Rossow stated he was not proposing this project to make money, but was doing it for the greater good.

Councilmember Fliflet stated this proposal is not what the zoning code allows for, as it is a large scale business and has overwhelming citizen opposition. Mayor Pearson stated that a cemetery is a reasonable use and could be an asset but the funeral home component of this proposal doesn't fit.

Mayor Pearson, seconded by Councilmember Smith, moved TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2015-59A DENYING THE HALCYON CEMETERY PRELIMINARY AND FINAL PLAT. MOTION PASSED 5 – 0.

# ITEM 13: 2014 STREET IMPROVEMENTS – ASSESSMENT HEARING ON IMPROVMENTS & ADOPT FINAL ASSESSMENT ROLL

Item 13 was moved up on the agenda in consideration of residents in attendance.

City Engineer Stempski reviewed the project areas, work done to the streets, gutters and road shoulders and street signs replaced to meet current standards. Stempski explained the process and criteria used to assess property owners.

Councilmember Bloyer, seconded by Councilmember Lundgren, moved to open the public hearing at 9:20 p.m. MOTION PASSED 5-0.

Finance Director Bendel reported that she met with Jean Durand regarding her property at 1332 20<sup>th</sup> Street. The property was assessed in the past for four units versus five in the current project. Bendel stated that staff is recommending the current assessment be changed to four units.

Joan Richert spoke on behalf of her sister, Jean Durand, explaining there is 15 acres of water on the property due to runoff and that leaves only three buildable lots. Ms. Richert requested a reduction to 3 units for assessment on the property.

Linda Bernier, 2257 Legion Lane, stated her street did not have curb and gutter installed but another area did and both areas are being assessed the same amount.

City Engineer Griffin explained that there some drainage improvements included in the Eden Park portion of the project and the reclaim process makes the cost for the two areas very close to the equal. Griffin added that completing the projected together saves cost.

Ann Bucheck, 2301 Legion Avenue N., presented a written objection to the assessment on her property and requested a recalculation due to Packard Park having curb and gutter installed and Eden Park did not.

Dave Bucheck, 2301 Legion Avenue N., stated that about a mile of curb and gutter was installed in Packard Park and feels Eden Park should not be combined with Packard Park for computing the assessment.

Paul Bultman, 8243 Deer Pond Ct., stated that the rebuilt street in front of his house does not increase his property value and feels the assessment is an unconstitutional tax as it is not applied to all residents.

Councilmember Smith, seconded by Councilmember Bloyer, moved TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AT 9:44 P.M. MOTION PASSED 5 – 0.

Discussion held concerning the resident objections to the assessment cost distribution and amount of the assessment relative to the benefit to the property. Discussion also held concerning the number of units to assess the Durand property.

Councilmember Bloyer moved TO TABLE ITEM 13. MOTION DIED FOR LACK OF SECOND.

Mayor Pearson, seconded by Councilmember Bloyer, moved TO APPROVE RESOLUTION 2015-78 ADOPTING THE FINAL ASSESSMENT ROLL FOR THE 2014 STREET IMPROVEMENTS. MOTION FAILED 2 – 3. (Fliflet, Lundgren, Smith – Nay)

Councilmember Smith, seconded by Councilmember Lundgren, moved TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2015-78 ADOPTING THE FINAL ASSESSMENT ROLL FOR THE 2014 STREET IMPROVEMENTS WITH AN ADJUSTMENT TO THE DURAND PROPERTY AT 11332 20TH ST. FROM 5 UNITS TO 3 UNITS. MOTION PASSED 5 – 0.

Councilmember Bloyer, seconded by Mayor Pearson, moved TO CONSIDER ITEM 17 NEXT ON THE AGENDA. MOTION FAILED 2 – 3. (Fliflet, Lundgren, Smith – Nay)

# ITEM 12: PLAT APPROVAL PROCESS/CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Councilmember Fliflet stated concerns over the number of conditions on approvals and the follow up on compliance with the conditions. Discussion was held with Community Development Director Klatt explaining that the sixty day rule limits the amount of work that can be done prior to approval. Klatt added that the number of conditions typically found on approvals is in line with other cities.

Councilmember Fliflet, seconded by Councilmember Smith, moved THAT RELEASE/REALLOCTION OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS AND LIENS WITH THE COUNTY NEED CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL. MOTION WITHDRAWN.

Finance Director Bendel stated she will provide a draft policy for conditions of approval for collection and reallocation of special assessments.

# <u>ITEM 14: 2016 STREET IMPROVEMENTS – APPROVE FEASIBILITY REPORT AND ORDER PUBLIC HEARING</u>

City Engineer Stempski reviewed the project area and schedule, noting that the Kirkwood residents submitted a petition to the pave their gravel road and staff was directed to include that area in the project. Stempski also reviewed the planned improvements and estimated cost per unit for each area.

Councilmember Bloyer, seconded by Councilmember Lundgren, moved TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2015-79, RECEIVING THE FEASIBILITY REPORT AND CALLING A HEARING FOR THE 2016 STREET, DRAINAGE AND UTILITY IMPROVEMENTS. MOTION PASSED 5 – 0.

# ITEM 15: INWOOD BOOSTER STATION IMPROVEMENTS & ADOPT FINAL ASSESSMENT ROLL

City Engineer Griffin reported on the process and costs for the booster station building and asked for direction on the final design.

Councilmember Lundgren, seconded by Mayor Pearson, moved TO APPROVE THE PRAIRIE STYLE BUILDING FOR THE INWOOD WATER BOOSTER STATION. MOTION FAILED 2 – 3. (Bloyer, Fliflet, Smith – Nay)

Councilmember Fliflet stated the building should have unique rural character, such as a barn style. Councilmember Smith asked about removing stone from the back of the building and applying it on the front where it is more visible. Engineer Griffin stated he would seek the input of the adjacent land owner on the final design.

Councilmember Bloyer, seconded by Mayor Pearson, moved TO RECONSIDER THE PREVIOUS MOTION. MOTION PASSED 3 – 2. (Fliflet, Smith – Nay)

# ITEM 16: WATER TOWER NO. 4 – APPROVE ENGINEERING SERVICES TASK ORDER FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

City Engineer Griffin reported this is the third component in the project. RFPs were sent to five engineering firms and the bids were analyzed for completeness and quality of work. Griffin discussed the design and materials to be used.

Councilmember Smith, seconded by Councilmember Bloyer, moved TO APPROVE A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SUPPORT SERVICES CONTRACT WITH SHE FOR THE INWOOD WATER TOWER NO 4 PROJECT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$108,500. MOTION PASSED 5 – 0.

### ITEM 17: 10:00 P.M. CITY COUNCIL MEETING TIME LIMIT

Interim Administrator Schroeder introduced the item, and noted that the Ordinance proposed is based on information collected from other cities that have passed a similar Ordinance. Discussion held.

Mayor Pearson, seconded by Councilmember Bloyer, moved TO ADOPT ORDINANCE 08-127. MOTION FAILED 1 – 4. (Bloyer, Fliflet, Lundgren, Smith – Nay)

#### ITEM 18: COUNCILMEMBER/STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

Interim Administrator Schroeder stated that an individual has filed a complaint that a Councilmember was communicating in an unprofessional manner.

Councilmember Fliflet, seconded by Councilmember Lundgren, moved THAT IF COUNCILMEMBER BLOYER WANTS TO COMMUNICATE WITH STAFF IT WILL BE VIA EMAIL OR WITH ANOTHER COUNCILMEMBER PRESENT. QUESTIONS FOR MEETINGS WILL BE GIVEN AHEAD OF TIME OR QUESTIONS WILL BE DIRECTED TO THE CHAIR. MOTION PASSED 3 – 2. (Pearson, Bloyer – Nay)

Wally Nelson, 4582 Lilac, stated he has known Councilmember Bloyer for two years and has never seen him threaten or accost anyone.

# **ITEM 19: FINANCE COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP**

Councilmember Fliflet reported that Finance Committee members are currently being recruited and noted that the Finance Director and City Administrator are considered members of the Committee.

# ITEM 20: CITY COUNCIL WORKSHOP AGENDA

Councilmember Fliflet reported that the entire budget will be reviewed at the next Finance Committee meeting and suggested the budget be removed from the October 13<sup>th</sup> workshop agenda and invited Councilmembers to attend the Finance Committee meeting to discuss the budget.

Councilmember Fliflet, seconded by Councilmember Smith, moved TO CONDUCT THE BUDGET WORKSHOP IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING ON WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 14<sup>TH</sup> AT 4:30 P.M. MOTION PASSED 5 – 0.

# STAFF REPORTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

Interim City Administrator Schroeder: Report to be provided via email due to the hour

City Clerk Johnson: No report

**Finance Director Bendel:** Stormwater assessments went out to residents for a final reminder, 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter water bills will be going out, finishing financial reports for the Finance Committee meeting, working on budget updates, working through CIP updates.

meeting, working on budget updates, working through C	CIP updates.
City Attorney Snyder: No report.	
Community Development Director Klatt: No report.	
City Engineer Griffin: No report.	
Meeting adjourned at 12:50 a.m.	
	LAKE ELMO CITY COUNCIL
ATTEST:	
	Mike Pearson, Mayor
Julie Johnson, City Clerk	

**DATE:** October 20, 2015

CONSENT

ITEM #2 MOTION

**AGENDA ITEM**: Approve Disbursements in the amount of \$487,340.18

**SUBMITTED BY**: Patty Baker, Accountant

**THROUGH**: Cathy Bendel, Finance Director

**REVIEWED BY:** Cathy Bendel, Finance Director

# **SUGGESTED ORDER OF BUSINESS:**

**POLICY RECOMMENDER:** Finance

**FISCAL IMPACT:** \$487,340.18

**SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUESTED:** As part of its Consent Agenda, the City Council is asked to approve disbursements in the amount of \$487,340.18. No specific motion is needed as this is recommended to be part of the *Consent Agenda*.

**LEGISLATIVE HISTORY:** NA

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION/STAFF REPORT:** The City of Lake Elmo has the fiduciary responsibility to conduct normal business operations. Below is a summary of current claims to be disbursed and paid in accordance with State law and City policies and procedures.

Claim #	Amount	Description
ACH	\$ 12,035.41	Payroll Taxes to IRS & MN Dept of Revenue 10/15/15
ACH	\$ 5,449.41	Payroll Retirement to PERA 10/15/15
DD6682-DD6731	\$ 33,033.02	Payroll Dated (Direct Deposits) 10/15/15
43440-43496	\$ 436,822.34	Accounts Payable 10/20/15
TOTAL	\$ 487,340.18	

**RECOMMENDATION**: Based on the aforementioned, the staff recommends the City Council approve as part of the Consent Agenda the aforementioned disbursements in the amount of \$487,340.18.

# **ATTACHMENTS:**

1. Accounts Payable – check registers

**DATE:** October 20, 2015

CONSENT

ITEM #3 MOTION

**AGENDA ITEM**: September 2015 Financial Reporting

**SUBMITTED BY**: Cathy Bendel, Finance Director

**THROUGH**: Cathy Bendel, Finance Director

**REVIEWED BY**: Finance Committee

# SUGGESTED ORDER OF BUSINESS:

- Report/Presentation......City Administrator

### **POLICY RECOMMENDER:** Finance

FISCAL IMPACT: NA

**SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUESTED**: As part of its Consent Agenda, the City Council is asked to accept the September 2015 Financial Reporting Packet. No specific motion is needed as this is recommended to be part of the overall approval of the *Consent Agenda*.

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION:** The City of Lake Elmo has fiduciary authority and responsibility to conduct normal business operations and report the financial (unaudited) statement to the City Council. City guidelines suggest the Council be updated on a regular basis.

**STAFF REPORT:** Attached please find the comparative financial statements for the month of September 2015 reflecting the monthly and year to date detail, comparing the actual results to the 2015 Budget.

#### **GENERAL FUND:**

#### Revenues:

Total revenue for the month was 80.5% above budget for the month bringing the year to date total revenue to 0.7% below budget. The most significant budget to actual revenue variances are as follows:

- Building Permit revenue was 15.3% below budget for the month bringing the year to date to 28.5% below budget. In September there were 13 new home starts compared to the budgeted 11 new home starts per month. Although more homes than budgeted for the month, the fee revenue is slightly lower due to the average home values being lower than anticipated in the budget, which results in lower fees. The year to date new home starts is at 88, of which 10 were open space new homes. The 2015 budget did not include any open space new homes.
- The State Fire Aid proceeds were received in September. The base aid was \$46.7k and supplement State Fire Aid proceeds were also received in September for \$11.4k. In the budget it was planned that these proceeds would be received in October as in previous years, however the proceeds were received on September 30<sup>th</sup> so this variance is just a timing issue.
- Recycling grant proceeds have not yet been received and as a result there continues to be a year to date variance due to this timing issue.

#### Expenses:

Total expenses for the month were 22.6% above budget bringing the year to date expenses to 2.7% less than budget. This was primarily a result of the receipt of the supplemental State Fire Aid received in September. Although a pass through expense, it is still reported within the operating expenses. All departments continue to manage to the bottom line.

The following summarizes variances of note:

#### General:

- Planning & Zoning The developer escrow offset expenses for August and September have not yet been booked. These expenses will be booked in October.
- Engineering services continue to be below budget due to their primary focus in 2015 being on development activity for which their fees are 100% recoverable from the developer escrows.
- Fire Relief The 2015 annual State Aid funds were received on September 30<sup>th</sup>. The budget anticipated that these funds would be received in the first week of October as

they have been in the past so this variance is a timing issue. The base State Aid received was \$46.7k and Supplemental State Aid funds of \$11.4 were also received resulting in a total received which was 55.8% greater than budget. These funds are remitted to the Fire Relief fund as this is the State Funding for Fire Relief pensions.

- Animal Control Contract services for the month were 332% of budget. The 1<sup>st</sup> quarter billing from the Animal Humane Society was received and paid in September. The City Clerk is looking into the cause of the delay and why this bill was so high.
- Public Works The total Public Works expenses were 0.3% below budget for the month and 12.1% below budget year to date. Although expending funds to prepare for winter (tires etc), the budget is being closely followed.

In summary, year to date actual expenses continue to be less than budgeted and the net income through September is 33.2% better than budget.

#### LIBRARY FUND:

<u>Revenues</u>: Revenues on a year to date basis far exceeded budget as a result of recovering the delinquent rent from a prior tenant of \$20.6k. The library will no longer be renting out space due to the continued collection expansion and the need for the space by the Library.

<u>Expenses</u>: Expenses for the month of September were 43% below budget for the month. This was primarily due to spending less than anticipated on repairs and maintenance during the month. On a year to date basis the actual expenses are 21.2% below budget.

On a net income basis, the September results are 40.6% below budget primarily due to the previously mentioned collection of delinquent rent mentioned in prior months.

The ending September cash balance in the library fund is \$212.9k.

**RECOMMENDATION**: Based on the aforementioned, the staff recommends the City Council accept the attached September Financial Report.

#### **ATTACHMENT:**

1. September Financial Reports

City of Lake Elmo
2015 By Month
Budget to Actual Comparative
For the month ending September 30, 2015
101-General Fund Summary
By Department

Total Public Safety	Total Animal Control	Total Emergency Communications	Total Building Inspections	Total Fire Relief	Total Fire	Total Prosecution	Total Police	DEPT 420 - PUBLIC SAFETY	Total General Government	Total City Hall	Total Engineering Services	Total Planning & Zoning	Total Finance	Total Communications	Total Elections	Total Administration	EXPENSE Total Mayor & Council	10th Revenue	REVENUE	DEPT 410 - GEN'L GOV'I
1,327,792.00	6,800.00	7,000.00	323,558.00	37,323.00	385,312.00	50,000.00	517,799.00		984,063.00	50,235.00	54,800.00	224,218.00	134,647.00	70,842.00	1,050.00	407,316.00	40,955.00	3,/98,334.00		BUDGET 2015
57.49%	105.34%	53.50%	40.15%	155.84%	67.37%	82.28%	50.92%		79.80%	94.78%	57.39%	79.29%	68.33%	39.54%	206.76%	92.42%	72.69%	5/.1/%		% to date
64,910.05	570.00	1,750.00	25,499.08	0.00	32,890.97	4,200.00	0.00		73,425.00	4,161.00	4,600.00	18,605.63	8,283.23	7,262.77	25.00	29,362.37	1,125.00	/3,394.25		Month
106,859.48	2,237.99	0.00	16,822.67	58,165.92	25,120.40	4,512.50	0.00		72,564.59	3,967.36	2,484.50	13,258.30	6,800.46	876.28	0.00	44,465.11	712.58	132,450.63		ACTUAL Month
(41,949.43)	(1,667.99)	1,750.00	8,676.41	(58,165.92)	7,770.57	(312.50)	0.00		860.41	193.64	2,115.50	5,347.33	1,482.77	6,386.49	25.00	(15,102.74)	412.42	59,057.78		∨ariance (ᢌ) Month
-64.63%	-292.63%	100.00%	34.03%	-100.00%	23.63%	-7.44%	0.00%		1.17%	4.65%	45.99%	28.74%	17.90%	87.93%	100.00%	-51.44%	36.66%	80.47%		Variance (%)  Month
838,434.62	5,090.00	5,250.00	242,673.73	0.00	288,821.39	37,700.00	258,899.50		735,285.16	37,777.00	41,000.00	165,335.85	105,918.19	52,533.81	1,025.00	307,692.65	24,002.66	2,172,964.75		YTD
763,371.62	7,162.99	3,745.00	129,922.16	58,165.92	259,592.96	41,137.50	263,645.09		785,257.43	47,612.02	31,447.40	177,789.67	91,998.46	28,011.90	2,171.03	376,458.72	29,768.23	2,171,514.06		ACTUAL
75,063.00	(2,072.99)	1,505.00	112,751.57	(58,165.92)	29,228.43	(3,437.50)	(4,745.59)		(49,972.27)	(9,835.02)	9,552.60	(12,453.82)	13,919.73	24,521.91	(1,146.03)	(68,766.07)	(5,765.57)	(1,450.69)		Variance (\$) YTD
8.95%	-40.73%	28.67%	46.46%	-100.00%	10.12%	-9.12%	-1.83%		-6.80%	-26.03%	23.30%	-7.53%	13.14%	46.68%	-111.81%	-22.35%	-24.02%	-0.07%		Variance (%) YTD

Summary\_1

				MO	MOUTH			VTI	j	
DEPT 430 - PUBLIC WORKS			BUDGET Month	ACTUAL	Variance (\$)  Month	Variance (%) Month	BUDGET YTD	ACTUAL YTD	Variance (\$) YTD	Variance (%) YTD
Total Public Works	380,195.00	65.94%	26,392.69	25,361.85	1,030.84	3.91%	282,565.57	250,688.79	31,876.78	11.28%
Total Streets	222,578.00	86.36%	2,660.00	4,633.58	(1,973.58)	-74.19%	217,818.00	192,221.57	25,596.43	11.75%
Total Ice & Snow Removal	95,500.00	58.09%	1,300.00	0.00	1,300.00	100.00%	56,600.00	55,479.58	1,120.42	1.98%
Total Street Lighting	28,000.00	62.02%	2,300.00	2,063.70	236.30	10.27%	21,100.00	17,365.06	3,734.94	17.70%
Total Recycling	9,500.00	5.98%	500.00	0.00	500.00	100.00%	6,250.00	568.03	5,681.97	90.91%
Total Tree Program	6,000.00	25.00%	500.00	1,500.00	(1,000.00)	-200.00%	4,500.00	1,500.00	3,000.00	66.67%
Total Public Works	741,773.00	69.81%	33,652.69	33,559.13	93.56	0.28%	588,833.57	517,823.03	71,010.54	12.06%
DEPT 450 - CULTURE, RECREATION										
Total Parks & Recreation	153,028.00	116.08%	11,290.74	17,472.32	(6,181.58)	-54.75%	113,906.17	177,641.32	(63,735.15)	-55.95%
IT & Telephone	109,560.00	48.06%	8,338.00	4,421.90	3,916.10	46.97%	83,892.00	52,656.66	31,235.34	37.23%
GRAND TOTAL ALL EXPENSES	3,316,216.00	69.26%	191,616.48	234,877.40	(43,260.94)	-22.58%	2,360,351.52	2,296,750.06	63,601.46	2.69%
SUB TOTAL NET INC OVER EXP	482,118.00	-25.98%	(118,224.23)	(102,427.39)	15,796.84	13.36%	(187,386.77)	(125,236.00)	62,150.77	33.17%
DEPT 460 - COMP ADJ	35,000.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%
DEPT 490 - CONTINGENCY FUND	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%
Debt Service increase	247,118.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%
DEPT 493 - OTH FINANCING	200,000.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%
GRAND TOTAL ALL DEPTS	3,798,334.00	60.47%	191,616.48	234,877.40	(43,260.94)	-22.58%	2,360,351.52	2,296,750.06	63,601.46	2.69%
Net Income over Expenses	0.00	0.00%	(118,224.23)	(102,427.39)	15,796.84	13.36%	(187,386.77)	(125,236.00)	62,150.77	33.17%

10/20/2015

City of Lake Elmo
2015 By Month
Budget to Actual Comparative
For the month ending September 30, 2015
101-General Fund Detail
By Department

	0.0170	(1970)	my a 7 a go a record	A ST. May St. To Co.	00,47,70	0300 110	A Change of City and a change	1 Dyd I mem I	0,117	0,170,000	TOTAL PROPERTY
	-0.07%	(1 450 69)	7 171 514 06	7 177 964 75	80.47%	50 057 78	137 450 03 0.00	73 307 75	57 17%	3 798 334 00	Total Revenue
	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	Donations
	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	10,000.00	Interest Earnings
	-28.87%	(168.00)	414.00	582.00	-100.00%	(22.00)	0.00	22.00	64.69%	640.00	Internal Charges
	111.90%	2,909.45	5,509.45	2,600.00	-100.00%	(300.00)	0.00		157.41%	3,500.00	Miscellaneous Revenue
	0.00%	0.00	500.00	500.00	-100.00%	(500.00)	0.00	500.00	100.00%	500.00	Fire Billable Revenue
	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	20.00	Miscellaneous Permits
	1.07%	304./3	00,004.70	00.00	1.9176	70.01	4,070.51	4,0	0.0007	0.00	FILES
	1 070/	30473	26 384 72	36,000,00	1 019/	76 31	4 076 31		75 900/	49 000 00	Caole Operation resimonsement
	-57 30%	(1 074 37)	800 63	1 875 00	-100 00%	(625 00)	0 00	625 00	%£0 c£	2 500 00	Cable Operation Reimburgement
	80.75%	1.615.00	3.615.00	2.000.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	180.75%	2,000.00	Clean Up Davs
	154.55%	1,020.00	1,680.00	660.00	300.00%	135.00	180.00	45.00	224.00%	750.00	Assessment Searches
	-46.80%	(58.50)	66.50	125.00	-88.00%	(22.00)	3.00	25.00	38.00%	175.00	Sale of Copies, Books, Maps
	15.63%	21,324.29	157,766.54	136,442.25	38.27%	5,801.35	20,961.60	15,160.25	86.72%	181,923.00	Plan Check Fees
Very few zoning permits budgeted in 2015	244.67%	16,515.00	23,265.00	6,750.00	-8.00%	(120.00)	1,380.00	1,500.00	310.20%	7,500.00	Zoning & Subdivision Fees
Rec'd participant distribution of excess funding	51.40%	23,129.10	68,129.10	45,000.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	151.40%	45,000.00	Cable Franchise Revenue
Represents quarterly surcharge timely filing credit	82.61%	309.80	684.80	375.00	-100.00%	(125.00)	0.00	125.00	136.96%	500.00	Misc State Grant/Surcharge Rev
	-100.00%	(15,500.00)	0.00	15,500.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	15,500.00	Recycling Grant
	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	17.7000	Gravei Tax
	0.00%	0.00	1,074.50	1,5/4.50	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000/	2,747.00	FENA AIU
	0.00%	26,103.72	177.72	1 374 50	0.00%	0.00.02	0.00	0.00	50 000	7 749 00	DED V VIA
TIP THE THE THE PRINCIPLE TO LEGIS TO LEGIS	100 00%	58 165 92	58 165 92	0.00	100.00%	58 165 97	58 165 97	0.00	140 16%	41 500 00	State Fire Aid
YTD=MSA funding increase for 2015	17 47%	17 768 00	119 464 00	101 696 00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	117.47%	101.696.00	MSA-Maintenance
	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	Homestead Credit Aid
	85.66%	3,854.75	8,354.75	4,500.00	88.02%	440.10	940.10	500.00	138.07%	6,051.00	Electrical Permit
	-66.67%	(50.00)	25.00	75.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67%	150.00	Massage Therapy Licenses
	-28.42%	(540.00)	1,360.00	1,900.00	-100.00%	(400.00)	0.00	400.00	60.44%	2,250.00	Burning Permit
Driven by development activity	669.48%	33,474.00	38,474.00	5,000.00	115.50%	1,155.00	2,155.00	1,000.00	769.48%	5,000.00	Utility Permits (ROW)
	-15.29%	(364.00)	2,016.00	2,380.00	-50.00%	(20.00)	20.00	40.00	80.64%	2,500.00	Animal License
	-100.00%	(7,920.00)	0.00	7,920.00	-100.00%	(880.00)	0.00	880.00	0.00%	10,560.00	Sewer Permits
	38.03%	8,282.00	30,062.00	21,780.00	108.26%	2,620.00	5,040.00	2,420.00	103.52%	29,040.00	Plumbing Permits
	-2.77%	(602.55)	21,177.45	21,780.00	6.61%	160.00	2,580.00	2,420.00	72.93%	29,040.00	Heating Permits
	-87.50%	(700.00)	100.00	800.00	0.00%	(100.00)	0.00	100.00	0.00%	1,000.00	Building Re-inspect Fees
13 new home permits in Sept; YTD at 88 new homes (10 Open space)	-28.50%	(110,644.29)	277,525.71	388,170.00	-15.26%	(6,581.90)	36,548.10	43,130.00	53.62%	517,600.00	Building Permits
	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	Blacktopping Contractor License
	63.16%	1,200.00	3,100.00	1,900.00	100.00%	200.00	400.00	200.00	124.00%	2,500.00	Heating Contractor License
	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	General Contractor License
	-42.86%	(360.00)	480.00	840.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57%	1,680.00	Wastehauler License
	52.34%	2,800.00	8,150.00	5,350.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	97.60%	8,350.00	Liquor License
	221.31%	774.58	1,124.58	350.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	160.65%	700.00	Penalty & Interest on Taxes
	38.50%	30,796.92	110,796.92	80,000.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	69.25%	160,000.00	Fiscal Disparities
	12.66%	721.42	6,421.42	5,700.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	56.33%	11,400.00	Mobile Home Tax
	237.97%	17,847.86	25,347.86	7,500.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	168.99%	15,000.00	Delinquent Ad Valorem Taxes
	-8.40%	(106,361.80)	1,159,178.20	1,265,540.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.80%	2,531,080.00	Current Ad Valorem Taxes
											REVENUE
YTD variance notes	YTD	YTD	YTD	YTD	Month	Month	Month	Month	% to date	2015	
	Variance (%)	Variance (\$)	ACTUAL	BUDGET	Variance (%)	Variance (\$)	ACTUAL	BUDGET		BUDGET	DEPT 410 - GEN'L GOV'T
		YTD	ΓY			TH	MONTH			Full Year	
											By Department

PT Salaries Office Supplies Legal Publications/Notification Equipment Repair County Election Fees Printed Forms Miscellaneous Total Elections	Workers Compensation Mileage Misscellaneous Dues & Subscriptions Conferences & Training Total Mayor & Council  1320 - Administration FT Salaries PERA Contributions ICMA Contributions ICMA Contributions Health/Dental Insurance Unemployment Benefits Workers Compensation Office Supplies Printed Forms Legal Services Contract Services Newsletter/Website Assessing Services Contract Services Contract Services Postage Mileage Legal Publishing Insurance Cable Operation Expense Miscellaneous Dues & Subscriptions Books Conferences & Training Staff Development Total Administration	EXPENSE 1110 - Mayor & Council PT Salaries FICA Contributions Medicare Contributions
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 950.00 0.00 1,050.00	300.00 500.00 11,500.00 11,500.00 11,000.00 14,364.00 12,284.00 2,873.00 44,865.00 0,00 1,000.00 5,500.00 0,00 32,000.00 0,000.00 0,000.00 5,000.00 0,000.00	Full Year BUDGET 2015 25,690.00 1,593.00 372.00
100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 291.03%	29.66% 33.83% 1125.83% 100.00% 72.69% 81.32% 67.55% 0.00% 79.10% 79.10% 79.10% 106.24% 81.81% 1100.00% 104.44% 100.00% 104.44% 100.00% 114.172% 89.03% 0.00% 91.68% 93.10% 91.68% 93.10% 93.10% 93.10% 93.10% 93.10% 93.10% 93.10% 93.10% 93.10%	% to date 50.00% 49.99% 50.07%
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 25.00	0.00 1125.00 0.00 1,000.00 0.00 1,125.00 1,125.00 1,125.00 1,104.92 0.00 944.92 221.00 3,451.15 0.00 475.00 0.00 3,750.00 0.00 2,500.00 0.00 2,500.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 175.00 0.00 500.00 100.00 500.00 175.00 0.00 500.00 0.00 500.00 0.00 0.00	BUDGET Month 0.00 0.00
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 712.58 19,908.30 841.20 0.00 1,196.56 279.84 4,708.00 0.00 13,526.55 0.00 250.00 250.00 0250.00	MONTH ACTUAL V  Month 0.00 0.00 0.00
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 25.00	0.00 125.00 287,42 0.00 412.42  (4,667.92) 263.72 0.00 (251.64) (58.84) (1,256.85) 0.00 0,286.97) 0.00 (286.97) 0.00 (250.00) 250.00 182.80 0.00 182.80 0.00 44.51 155.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Variance (\$)  Month  0.00  0.00  0.00
0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00%	0.00% 100.00% 28.74% 0.00% 28.74% 0.00% 36.66%  -30.63% -30.63% -26.62% -26.62% -36.42% 0.00% -26.71% 0.00% -260.71% 0.00% -260.71% 0.00% -260.71% 0.00% -260.70% 0.00% -270.00% -28.57% 0.00% 88.57% 0.00% 89.02% 88.57% 0.00% -51.44%	Variance (%)  Month 0.00% 0.00%
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 950.00 0.00 75.00 <b>1,025.00</b>	300.00 375.00 0.00 9,000.00 24,002.66  144,783.65 10,496.77 0.00 8,976.77 2,099.50 32,785.96 0.00 1,000.00 4,075.00 0.00 22,500.00 0,576.00 0,00 1,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,00 4,075.00 0,00 1,500.00 0,00 4,075.00 0,00 1,500.00 0,00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00 0,500.00	BUDGET YTD 12,845.00 796.39 186.27
1,280.00 0.00 0.00 600.00 0.00 0.00 291.03 2,171.03	88.97 169.16 211.54 14,470.90 1,000.00 29,768.23  161,105.75 9,702.33 0.00 9,716.54 2,272.49 34,390.00 0.00 1,062.37 4,499.52 1,850.00 78,497.09 0.00 28,490.88 250.00 28,490.88 250.00 28,4949.89 1,365.52 1,584.03 332,586.00 0.00 365.52 1,688.46 131.89 2,361.00 0.00 376,458.72	YTD ACTUAL YTD 12,845.00 796.39 186.27
(1,280.00) 0.00 0.00 (600.00) 950.00 0.00 (216.03) <b>(1,146.03)</b>	211.03 205.84 (211.54) (5,470.90) (5,470.90) (5,765.57) (16,322.10) 794.44 0.00 (739.77) (172.99) (1,604.04) 0.00 (62.37) (424.52) (1,850.00) (44,747.09) 0.00 (5,990.88) (250.00) (1,334.36) 329.51 (784.03) 2,414.00 0.00 84.48 (113.46) (131.89) 2,139.00 0.00 (68,766.07)	Variance (\$)  YTD  0.00  0.00  0.00
-100.00% 0.00% 0.00% -100.00% 100.00% 100.00% -288.04% -111.81%		Variance (%) YTD 0.00% 0.00%
	2015 share of LMC annual Pmt made in Sept 2014 plus annual YSB payment  Variance is a result of PTO payouts to prior employee's  May expense includes WA assessor fees budgeted later in the year  Timing issue	

Total Finance	Conferences & Training	Dues & Subscriptions	Miscellaneous	Mileage	Contract Services	Audit Services	Printed Forms	Office Supplies	Workers Compensation	Unemployment Benefits	Health/Dental Insurance	Medicare Contributions	FICA Contributions	PERA Contributions	PT Salaries	FT Salaries	1520 - Finance		Total Communications	Repair/Maint Equipment	Conferences	Cable Operations	Public Notices	Mileage	Office Supplies	Newsletter	Workers Compensation	Health/Dental Insurance	Medicare Contributions	FICA Contributions	PERA Contributions	FT Salaries	1450 - Communications				
134,647.00	500.00	740.00	200.00	50.00	6,000.00	27,000.00	500.00	500.00	500.00	3,000.00	14,955.00	1,022.00	4,369.00	4,848.00	3,600.00	66,863.00			70.842.00	450.00	700.00	4,800.00	5,040.00	100.00	561.00	8,000.00	0.00	9,970.00	520.00	2,224.00	2,601.00	35,876.00	2015	BUDGET	Full Year		
68.33%	0.00%	22.97%	128.28%	0.00%	93.20%	94.24%	0.00%	176.90%	81.52%	0.00%	55.29%	59.51%	59.53%	68.72%	0.00%	66.43%			39.54%	0.00%	51.43%	60.71%	31.48%	0.00%	8.65%	73.39%	100.00%	36.83%	32.08%	32.07%	27.11%	32.72%	% to date		,	_	
8,283.23	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	500.00	0.00	125.00	125.00	125.00	0.00	1,150.38	78.62	336.08	372.92	276.92	5,143.31			7.262.77	0.00	350.00	400.00	500.00	25.00	50.00	2,000.00	0.00	766.92	40.00	171.08	200.08	2,759.69	Month	BUDGET			
6,800.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	598.52	0.00	16.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	870.40	63.62	272.09	347.43	0.00	4,632.32			876.28	0.00	0.00	295.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	581.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Month	ACTUAL	MONTH		
1,482.77	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	(98.52)	0.00	108.92	125.00	125.00	0.00	279.98	15.00	63.99	25.49	276.92	510.99		9000	6.386.49	0.00	350.00	105.00	500.00	25.00	50.00	1,418.72	0.00	766.92	40.00	171.08	200.08	2,759.69	Month	Variance (\$)	HTN		
17.90%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	-19.70%	0.00%	87.14%	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	24.34%	19.08%	19.04%	6.84%	100.00%	9.94%			87.93%	0.00%	100.00%	26.25%	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%	70.94%	0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Month	Variance (%)			
105,918.19	0.00	240.00	150.00	0.00	4,500.00	27,000.00	375.00	375.00	375.00	3,000.00	10,928.65	746.85	3,192.73	3,542.77	2,630.77	48,861.42		0-100000	52.533.81	450.00	700.00	3,600.00	3,850.00	75.00	450.00	6,000.00	0.00	7,285.77	380.00	1,625.23	1,900.73	26,217.08	YTD	BUDGET		-	
91,998.46	0.00	170.00	256.56	0.00	5,591.99	25,445.00	16.08	884.52	407.61	0.00	8,268.80	608.16	2,600.88	3,331.35	0.00	44,417.51		***************************************	28.011.90	0.00	360.00	2,914.15	1,586.84	57.27	48.50	5,871.11	177.91	3,672.00	166.80	713.13	705.10	11,739.09	YTD	ACTUAL	YT		
13,919.73	0.00	70.00	(106.56)	0.00	(1,091.99)	1,555.00	358.92	(509.52)	(32.61)	3,000.00	2,659.85	138.69	591.85	211.42	2,630.77	4,443.91		- 1,000.00	24.521.91	450.00	340.00	685.85	2,263.16	17.73	401.50	128.89	(177.91)	3,613.77	213.20	912.10	1,195.63	14,477.99	YTD	Variance (\$)	'D		
13.14%	0.00%	29.17%	-71.04%	0.00%	-24.27% V	5.76% F	100.00%	-135.87% ₺	-8.70%	100.00%	24.34%	18.57%	18.54%	5.97%	100.00%	9.09%		10,000,00	46.68%	100.00%	48.57%	19.05%	58.78%	23.64%	89.22%	2.15%	-100.00%	49.60%	56.11%	56.12%	62.90%	55.22%	YTD	Variance (%)			

Annual check stock re-order

Fieldwork completed and billed earlier than anticipated in budget Will decrease now that audit substantially completed

Total General Government	Total City Hall	Miscellaneous	Facility Lease	Repairs/Maint Contractual Equip	Repairs/Maint Contractual Bldg	Refuse	Utilities	Building Repair Supplies	1940 - City Hall Cleaning Supplies	Total Engineering Services	1930 - Engineering Services Engineering Services	Total Planning & Zoning	Conferences & Training	Books	Dues & Subscriptions	Miscellaneous	Mileage	Postage	Contract Services	Engineering Services	Printed Forms	Office Supplies	Developer escrow offset cost recovery	Workers Compensation	Health/Dental Insurance	Medicare Contributions	FICA Contributions	PERA Contributions	PT Salaries	FT Salaries	1910 - Planning & Zoning		
984,063.00	50,235.00	300.00	29,532.00	7,700.00	6,000.00	353.00	6,000.00	300.00	50.00	54,800.00	54,800.00	224,218.00	2,000.00	300.00	600.00	500.00	250.00	200.00	5,000.00	20,000.00	750.00	1,800.00	(16,500.00)	800.00	32,047.00	2,022.00	8,646.00	9,849.00	3,600.00	152,354.00	2015	BUDGET	Full Year
79.80%	94.78%	554.10%	75.00%	109.00%	134.98%	294.14%	98.22%	126.02%	0.00%	57.39%	57.39%	79.29%	11.25%	0.00%	0.00%	6.20%	21.62%	3.35%	280.80%	78.00%	124.78%	49.87%	76.41%	100.76%	73.94%	70.18%	71.17%	81.73%	0.00%	77.77%	% to date		
73,425.00	4,161.00	25.00	2,461.00	650.00	500.00	0.00	500.00	25.00	0.00	4,600.00	4,600.00	18,605.63	500.00	25.00	50.00	40.00	20.00	50.00	1,250.00	1,500.00	250.00	150.00	(1,375.00)	0.00	2,465.15	155.54	665.08	757.63	276.92	11,825.31	Month	BUDGET	
72,564.59	3,967.36	15.96	2,461.00	709.01	326.00	112.94	342.45	0.00	0.00	2,484.50	2,484.50	13,258.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,620.00	0.00	231.68	0.00	0.00	1,812.00	112.29	480.09	627.64	0.00	8,368.60	Month	ACTUAL	MONTH
860.41	193.64	9.04	0.00	(59.01)	174.00	(112.94)	157.55	25.00	0.00	2,115.50	2,115.50	5,347.33	500.00	25.00	50.00	34.00	20.00	50.00	1,250.00	(120.00)	250.00	(81.68)	(1,375.00)	0.00	653.15	43.25	184.99	129.99	276.92	3,456.71	Month	Variance (\$)	ATH
1.17%	4.65%	36.16%	0.00%	-9.08%	34.80%	-100.00%	31.51%	100.00%	0.00%	45.99%	45.99%	28.74%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	85.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	-8.00%	100.00%	-54.45%	-100.00%	0.00%	26.50%	27.81%	27.81%	17.16%	100.00%	29.23%	Month	Variance (%)	
735,285.16	37,777.00	225.00	22,149.00	5,800.00	4,500.00	353.00	4,500.00	225.00	25.00	41,000.00	41,000.00	165,335.85	1,500.00	225.00	450.00	360.00	180.00	150.00	3,750.00	15,500.00	750.00	1,350.00	(12.375.00)	800.00	23,418.96	1,477.62	6,318.23	7,197.35	2,630.77	111,652.92	YTD	BUDGET	
785,257.43	47,612.02	1,662.29	22,149.00	8,392.80	8,098.58	1,038.30	5,892.99	378.06	0.00	31,447.40	31,447.40	177,789.67	225.00	0.00	0.00	31.00	54.05	6.70	14,040.00	15,599.25	935.87	897.73	(12.608.38)	806.07	23,697.00	1,419.10	6,153.48	8,049.17	0.00	118,483.63	YTD	ACTUAL	YTD
(49,972.27)	(9,835.02)	(1,437.29)	0.00	(2,592.80)	(3,598.58)	(685.30)	(1,392.99)	(153.06)	25.00	9,552.60	9,552.60	(12,453.82)	1,275.00	225.00	450.00	329.00	125.95	143.30	(10,290.00)	(99.25)	(185.87)	452.27	233.38	(6.07)	(278.04)	58.52	164.75	(851.82)	2,630.77	(6,830.71)	YTD	Variance (\$)	D
-6.80%	-26.03%	-638.80%	0.00%	-44.70%	-79.97%	-194.14%	-30.96%	-68.03%	100.00%	23.30%	23.30%	-7.53%	85.00%	100.00%	100.00%	91.39%	69.97%			-0.64%	-24.78%			-0.76%	-1.19%	3.96%	2.61%	-11.84%	100.00%	-6.12%	YTD	Variance (%)	
				New copier lease and usage overage fees	YTD: Electrical wiring needed in new rental office space (\$2.7k)		Budget assumed annex sale in 2014												YTD=Landscape Architect-5th Street				Missing planning offset time for Aug and Sept								YTD variance notes		

2250 - Fire Relief Fire State Aid Total Fire Relief	Total Fire	Books Conferences & Training Conferences & Training (Reimb)	Miscellaneous  Dues & Subscriptions	Repair/Maint Equip Uniforms	Refuse Repair/Maint Bldg	Insurance Flectric Utility	Radio Mileage	Small Tools & Equip	Fire Prevention	Office Supplies	Unemployment Benefits Workers Compensation	Health/Dental Insurance	FICA Contributions  Medicare Contributions	PI Salaries PERA Contributions	2220 - Fire FT Salaries	2150 - Prosecution Attorney Criminal Total Prosecution	Total Police	2100 - Police Law Enforcement Contract	DEPT 420 - PUBLIC SAFETY
37,323.00 37,323.00	385,312.00	12,375.00 0.00	1,500.00 3,508.00	33,260.00 2,500.00	1,000.00	8,307.00 17,000.00	21,562.00	20,892.00	3,000.00	850.00	9,000.00	14,243.00	7,633.00 2,780.00	123,121.00 12,116.00	68,614.00	50,000.00	517,799.00	2015 517,799.00	Full Year
155.84% 155.84%	67.37%	9.09% 64.97% 0.00%	157.39% 74.72%	54.02% 39.46%	239.00%	87.02% 64.62%	75.72%	39.86%	1.98%	34.73%	0.00% 195.48%	76.17%	54.20% 65.89%	56.40% 85.00%	74.82%	82.28% 82.28%	50.92%	% to date 50.92%	
0.00	32,890.97	1,125.00	125.00 290.00	2,770.00 208.00	80.00	0.00	5,390.50	1,750.00	250.00	70.00	0.00	1,095.62	587.15 213.85	9,470.85	5,278.00	4,200.00 4,200.00	0.00	Month 0.00	
58,165.92 58,165.92	25,120.40	1,098.40	72.16 0.00	1,256.64 0.00	266.38	0.00	3,914.76	1,468.50	59.33	0.00	0.00	1,142.00	354.55 175.18	7,129.04 1,094.22	5,409.78	4,512.50 4,512.50	0.00	Month 0.00	MONTH
(58,165.92) (58,165.92)	7,770.57	26.60 0.00	52.84 290.00	1,513.36 208.00	(186.38) 159.42	0.00	1,475.74	281.50	190.67	70.00	0.00	(46.38)	232.60 38.67	2,341.81 (162.22)	(131.78)	(312.50) (312.50)	0.00	Month 0.00	TH
-100.00% -100.00%	23.63%	2.36% 0.00%	42.27% 100.00%	54.63% 100.00%	-232.98% 93.78%	0.00%	27.38%	16.09%	76.27%	100.00%	0.00%	-4.23%	39.62% 18.08%	24.73% -17.41%	-2.50%	-7.44% -7.44%	0.00%	Month 0.00%	
0.00 <b>0.00</b>	288,821.39	9,375.00 0.00	1,125.00 2,610.00	24,930.00 1,872.00	760.00	8,307.00 12,600.00	16,171.50	15,750.00	2,250.00	630.00	9,000.00	10,408.35	5,577.96 2.031.54	89,973.04 8,854.00	50,141.00	37,700.00 37,700.00	258,899.50	YTD 258,899.50	
58,165.92 58,165.92	259,592.96	8,040.05 (2,231.00)	2,360.85 2,621.04	17,966.81 986.60	2,389.97	7,229.00	16,326.30	8,326.76 5,100.47	59.33	295.19	0.00 17,592.88	10,849.00	4,137.31 1.831.83	69,436.11 10,298.52	51,339.70	41,137.50 41,137.50	263,645.09	YTD 263,645.09	YTD
(58,165.92) (58,165.92)	29,228.43	1,334.95 2,231.00	(1,235.85) (11.04)	6,963.19 885.40	(1,629.97) (4,455.49)	1,078.00	(2,814.47) (154.80) (214.80)	7,423.24	2,190.67	334.81	0.00 (8,592.88)	(440.65)	1,440.65	20,536.93 $(1,444.52)$	(1,198.70)	(3,437.50) (3,437.50)	(4,745.59)	Yariance (\$) YTD (4,745.59)	
-100.00%	10.12%	14.24% 100.00%	-109.85% -0.42%		-214.47% -299.03%	12.98%	-0.96%	47.13%	97.36%		-95.48% I	-4.23%	25.83% 9.83%	-16.31%		-9.12% -9.12%	-1.83%	Variance (%) YTD -1.83%	
Base State Aid \$46.7k, Suppl \$11.4k				Aug is Annual svog of all fire vehicles		Annual premiums billed April					Due to 18% rate increase			YTD correction for accrual back to 2014 for POC FF					

TOTAL E HOLE SAFETY	Total Public Safaty	Total Animal Control	Miscellaneous (Impounding)	Contract Services	Printed Forms	2700 - Animal Control	Total Emergency Communications	Contract Services	2500 - Emergency Communications	Total Building Inspections	Conferences & Training	New Truck	Books	Dues & Subscriptions	Miscellaneous	Uniforms	Repairs/Maint Equip	Insurance	Mileage	Inspector Contract Services	Engineering	Fuel, Oil & Fluids	Printed Forms	Office Supplies	Workers Compensation	Unemployment Benefits	Health/Dental Insurance	Medicare Contributions	FICA Contributions	PERA Contributions	FT Salaries		2400 - Building Inspection	
1,521,172.00	1 327 792 00	6,800.00	800.00	6,000.00	0.00		7,000.00	7,000.00		323,558.00	2,775.00	23,000.00	3,000.00	700.00	650.00	850.00	2,300.00	900.00	3,500.00	67,200.00	9,000.00	5,500.00	700.00	2,100.00	1,000.00	0.00	28,486.00	2,169.00	9,276.00	10,846.00	149,606.00	2015	BUDGET	Full Year
31.47 /0	700V ES	105.34%	92.87%	107.00%	0.00%		53.50%	53.50%		40.15%	16.58%	0.00%	56.41%	55.00%	236.27%	22.88%	11.11%	20.00%	88.80%	40.34%	67.66%	10.56%	16.58%	10.94%	232.40%	0.00%	47.16%	39.61%	39.61%	43.43%	42.10%	% to date		
04,710.05	64 010 05	570.00	70.00	500.00	0.00		1,750.00	1,750.00		25,499.08	700.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	55.00	70.00	175.00	75.00	400.00	7,000.00	750.00	450.00	175.00	175.00	0.00	0.00	2,191.23	166.85	713.54	834.31	11,508.15	Month	BUDGET	
100,037.40	106 850 48	2,237.99	77.99	2,160.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		16,822.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	104.47	0.00	0.00	719.05	6,283.50	1,298.75	40.15	0.00	73.17	0.00	0.00	1,414.00	81.80	349.74	450.57	6,007.47	Month	ACTUAL	MONTH
(41,242,43)	(41 040 43)	(1,667.99)	(7.99)	(1,660.00)	0.00		1,750.00	1,750.00		8,676.41	700.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	55.00	(34.47)	175.00	75.00	(319.05)	716.50	(548.75)	409.85	175.00	101.83	0.00	0.00	777.23	85.05	363.80	383.74	5,500.68	Month	Variance (\$)	TH
-04.03/0	6/620/	-292.63%	-11.41%	-332.00%	0.00%		100.00%	100.00%		34.03%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	-49.24%	100.00%	100.00%	-79.76%	10.24%	-73.17%	91.08%	100.00%	58.19%	0.00%	0.00%	35.47%	50.97%	50.99%	45.99%	47.80%	Month	Variance (%)	
030,434.02	C3 VLV 818	5,090.00	590.00	4,500.00	0.00		5,250.00	5,250.00		242,673.73	2,100.00	23,000.00	2,500.00	540.00	495.00	630.00	1,700.00	675.00	2,600.00	48,000.00	6,750.00	4,150.00	525.00	1,575.00	1,000.00	0.00	20,816.69	1,585.04	6,778.62	7,925.92	109,327.46	YTD	BUDGET	
/03,3/1.02	762 271 67	7,162.99	742.99	6,420.00	0.00		3,745.00	3,745.00		129,922.16	460.00	0.00	1,692.39	385.00	1,535.76	194.45	255.43	180.00	3,107.92	27,110.99	6,088.95	580.54	116.09	229.82	2,323.96	0.00	13,433.00	859.07	3,674.59	4,710.80	62,983.40	YTD	ACTUAL	YTD
13,003.00	75 063 00	(2,072.99)	(152.99)	(1,920.00)	0.00		1,505.00	1,505.00		112,751.57	1,640.00	23,000.00	807.61	155.00	(1,040.76)	435.55	1,444.57	495.00	(507.92)	20,889.01	661.05	3,569.46	408.91	1,345.18	(1,323.96)	0.00	7,383.69	725.97	3,104.03	3,215.12	46,344.06	YTD	Variance (\$)	D
0.7370	7050 8	-40.73%	-25.93%	-42.67%	0.00%		28.67%	28.67%		46.46%	78.10%	100.00%	32.30%	28.70%	-210.25%	69.13%	84.97%	73.33%	-19.54%	43.52%	9.79%	86.01%	77.89%	85.41%	-132.40%	0.00%	35.47%	45.80%	45.79%	40.56%	42.39%	YTD	Variance (%)	
				Sept includes Q1.15 Humane Society billing received late Sept.											Aug is EG Rud svcs for lot line adj; budget exception approved by CC			Annual premiums billed April	Sept includes Aug accrual true up of \$218	Sept includes Aug accrual true up of \$1,970														

3,000.00 20,000.00 186,578.00 2,500.00
75.99% 78.37% 90.66% 15.12% 46.64% 40.29%
250.00 2,000.00 0.00 200.00 0.00 210.00
867.60 3,765.98 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
(617.60) (1,765.98) 0.00 200.00 0.00 210.00 (1,973.58)
-247.04% -88.30% 0.00% 100.00% 0.00% -74.19%
2,250.00 17,000.00 186,578.00 2,100.00 8,000.00 1,890.00 217,818.00
2,279.78 115,674.92 169,150.44 378.09 3,731.04 1,007.30
(29.78) 1,325.08 17,427.56 1,721.91 4,268.96 882.70 25,596.43
-1.32% 7.79% 9.34% 82.00% 53.36% 46.70% 11.75%
Entire project budgeted in June

Total Public Works	3250 - Tree Program Contract Services Total Tree Program	3200 - Recycling Recycling Supplies Miscellaneous Total Recycling	3160 - Street Lighting Street Lighting Total Street Lighting	3125 - Ice & Snow Removal Landscaping Material Sand/Salt Contract Services Repairs/Maint Equipment Total Ice & Snow Removal
741,773.00	6,000.00	3,500.00 6,000.00 9,500.00	28,000.00 28,000.00	Full Year BUDGET 2015 0.00 80,000.00 6,000.00 95,500.00
 69.81%	25.00% 25.00%	0.00% 9.47% 5.98%	62.02% 62.02%	% to date 100.00% 57.05% 0.00% 95.22% 58.09%
33,652.69	500.00 <b>500.00</b>	0.00 500.00 <b>500.00</b>	2,300.00 2,300.00	BUDGET  Month  0.00  0.00  500.00  800.00  1,300.00
33,559.13	1,500.00 1,500.00	0.00 0.00	2,063.70 2,063.70	ACTUAL Month 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
93.56	(1,000.00) ( <b>1,000.00</b> )	0.00 500.00 <b>500.00</b>	236.30 236.30	MONTH  Variance (\$)  Month  0.00  0.00  0.00  500.00  1,300.00
0.28%	-200.00% -200.00%	0.00% 100.00% <b>100.00</b> %	10.27% 10.27%	Variance (%)  Month 0.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%
588,833.57	4,500.00 <b>4,500.00</b>	1,750.00 4,500.00 <b>6,250.00</b>	21,100.00 21,100.00	BUDGET YTD 0.00 45,000.00 4,500.00 7,100.00 56,600.00
517,823.03	1,500.00 1,500.00	0.00 568.03 <b>568.0</b> 3	17,365.06 17,365.06	ACTUAL YTD 794.14 45,639.52 0.00 9,045.92 55,479.58
71,010.54	3,000.00 3,000.00	1,750.00 3,931.97 <b>5,681.9</b> 7	3,734.94 3,734.94	YTD  Variance (\$)  YTD  (794.14) (639.52) 4,500.00  (1,945.92) 1,120.42
12.06%	66.67% 66.67%	100.00% 87.38% <b>90.91%</b>	17.70% 17.70%	Variance (%) YTD -100.00% -1.42% 100.00% -27.41% 1.98%
				Includes \$7,200 for snow plow blades

	33.17%	62,150.77	(125,236.00)	(187,386.77)	13.36%	15,796.84	(102,427.39)	0.00% (118,224.23)		0,00	Net Income over Expenses
	2.69%	63,601.46	2,296,750.06	2,360,351.52	-22.58%	(43,260.94)	234,877.42	191,616.48	60.47%	3,798,334.00	GRAND TOTAL EXP ALL DEPTS
	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	200,000.00	DEPT 493 - OTH FINANCING
	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	Transfer to City Projects (Streets)
Annual adjustments made were approximately \$55K.	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	247,118.00	Debt Service increase
Comp adjustments made appear in the individual department expens	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	35,000.00	DEPT 460 - COMP ADJ
	33.17%	62,150.77	(125,236.00)	(187,386,77)	13.36%	15,796.84	(102,427.39)	(118,224.23)	-25.98%	482,118.00	Subtotal Net Income over Expenses
	2.69%	63,601.46	2,296,750.06	2,360,351.52	-22.58%	(43,260.94)	234,877.42	191,616.48	69.26%	3,316,216.00	Grand Total all Expenses
	37.23%	31,235.34	52,656.66	83,892.00	46.97%	3,916.10	4,421.90	8,338.00	48,06%	109,560.00	Total IT & Telephone
	26.83%	4,962.10	13,532.90	18,495.00	28.44%	584.52	1,470.48	2,055.00	54.88%	24,660.00	Telephone
	100.00%	16,000.00	0.00	16,000.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	16,000.00	INET Contingency
	100 00%	5 850 00	0.00	5.850.00	100.00%	1.950.00	0.00	1,950.00	0.00%	7,800.00	IT-Networking
	37.42%	4,489.00	7,508.00	11,997.00	100.00%	1,333.00	0.00 2 951 42	1,333.00	46.93% 73.70%	36,000.00	IT-Support Services
	-11.05%	(502.98)	5,052.98	4,550.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.53%	9,100.00	IT-Hardware
											IT & Telephone
	-55.95%	(63,735.15)	177,641.32	113,906.17	-54.75%	(6,181.58)	17,472.32	11,290.74	116.08%	153,028.00	Total Parks & Recreation
	-53.26%	(299.60)	862.10	562.50	100.00%	62.50	0.00	62.50	114.95%	750.00	Miscellaneous
	-61.73%	(2,129.81)	5,579.78	3,449.97	-115.48%	(442.67)	826.00	383.33	121.30%	4,600.00	Rental Buildings
	-53.91%	(1,024.25)	2,924.25	1,900.00	41.86%	200.00	72 68	125.00	0.00%	1,500.00	Repair/Maint Found
	-70.27%	(534.07)	1,294.07	760.00	100.00%	85.00	0.00	300.00	129.41%	1,000.00	Repair/Maint Bldg Repair/Maint NOT Bldg
	100.00%	1,874.97	0.00	1,874.97	100.00%	208.33	0.00	208.33	0.00%	2,500.00	Refuse
	-16.37%	(973.73)	6,923.73	5,950.00	38.27%	229.62	370.38	600.00		8,500.00	Electric Utility
	4.97%	159.00	3,041.00	3,200.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00		3,200.00	Insurance
	-105.87%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	Mileage
	25.48%	573.26	1,676.74	2,250.00	85.71%	214.28	35.72	250.00		3,000.00	Small Tools and Minor Fouring
	78.80%	283.68	76.32	360.00	100.00%	40.00	0.00	40.00		500.00	Building Repair Supplies
	-19.49%	(290.35)	1,780.35	1,490.00	-107.75%	(183.17)	353.17	170.00	89.02%	2,000.00	Equipment Parts
	-39.98%	(224.89)	787.39	562.50	100.00%	62.50	0.00	62.50	104.99%	750.00	Chemicals
	-14.2/%	(5/0.91)	4,570.91	360.00	-285.23%	(114.09)	154.09	40.00	176.75%	500.00	Shon Materials
	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	Unemployment Benefits
	5.42%	789.74	13,781.80	14,571.54	5.41%	83.05	1,450.80	1,533.85	69.12%	19,940.00	Health/Dental Insurance
	-79.64%	(714.65)	1,612.03	897.38	-85.64%	(80.90)	175.36	94.46	131.27%	1,228.00	Medicare Contributions
	-79.70%	(3,057.15)	6,892.96	3,835.81	-85.72%	(346.10)	749.87	403.77	131.32%	5,249.00	FICA Contributions
	-73.88%	(3.047.14)	7.171.60	4,124,46	-57.95%	(251.59)	685.74	434.15	127.07%	5,644.00	PERA Contributions
rosition switch from new employee at lower rate to long term ee	-757 46%	(17 831 78)	17,970.07	4 983 85	-558 57%	(2,133.28)	3,455.00	524.62	261.22%	6.820.00	PT Salaries
Position with from now applement laws wet to long town on	ALC CL	(41 080 48)	YTD 776 67	41 888 10	Month \$2,60%	Month	Month 9 143 51	Month 5 988 23	% to date	77 847 00	FT Salaries
	Variance (%)	Var	Г	BUDGET	Variance (%)	Variance (\$)	ACTUAL	BUDGET	0/ to data	ВІ	5200 Baula & Bonnation
		ď	YTD			MONTH	MO			IO Full Year	DEPT 450 - CULTURE, RECREATIO

Justments made appear in the individual department expense lines. adjustments made were approximately \$35k.

City of Lake Elmo
Budget to Actual
2015 By Month
As of September 30, 2015
206-Library Fund
By Department

10/20/2015

Ending Cash Balance	Net Income/(Expense) Accrued AP CIP (self funded) Contingencies 2014 AP accrual reversal	Net Income/(Expense) 12/31/14 Cash Balance	Building Purchase Repmt Building-Property Tax Other Equipment Subscriptions Conferences & Training Programs Internal charges Total Library	Repair/Maint Bldg Repair/Maint NOT Bldg Repair/Maint Equip (out-LH Im) Library Card Reimbursements Miscellameous	Internet Information Technology (Equinc Software Insurance Utilities Refuse	Library sves supplies Office Supplies Office Supplies Library Collection Maintenance Legal Services Contract Services Telephone	FT Salaries PT Salaries PT Salaries PERA Contributions FICA Contributions Medicare Contributions Health/Dental Insurance Unemployment Benefits Workers Comparestion	EXPENSE	REVENUE Current Ad Valorem Taxes Rental Income Interest Earnings Miscellaneous Revenue Donations Total Revenue	By Department
131,720.10	29,515.00 (70,000.00) 0.00	29,515.00 172,205.10	2,600.00 2,600.00 0.00 0.00 2,500.00 7,500.00 1,600.00 213,146.00	14,000.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 24,000.00 2,000.00	1,000.00 3,220.00 0.00 2,700.00 7,550.00 550.00	1,100.00 4,100.00 49,700.00 2,000.00 3,000.00 1,600.00	45,000.00 16,000.00 4,422.50 3,782.00 884.50 11,987.00		231,261.00 11,400.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 242,661.00	Full Year BUDGET 2015
			0.00% 44.88% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 25.88% 62.27%	146.54% 0.00% 100.00% 82.61% 14.68%	61.63% 96.27% 0.00% 69.74% 72.68% 81.42%	0.00% 0.00% 28.96% 39.14% 69.20% 3.45% 70.07%	73.55% 32.92% 64.69% 58.69% 58.69% 86.23% 0.00%		50.00% 229.95% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 59.05%	% to date
		(22,782.16)	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1,250.00 625.00 130.00 23,732.16	1,166.67 5,000.00 0.00 1,000.00 166.66	83.33 0.00 0.00 1,350.00 616.66 45.83	91.67 91.67 341.67 4,141.67 500.00 250.00 133.33	3,750.00 1,333.33 368.54 315.17 73.71 998.92 0.00		0.00 950.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 950.00	2015 BUDGET
		(13,536.51)	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	2,252.67 0.00 0.00 0.00 1,509.00 0.00	230.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 566.45 49.89	0.00 100.50 2,363.12 30.00 0.00 220.06	3,461.02 1,008.00 335.17 261.48 61.15 1,088.00 0.00		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Month 2015 ACTUALS
		9,245.65 -40.58%	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1,250.00 625.00 130.00	(1,086.00) 5,000.00 0.00 (509.00) 166.66	(146.67) 0.00 0.00 0.00 1,350.00 50.21 (4.06)	91.67 91.67 241.17 1,778.55 470.00 250.00 (86.73)	288.98 325.33 33.37 53.69 12.56 (89.08) 0.00	(Over)/ under	0.00 (950.00) 0.00 0.00 0.00 (950.00)	Over/ (under)
		(44,168.88)	0.00 1,300.00 0.00 0.00 1,250.00 5,625.00 1,210.00 168,349.38	10,500.00 5,500.00 0.00 20,700.00 1,499.94	750.00 3,220.00 0.00 2,700.00 5,649.94 412.47	3,075.03 3,075.03 37,275.00 1,500.00 2,250.00 1,199.97	33,750.00 12,000.00 3,316.88 2,836.50 663.38 8,990.25 0.00		115,630.50 8,550.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 124,180.50	2015 BUDGET
212,853.30	10,549.91 (4,103.87)	10,549.91	0.00 1,167.00 738.00 0.00 275.00 0.00 414.00 132,730.14	20,515.17 0.00 420.00 19,825.34 293.62	616.29 3,100.00 0.00 1,883.00 5,487.08 447.79	1,187.29 19,450.58 1,384.00 103.50 1,121.11	33,098.14 5,267.50 2,860.91 2,219.67 519.15 10,336.00 0.00		115,630.50 26,214.00 0.00 200.55 1,235.00 143,280.05	YTD 2015 ACTUALS
		54,718.79 -123.89%	0.00 133.00 (738.00) 0.00 975.00 5,625.00 796.00 35,619.24	(10,015.17) 5,500.00 (420.00) 874.66 1,206.32	133.71 120.00 0.00 817.00 162.86 (35.32)	825.02 1,887.74 17,824.42 116.00 2,146.50 78.86	651.86 6,732.50 455.97 616.83 144.23 (1,345.75)	(Over)/ under	0.00 17,664.00 0.00 200.55 1,235.00 19,099.55	Over/ (under)
			\$2 per card processing fee plus billable City resources	YTD includes Roof repairs  Library card reimb are processed by County eff 5/1/15		Cost to recover delinquent rent from prior tennant Snow Plowing	Budget showed consistent monthly cost; not impact of multiple pay period months		Funds received from WA Cty July and December Includes \$20.6k delinquent rent from prior tennant Revenue from use of copier	Notes

**DATE:** October 20, 2015

CONSENT ITEM #

4

**AGENDA ITEM**: Test Well No. 4 – Approve Quote to Seal and Abandon Test Well

**SUBMITTED BY**: Chad Isakson, Project Engineer

**THROUGH**: Clark Schroeder, Interim City Administrator

**REVIEWED BY:** Jack Griffin, City Engineer

Cathy Bendel, Finance Director Mike Bouthilet, Public Works

# **SUGGESTED ORDER OF BUSINESS** *if removed from the Consent Agenda*):

**POLICY RECOMMENDER:** Engineering.

**FISCAL IMPACT:** \$2,515.00.

The estimated amount of \$2,515 to be paid from the Water Enterprise Fund.

# **SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUESTED:**

The City Council is respectfully requested to consider approving a contract with Kimmes-Bauer Well Drilling, Inc. to seal Test Well No. 4. If removed from the consent agenda, the recommended motion for the action is as follows:

"Move to approve the quote provided by Kimmes-Bauer Well Drilling, Inc. to permanently seal Test Well No. 4 for \$2,515.00".

### **LEGISLATIVE HISTORY/BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

Test Well No. 4 was constructed in 2012 as part of the site planning and acquisition process for the City's municipal Well No. 4. A smaller test well was constructed to verify the aquifer water quality and capacity in the location of Well No. 4 prior to purchasing the site and proceeding with the construction of the much more expensive well construction. With Well No. 4 completed and in service the test well is no longer in use and must be sealed and abandoned per the Minnesota Department of Health Well Code.

Staff recently obtained a quote from Kimmes-Bauer Well Drilling, Inc. in the estimated amount of \$2,515.00 to seal Test Well No. 4. Kimmes Bauer Well Drilling is a licensed well contractor and was the contractor that constructed the test well in 2012. The quote is based on the unit price per cubic yard of grout required to successfully seal the well. Payment will be made for the actual quantities of grout installed.

# **RECOMMENDATION**:

Staff is recommending that the City Council consider approving, as part of the Consent Agenda, a contract with Kimmes-Bauer Well Drilling, Inc. to seal Test Well No. 4. If removed from the consent agenda, the recommended motion for the action is as follows:

"Move to approve the quote provided by Kimmes-Bauer Well Drilling, Inc. to permanently seal Test Well No. 4 for \$2,515.00".

# **ATTACHMENT(S):**

1. Kimmes-Bauer Well Drilling, Inc. Quote.



Phone: (651) 437-1973 (651) 423-3800 (507) 263-3522 1-800-944-0880 Fax: (651) 437-6394

kimmesbauer@gmail.com

22100 Lillehei Avenue Hastings, Minnesota 55033

CONTRACTOR:	City of Lake Elmo	HOMEOWNER: City of Lake Elmo	
	Chad Isakson	DATE: October 5, 2015	
		LOCATION: 50th Street N.	
		(651) 300-4283	
	Test well 4 - #779064	HOME chad.isakson@focusengineeringinc.c	WORK com
WELL ABANDONM	ENT		
		\$ _	
Est5	ls. Neat cement grout at \$_350.00	_ per cubic yd\$	1,750.00
			600.00
1State of MN	County well abandonment permit	\$ _	65.00
Paperwork and filing	g fee	\$ _	100.00
	TOTAL FO	OR THE ABOVE MATERIAL AND LABOR \$ _	2,515.00
	n does not include perforation if need er well Drilling, Inc. is not responsible	e for damages to yard by repair equipment or trud	cks.
c		on completion / due upon receipt. 1.5% will be ot paid in full within 30 days unless specific	
By signing this agre and conditions.	ement, contractor acknowledges that	t they have read the agreement and accepts the	foregoing terms
Accepted by:		Submitted by: KIMMES-BAUER WELL DRILLING, INC	·
Dated:		Clan Hansa Alan Ha	ansen

\*\* ESTIMATE IS VALID FOR 6 MONTHS FROM DATE OF ESTIMATE. \*\*

MEMBER ★ MINNESOTA WATER WELL ASSOCIATION ★
MINNESOTA LICENSE #1540 WISCONSIN LICENSE #59

**DATE:** October 20, 2015

CONSENT ITEM #

5

**AGENDA ITEM**: Eagle Point Blvd Street and Utility Improvements – Pay Request No. 2

SUBMITTED BY: Ryan Stempski, Project Engineer

**THROUGH**: Clark Schroeder, Interim City Administrator

**REVIEWED BY:** Jack Griffin, City Engineer

Cathy Bendel, Finance Director

# SUGGESTED ORDER OF BUSINESS if removed from the Consent Agenda):

- Discussion ...... Mayor & City Council

# **POLICY RECOMMENDER:** Engineering.

# **FISCAL IMPACT**:

None. Partial payment is proposed in accordance with the Contract for the project. Payment remains within the authorized scope and budget.

# **SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUESTED:**

The City Council is respectfully requested to consider approving Pay Request No. 2 for the Eagle Point Boulevard Street and Utility Improvements project. If removed from the consent agenda, the recommended motion for the action is as follows:

"Move to approve Pay Request No. 2 to Miller Excavating, Inc. in the amount of \$268,768.06 for the Eagle Point Boulevard Street and Utility Improvements".

# **LEGISLATIVE HISTORY/BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

Miller Excavating, Inc., the Contractor for the project, has submitted Partial Pay Estimate No. 2 in the amount of \$268,768.06. The request has been reviewed and payment is recommended in the amount requested. In accordance with the contract documents, the City has retained 5% of the total work completed. The amount retained is \$19,544.78.

# **RECOMMENDATION**:

Staff is recommending that the City Council consider approving, as part of the Consent Agenda, Pay Request No. 2 for the Eagle Point Boulevard Street and Utility Improvements project. If removed from the consent agenda, the recommended motion for the action is as follows:

"Move to approve Pay Request No. 2 to Miller Excavating, Inc. in the amount of \$268,768.06 for the Eagle Point Boulevard Street and Utility Improvements".

# **ATTACHMENT(S)**:

1. Partial Pay Estimate No. 2

# **PROJECT PAY FORM**

PARTIAL	. PAY ESTIMA	TE NO. 2	2	FOCU:	S ENGINEERING, inc.					
	OINT BLVD STREE NO. 2015.120	ET & UTILITY IMPRO	OVEMENTS	PERIOD OF ESTIMAT FROM <b>9/1/201</b>						
CITY OF I 3800 LAV LAKE ELN	OWNER: LAKE ELMO /ERNE AVENUI MO, MN 55042 LCK GRIFFIN, CI	!		CONTRACTOR: MILLER EXCAVATING, INC. 3636 STAGECOACH TRAIL N STILLWATER, MN 55082 ATTN: STEVE ST. CLAIRE, PR						
	CONTRACT CH	ANGE ORDER SUM	IMARY	PAY FSTIMAT	E SUMMARY					
	Approval	Amo		1. Original Contract Amount						
No.	Date	Additions	Deductions	2. Net Change Order Sum	-\$28,500.00					
1	8/4/2015		\$28,500.00	3. Revised Contract (1+2)	\$1,220,994.09					
				4. *Work Completed	\$390,895.65					
				5. *Stored Materials	\$0.00					
				6. Subtotal (4+5)	\$390,895.65					
				7. Retainage* <u>5.0%</u>	\$19,544.78					
	40.00			8. Previous Payments	\$102,582.81					
TOTALS		\$0.00	\$28,500.00	9. Amount Due (6-7-8)	\$268,768.06					
NET CH	IANGE	(\$28,500.00)	CONTRA	*Detailed Breakdown Attached						
			CONTRA	CLIIME						
	TE: TIAL COMPLETIC MPLETION:	7/31/20 0N: 10/15/20 6/15/20	nev	320	ON SCHEDULE  YES  NO  X					
The under best of th estimate a	eir knowledge a	that the work has b nd belief, the quant the work has been p	ities shown in this							
The under knowledg estimate I document work for v	e, information a has been comple ts, that all amou which previous p	ICATION:  for certifies that to too to the delief the work of the delief the work of the delief the work of the delief th	overed by this payr vith the contract by the contractor fo vas issued and payr	BY DATE						
APPROVI BY	ED BY OWNER:	CITY OF LAI	KE ELMO, MINNES	BY						
DATE				DATE	DATE					

FOCUS Engineering, inc. PROJECT PAYMENT FORM

#### PARTIAL PAY ESTIMATE NO.

# EAGLE POINT BLVD STREET & UTILITY IMPROVEMENTS CITY OF LAKE ELMO, MINNESOTA PROJECT NO. 2015.120



ITEM	DESCRIPTION OF PAY ITEM	UNIT		CONTRACT AMOU	INT	THIS F	PERIOD	TOTAL	TO DATE
112141	DESCRIPTION OF PARTIENT	OWN	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	QUANTITY	AMOUNT	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
	DIVISION 1 - GENERAL								
1	MOBILIZATION	LS	1	\$29,900.00	\$29,900.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.50	\$14,950.00
2	TRAFFIC CONTROL	LS	1	\$6,850.00	\$6,850.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.50	\$3,425.00
3	SILT FENCE	LF	3,100	\$2.00	\$6,200.00	0.00	\$0.00	1,550.00	\$3,100.00
4	INLET PROTECTION	EA	17	\$200.00	\$3,400.00	0.00	\$0.00	8.00	\$1,600.00
5	TEMPORARY ROCK CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE	EA	2	\$1,000.00	\$2,000.00	1.00	\$1,000.00	1.00	\$1,000.00
6	STREET SWEEPING	HR	20	\$100.00	\$2,000.00	4.00	\$400.00	4.00	\$400.00
7	6" TOPSOIL AND SOD	SY	5,145	\$10.50	\$54,022.50	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00
8	HYDRAULIC MULCH (TEMPORARY)	SY	2,575	\$1.15	\$2,961.25	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00
	SUBTOTAL - DIVISION 1				\$107,333.75		\$1,400.00		\$24,475.00
	DIVISION 2 - SANITARY SEWER								
9	CONNECT TO EXISTING SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE	EA	2	\$2,800.00	\$5,600.00	0.00	\$0.00	2	\$5,600.00
10	SANITARY SEWER PIPE - 8"	LF	764	\$33.60	\$25,670.40	0.00	\$0.00	764	\$25,670.40
11	SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE - TYPE 301	EA.	3	\$3,400.00	\$10,200.00	0.00	\$0.00	3	\$10,200.00
12	EXCESS MANHOLE DEPTH	LF	12	\$240.00	\$2,880.00	0.00	\$0.00	12	\$2,880.00
13	REMOVAL OF UNSTABLE FOUNDATION MATERIAL	CY	42	\$52.00	\$2,184.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
14	STABILIZING AGGREGATE BORROW	CY	42	\$68.00	\$2,856.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
15	TELEVISING	LF	764	\$3.50	\$2,674.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
	SUBTOTAL - DIVISION 2				\$52,064.40		\$0.00	-	\$44,350.40
	DIVISION 3 - WATERMAIN		1						
16	CONNECT TO EXISITING WATERMAIN	EA	1	\$2,650.00	\$2,650.00	1.00	\$2,650.00	1	\$2,650.00
17	6" GATE VALVE & BOX	EA	1	\$1,990.00	\$1,990.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
18	12" GATE VALVE	EA	2	\$4,770.00	\$9,540.00	2.00	\$9,540.00	2	\$9,540.00
19	16" BUTTERFLY VALVE & BOX	EA	1	\$4,990.00	\$4,990.00	1.00	\$4,990.00	1	\$4,990.00
20	HYDRANT	EA	1	\$4,560.00	\$4,560.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
21	16" DIP CL. 52 WATERMAIN	LF	209	\$108.00	\$22,572.00	31.00	\$3,348.00	31	\$3,348.00
22	REMOVE AND REPLACE VALVE BOX	EA	2	\$985.00	\$1,970.00	1.00	\$985.00	1	\$985.00
23	16"X45° BEND MJ DUCTILE IRON COMPACT FITTING	EA	1	\$1,560.00	\$1,560.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
24	16"x16" TEE MJ DUCTILE IRON COMPACT FITTING	EA	1	\$2,190.00	\$2,190.00	1.00	\$2,190.00	1	\$2,190.00
25	16"X12" REDUCER MJ DUCTILE IRON COMPACT FITTING	EA	2	\$1,380.00	\$2,760.00	2.00	\$2,760.00	2	\$2,760.00
26	16"X6" REDUCER MJ DUCTILE IRON COMPACT FITTING	EA	1	\$1,270.00	\$1,270.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
27	4" POLYSTYRENE INSULATION	SF	32	\$10.00	\$320.00	32.00	\$320.00	32	\$320.00
	SUBTOTAL - DIVISION 3				\$56,372.00		\$26,783.00		\$26,783.0
	DIVISION 4 - STORM SEWER								
20	REMOVE EXISTING STORM SEWER STRUCTURE	ΓΛ.	2	Ć400.00	\$800.00	2.00	\$800.00	2	\$800.00
28		EA		\$400.00	\$975.00	2.00	\$975.00		\$975.00
29	REMOVE EXISTING STORM SEWER (ALL TYPES AND SIZES)	LF	65	\$15.00		65.00		65.00	
30	CONNECT TO EXISTING STORM SEWER STRUCTURE	EA	2	\$890.00	\$1,780.00	1.00	\$890.00	1.00	\$890.00
31	STORM SEWER PIPE - 12" RCP	LF	117	\$33.00	\$3,861.00	39.00	\$1,287.00	39.00	\$1,287.00
32	STORM SEWER PIPE - 15" RCP	LF	971	\$34.50	\$33,499.50	584.00	\$20,148.00	584.00	\$20,148.00
33	CATCH BASIN - TYPE 404	EA	3	\$1,695.00	\$5,085.00	1.00	\$1,695.00	1.00	\$1,695.00
34	CATCH BASIN/MANHOLE - TYPE 406	EA	7	\$2,665.00	\$18,655.00	5.00	\$13,325.00	5.00	\$13,325.00
35	RESET EXISTING CATCH BASIN CASTING	EA	2	\$475.00	\$950.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00
36	REPAIR EXISTING CATCH BASIN CONCRETE	SF	27	\$36.00	\$972.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00
	SUBTOTAL - DIVISION 4			73333	\$66,577.50		\$39,120.00		\$39,120.0
					700,011.00		700/22000	ı	700,==0.0
	DIVISION 5 - STREETS								
37	DIVISION 5 - STREETS SALVAGE POST AND SIGN	EA	11.00	\$60.00	\$660.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
37 38		EA EA	11.00 1.00	\$60.00 \$60.00	\$660.00 \$60.00	0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	
	SALVAGE POST AND SIGN								\$0.00
38 39	SALVAGE POST AND SIGN REMOVE POST AND SIGN SAWCUT BITUMINOUS OR CONCRETE	EA LF	1.00 553.00	\$60.00 \$3.00	\$60.00 \$1,659.00	0.00 0.00	\$0.00	0 0	\$0.00 \$0.00
38 39 40	SALVAGE POST AND SIGN REMOVE POST AND SIGN SAWCUT BITUMINOUS OR CONCRETE REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY)	EA LF SY	1.00 553.00 266.00	\$60.00 \$3.00 \$5.00	\$60.00 \$1,659.00 \$1,330.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	0 0 0	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
38 39 40 41	SALVAGE POST AND SIGN REMOVE POST AND SIGN SAWCUT BITUMINOUS OR CONCRETE REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY) REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY)	EA LF SY SY	1.00 553.00 266.00 380.00	\$60.00 \$3.00 \$5.00 \$6.00	\$60.00 \$1,659.00 \$1,330.00 \$2,280.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	0 0 0	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
38 39 40 41 42	SALVAGE POST AND SIGN REMOVE POST AND SIGN SAWCUT BITUMINOUS OR CONCRETE REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY) REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY) REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE CURB	EA LF SY SY LF	1.00 553.00 266.00 380.00 7,036.00	\$60.00 \$3.00 \$5.00 \$6.00 \$2.00	\$60.00 \$1,659.00 \$1,330.00 \$2,280.00 \$14,072.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1,520.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$3,040.00	0 0 0 0 3,570	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,140.00
38 39 40 41	SALVAGE POST AND SIGN REMOVE POST AND SIGN SAWCUT BITUMINOUS OR CONCRETE REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY) REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY)	EA LF SY SY	1.00 553.00 266.00 380.00	\$60.00 \$3.00 \$5.00 \$6.00	\$60.00 \$1,659.00 \$1,330.00 \$2,280.00 \$14,072.00 \$214,450.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$3,040.00 \$66,479.50	0 0 0	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,140.00 \$102,936.00
38 39 40 41 42	SALVAGE POST AND SIGN REMOVE POST AND SIGN SAWCUT BITUMINOUS OR CONCRETE REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY) REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY) REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE CURB	EA LF SY SY LF	1.00 553.00 266.00 380.00 7,036.00	\$60.00 \$3.00 \$5.00 \$6.00 \$2.00	\$60.00 \$1,659.00 \$1,330.00 \$2,280.00 \$14,072.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1,520.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$3,040.00	0 0 0 0 3,570	\$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$7,140.0 \$102,936.0
38 39 40 41 42 43	SALVAGE POST AND SIGN  REMOVE POST AND SIGN  SAWCUT BITUMINOUS OR CONCRETE  REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY)  REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY)  REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE CURB  COMMON EXCAVATION	EA LF SY SY LF LS	1.00 553.00 266.00 380.00 7,036.00 1.00	\$60.00 \$3.00 \$5.00 \$6.00 \$2.00 \$214,450.00	\$60.00 \$1,659.00 \$1,330.00 \$2,280.00 \$14,072.00 \$214,450.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1,520.00 0.31	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$3,040.00 \$66,479.50	0 0 0 0 3,570 0.48	\$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$7,140.0 \$102,936.0 \$68,832.0
38 39 40 41 42 43	SALVAGE POST AND SIGN  REMOVE POST AND SIGN  SAWCUT BITUMINOUS OR CONCRETE  REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY)  REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY)  REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE CURB  COMMON EXCAVATION  SELECT GRANULAR BORROW (CV) (P)	EA LF SY SY LF LS	1.00 553.00 266.00 380.00 7,036.00 1.00	\$60.00 \$3.00 \$5.00 \$6.00 \$2.00 \$214,450.00 \$11.95	\$60.00 \$1,659.00 \$1,330.00 \$2,280.00 \$14,072.00 \$214,450.00 \$143,400.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1,520.00 0.31 5,760.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$3,040.00 \$66,479.50 \$68,832.00	0 0 0 0 3,570 0.48 5,760	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,140.00 \$102,936.00 \$68,832.00 \$3,150.00
38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	SALVAGE POST AND SIGN REMOVE POST AND SIGN SAWCUT BITUMINOUS OR CONCRETE REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY) REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY) REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE CURB COMMON EXCAVATION SELECT GRANULAR BORROW (CV) (P) SUBGRADE CORRECTION SUBGRADE PREPARATION	EA LF SY SY LF LS CY SY	1.00 553.00 266.00 380.00 7,036.00 1.00 12,000.00 2,700.00 34.00	\$60.00 \$3.00 \$5.00 \$6.00 \$2.00 \$214,450.00 \$11.95 \$9.00 \$150.00	\$60.00 \$1,659.00 \$1,330.00 \$2,280.00 \$14,072.00 \$214,450.00 \$143,400.00 \$24,300.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1,520.00 0.31 5,760.00 350.00 17.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$3,040.00 \$66,479.50 \$68,832.00 \$3,150.00	0 0 0 0 3,570 0.48 5,760 350	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,140.00 \$102,936.00 \$68,832.00 \$3,150.00 \$2,550.00
38 39 40 41 42 43 44	SALVAGE POST AND SIGN REMOVE POST AND SIGN SAWCUT BITUMINOUS OR CONCRETE REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY) REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE PAVEMENT (DRIVEWAY) REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CONCRETE CURB COMMON EXCAVATION SELECT GRANULAR BORROW (CV) (P) SUBGRADE CORRECTION	EA LF SY SY LF LS CY	1.00 553.00 266.00 380.00 7,036.00 1.00 12,000.00 2,700.00	\$60.00 \$3.00 \$5.00 \$6.00 \$2.00 \$214,450.00 \$11.95 \$9.00	\$60.00 \$1,659.00 \$1,330.00 \$2,280.00 \$14,072.00 \$214,450.00 \$143,400.00 \$24,300.00 \$5,100.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1,520.00 0.31 5,760.00 350.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$3,040.00 \$66,479.50 \$68,832.00 \$3,150.00 \$2,550.00	0 0 0 0 3,570 0.48 5,760	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,140.00 \$102,936.00 \$68,832.00 \$3,150.00 \$45,856.25

ITEM	DESCRIPTION OF PAY ITEM	UNIT		CONTRACT AMOU	NT	THIS P	ERIOD	TOTAL 1	O DATE
ITEIVI	DESCRIPTION OF PATTIEW	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	QUANTITY	AMOUNT	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
50	BITUMINOUS WEAR COURSE	TN	1,825.00	\$62.00	\$113,150.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
51	BITUMINOUS MATERIAL FOR TACK COAT	GA	842.00	\$3.00	\$2,526.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
52	CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER	LF	6,596.00	\$12.00	\$79,152.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
53	DRIVEWAY VALLEY GUTTER	EA	4.00	\$2,970.00	\$11,880.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
54	6" CONCRETE DRIVEWAY PAVEMENT	SY	40.00	\$55.00	\$2,200.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
55	BITUMINOUS DRIVEWAY PAVEMENT	SY	295.00	\$27.00	\$7,965.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
56	PERFORATED PVC EDGE DRAIN	LF	6,660.00	\$9.00	\$59,940.00	2,297.00	\$20,673.00	2,297	\$20,673.00
57	DRAINTILE CLEANOUT	EA	50.00	\$150.00	\$7,500.00	16.00	\$2,400.00	16	\$2,400.00
58	DRAINTILE CONNECTION INTO CATCH BASIN	EA	8.00	\$400.00	\$3,200.00	4.00	\$1,600.00	4	\$1,600.00
59	PRECAST CONCRETE HEADWALL FOR DRAINTILE	EA	6.00	\$515.00	\$3,090.00	2.00	\$1,030.00	2	\$1,030.00
60	ADJUST MANHOLE CASTING	EA	4.00	\$600.00	\$2,400.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
61	ADJUST GATE VALVE BOX	EA	18.00	\$350.00	\$6,300.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
62	FURNISH SIGN PANEL	SF	15.00	\$45.00	\$675.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
63	INSTALL SIGN PANELS	EA	2.00	\$200.00	\$400.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
64	4" SOLID LINE WHITE EPOXY	LF	7,116.00	\$0.53	\$3,771.48	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
65	4" SOLID LINE YELLOW EPOXY	LF	1,524.00	\$0.53	\$807.72	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
66	4" BROKEN LINE YELLOW EPOXY	LF	2,558.00	\$0.53	\$1,355.74	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
67	STOP BAR - POLY PREFORMED	EA	2.00	\$1,200.00	\$2,400.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
68	TEMPORARY PAVEMENT STRIPING	LS	1.00	\$4,500.00	\$4,500.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
69	PAVEMENT MARKINGS - POLY PREFORMED	EA	10.00	\$815.00	\$8,150.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
	SUBTOTAL - DIVISION 5				\$967,146.44		\$215,610.75		\$256,167.25

TOTALS - BASE CONTRACT				\$1,249,494.09		\$282,913.75		\$390,895.65
CHANGE ORDER NO. 1								
CO1-1 PERFORATED PVC EDGE DRAIN	LF	(2,850.00)	\$9.00	-\$25,650.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
CO1-2 DRAINTILE CLEANOUT	EA	(19.00)	\$150.00	-\$2,850.00	0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
TOTALS - CHANGE ORDER NO. 1				-\$28,500.00		\$0.00		\$0.00

TOTALS - REVISED CONTRACT \$1,220,994.09 \$282,913.75 \$390,895.65



# MAYOR & COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

**DATE:** October 20, 2015

**CONSENT** 

ITEM #6

**AGENDA ITEM**: Monthly Assessor Report

**SUBMITTED BY**: Dan Raboin, City Assessor

**THROUGH**: Cathy Bendel, Finance Director

**REVIEWED BY:** Cathy Bendel, Finance Director

# SUGGESTED ORDER OF BUSINESS:

- Call for Motion ...... Mayor & City Council

**SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUESTED:** As part of its Consent Agenda, the City Council is asked to accept the monthly assessor report for through September 2015 outlining work performed on behalf of the City of Lake Elmo. No specific motion is needed as this is recommended as part of the *Consent Agenda*.

#### **LEGISLATIVE HISTORY/BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

Property splits/plats – 1
Sales collected and viewed – 9
Taxpayer inquiries – 11
Miscellaneous inquiries - 4
Inspections – Residential – 34; Commercial – 3
Building permit reviews – 24
Pictures taken – 41

#### Other work performed included:

• Completion of residential and commercial quintile data entry.

- Monthly meeting with County residential and commercial supervisors
- Input of all inspection and permit work
- Perform sales verifications and land value analysis using MLS and other resources
- Field telephone inquiries

**<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>**: Based on the aforementioned, the staff recommends the City Council accept the September 2015 monthly assessor report.

**DATE:** October 20, 2015

CONSENT ITEM

EM #8

**MOTION** 

**AGENDA ITEM**: Request to fill budgeted Public Works Operator position

SUBMITTED BY: Mike Bouthilet, Public Works Superintendent

**THROUGH**: Clark Schroeder, Interim City Administrator

**REVIEWED BY:** Finance Director and City Clerk

# **SUGGESTED ORDER OF BUSINESS:**

- Report/Presentation.......City Administrator

# **POLICY RECOMMENDER:** Public Works Superintendent

**FISCAL IMPACT:** Recommended salary of \$20 per hour during probationary period; increase to \$22 per hour after successful completion of probationary period (6 months).

# **SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUESTED:**

With the increased work load as a result of growth in the City, a staff addition was budgeted for 2015 effective 7/1/15. It is being requested that this position be filled at this time in order to fully train the new employee in winter operations.

# **BACKGROUND INFORMATION (SWOT):**

**Strengths** – Additional staff to primarily support street improvements and winter operations. Due to resource shifts to utility functions, the street staff has become lean. **Weaknesses** – Slower response time to resident services. Limited resources for annual street patching operations.

**Opportunities** – The City will have an additional resource which will primarily support street functions and support utility functions.

Threats – None identified.

**<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>**: Based on the aforementioned, the staff recommends the City Council authorize the hiring of a public works operator.

"Move to authorize the City Administrator to advertise, recruit, interview, and present for employment a Public Works Operator."

**DATE**: October 20, 2015

CONSENT

ITEM # 8

**AGENDA ITEM:** CSAH 15 (Manning Avenue) Phase 1 Improvements – Resolution for

**Municipal Consent** 

**SUBMITTED BY**: Jack Griffin, City Engineer

**THROUGH**: Clark Schroeder, Interim City Administrator

**REVIEWED BY:** 

#### SUGGESTED ORDER OF BUSINESS if removed from the Consent Agenda):

- Discussion ...... Mayor & City Council

#### **POLICY RECOMMENDER:** Engineering.

**FISCAL IMPACT:** \$36,930.

The County intends to require cost participation from the City in the amount of \$36,930 per the County Cost participation Policy for County Improvements.

#### **SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUESTED:**

The City Council is respectfully requested to consider approving, as part of the Consent Agenda, a Resolution for Municipal Consent for the Phase 1 CSAH 15 (Manning Avenue) Improvements. If removed from the consent agenda, the recommended motion for the action is as follows:

"Move to approve Resolution No. 2015-80 approving County State Aid Highway 15 (Manning Avenue) Phase 1 Improvements within Municipal Corporate Limits."

#### **LEGISLATIVE HISTORY/BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

City Council Meeting October 20, 2015

The County is requesting from the City of Lake Elmo a resolution of support for the Phase 1 CSAH 15 (Manning Avenue) Improvements, as described by the attached County memorandum and layout exhibit. The improvements include a signalized intersection at CSAH 10 and at the south entrance of the Oakland Jr. High School, associated intersection turn lane improvements, drainage provisions, and a bituminous trail along the boulevard adjacent to Oakland Jr. High School.

In accordance with the County Cost Participation Policy, the County is requesting City cost participation for these improvements in the amount of \$36,930. This includes \$12,610 for 55% share for right-of-way acquisition at the northwest intersection of CSAH 10 and CSAH 15; \$13,200 for 50% share for a bituminous trail and concrete pedestrian ramps adjacent to Oakland Jr. High School and at the intersection of CSAH 10; and \$11,120 for 50% share for concrete curb and gutter along the west side of Manning Avenue.

Municipal Consent expresses the City's support for the project and more specifically for the preliminary design layout as presented. Municipal Consent allows the County to proceed with the final project design and property acquisition with the intention to begin construction in 2016. Upon completion of the final design the County will request the City to enter into a Cooperative Agreement for the Project outlining the City's cost obligations and other provisions as may be deemed appropriate.

Upon review by City staff, it should be noted that the preliminary layout provides for a signalized intersection at the south school entrance. This intersection is near the required future City street access location based upon CSAH 15 access spacing guidelines. However, at the time that a development or other purpose requires a City street connection to Manning Avenue in this vicinity, it is highly probable that the entire intersection, including the traffic signals proposed by this project will need to be relocated at City cost or at the cost of a prospective developer.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**:

Staff is recommending that the City Council consider approving, as part of the Consent Agenda, Resolution No. 2015-80 providing Municipal Consent for the Phase 1 CSAH 15 (Manning Avenue) Improvements. If removed from the consent agenda, the recommended motion for the action is as follows:

"Move to approve Resolution No. 2015-80 approving County State Aid Highway 15 (Manning Avenue) Phase 1 Improvements within Municipal Corporate Limits."

#### **ATTACHMENT(S):**

- 1. Resolution No. 2015-80 Approving County State Aid Highway 15 (Manning Avenue) Phase 1 Improvements within Municipal Corporate Limits.
- 2. County Project Memorandum and Request, dated October 15, 2015.
- 3. Exhibit County State Aid Highway 15 (Manning Avenue) Phase 1 Improvements.

#### CITY OF LAKE ELMO WASHINGTON COUNTY STATE OF MINNESOTA

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 2015-81**

# A RESOLUTION APPROVING COUNTY STATE AID HIGHWAY 15 (MANNING AVENUE) PHASE 1 IMPROVEMENTS WITHIN MUNICIPAL CORPORATE LIMITS

**WHEREAS**, a County Recommended Layout for County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 15 Phase 1 Improvements, as shown on the attached exhibit to the County Memorandum dated October 15, 2015, within the limits of the City of Lake Elmo has been prepared and presented to the City.

#### NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,

- 1. That said County Recommended Layout be in all things approved.
- 2. That the City of Lake Elmo supports the County continuing with the development of final plans necessary for the construction of the project.

ADOPTED BY THE LAKE ELMO CITY COUNCIL ON THE TWENTIETH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2015.

#### CITY OF LAKE ELMO

	By: Mike Pearson	
	Mayor	
(Seal) ATTEST:		
Julie Johnson	<del></del>	
City Clerk		





**Donald J. Theisen, P.E.** Director

Wayne H. Sandberg, P.E. Deputy Director/County Engineer

### **MEMORANDUM**

**Date: October 15, 2015** 

To: City of Lake Elmo

From: Frank Ticknor, Washington County Project Manager

Re: County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 15 (Manning Avenue); CSAH 10 (10<sup>th</sup> Street) to

southern access to Oak-Land Junior High – Phase 1 of the CSAH 15 (Manning

Avenue): I-94 to TH 5 Corridor Study

CSAH 15 from I-94 to Trunk Highway (TH) 5 is an important north-south arterial roadway in this region of Washington County. Traffic volumes on this road range from 10,500 to 13,600 vehicles per day. This corridor is included in the 5-year Capital Improvement Plan as a Priority 1: critical. Therefore, Washington County issued a Request for Proposals for preliminary design and environmental documentation services in March of 2013.

The corridor study is now complete and the first phase of identified improvements is ready to proceed to final design: Intersection improvements at CSAH 15 (Manning Avenue) at CSAH 10 (10<sup>th</sup> Street) and at the two access points to Oak-Land Junior High. The intersection at CSAH 10 is currently very wide and is controlled by an existing 4-way stop and the intersection crash rate is above the statewide average. Oak-Land Junior High currently provides access to users via two driveways; one for school buses and one for staff and parent/student drop-off. These two driveways pose safety concerns for all users who exit or enter Manning Avenue due to the high volume of traffic. Also, there are no pedestrian facilities along the corridor although there are residential neighborhoods in the area and the emergency evacuation location for the school is St. Lucas Community Church which is north along Manning Avenue.

The proposed improvements include a traffic signal at CSAH 10 and at the southern access to the school as well as access modification at the northern access to the school that eliminate left turn movements but allow for left turn access from Manning Avenue. The scope also includes pedestrian facilities and improved storm drainage facilities that limit impacts to adjacent properties. We feel the scope of this project significantly improves safety, increases capacity, provides improved pedestrian accommodations including compliance with ADA guidelines, and provides improved pavement and drainage conditions. The proposed design will match into the existing roadway system while considerations will be made for the identified future expansion of the corridor.

This project has been developed with the coordination of the Cities of Lake Elmo and West Lakeland Township as well as with the Stillwater School District, Oak-Land Junior High, and private residents along the project limits. Besides the design of these safety improvements, there are cost considerations coordinated with the City of Lake Elmo. All cost participation items are established by the current cost participation policy between the County and Cities. The items that are the responsibility of the City of Lake Elmo are as follows:

- Construction Items (50% share)
  - Curb and gutter (\$11,120)
  - 6" concrete walk for ped ramps (\$4,040)
  - 3" bituminous walk (\$9,160)
- Right of way & Temporary Easements (55% share)
  - Northwest corner of Manning and 10<sup>th</sup> Street (\$12,610)
- Total City cost = \$36,930

The project schedule is to have final design complete this fall with the project being bid over the winter of 2016 in preparation for construction starting in the spring of 2016. The construction schedule will include the requirement to be complete by the end of August 2016 to accommodate the beginning of school.

The County is requesting from the City of Lake Elmo a resolution of support for this project, Manning Avenue Phase 1, as described by the attached layout exhibit including the commitment of the total city costs of \$36,930 as summarized above. It is the County's intent that once final design is complete the County will work with the City of Lake Elmo to draft a Cooperative Agreement for this project.

Thank you for your consideration of this very important safety improvement project.

Frank Ticknor Washington County Project Manager





#### MAYOR AND COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

DATE: 10/20/15

REGULAR ITEM #: 9 MOTION

**AGENDA ITEM**: Lake Elmo Airport realignment

**SUBMITTED BY**: Clark Schroeder

**THROUGH**: Clark Schroeder

**REVIEWED BY:** Clark Schroeder

#### SUGGESTED ORDER OF BUSINESS:

-	Introduction of Item	Staff
-	Report/Presentation	Staff
-	Questions from Council to Staff	Mayor Facilitates
-	Public Input, if Appropriate	Mayor Facilitates
-	Call for Motion	Mayor & City Council
-	Discussion	Mayor & City Council
	Action on Motion	

<u>PUBLIC POLICY STATEMENT</u> EITHER SUPPORT OR DENY SUPPORT FOR THE LAKE ELMO RUNWAY REALIGNMENT.

#### **BACKGROUND AND STAFF REPORT:**

The Metropolitan Airports Commission (MAC) has completed a draft version of the 2035 Long-Term Comprehensive Plan (LTCP) for Lake Elmo Airport. The purpose of the LTCP is to identify facility needs at Lake Elmo Airport for the 20-year period between 2015 and 2035. Lake Elmo Airport is located in Washington County approximately 12 miles east of the downtown district, one mile east of downtown Lake Elmo, within Baytown Township, and is bordered by portions of West Lakeland Township and the City of Lake Elmo. During 2014, Lake Elmo Airport had just over 200 based aircraft and accommodated approximately 26,000 total aircraft operations. It encompasses approximately 640 acres of land and has two paved runways. The primary runway (Runway 14-32) is 2,849 feet long by 75 feet wide, and the crosswind runway (Runway 04-22) is 2,496 feet long by 75 feet wide. There have been a number of previous planning studies completed for the airport. The MAC prepared the first Long-Term Comprehensive Plan (LTCP) for Lake Elmo Airport in 1966, and updated it in 1976 and 1992. These plans included a recommendation for a relocated and extended primary runway (Runway 14-32) and an extension to the crosswind runway (Runway 04-22). The most recent LTCP for Lake Elmo Airport prepared by the MAC and approved by the Metropolitan Council is dated December 2008. The 2008 LTCP recommended a plan to first extend crosswind Runway 04-22 to a length of 3,200 feet, along with development of a new hangar area on the east side of the airport. The relocation and extension of Runway 14-32 to 3,900 feet was identified as a viable ultimate configuration beyond the 20-year planning horizon to remain on the Airport Layout Plan

The draft LTCP considers replacing the primary northwest/southeast Runway 14-32 with a runway that is relocated approximately 700 feet parallel to, and northeast of, the existing primary runway alignment and extended to a length of 3,600 feet. The relocated primary runway would require realignment of a portion of 30th Street North. An extension to the crosswind northeast/southwest Runway 04-22 to a length of 2,750 feet is also proposed. These improvements are intended to enhance the airport's ability to fulfill its existing role of accommodating propeller-driven airplanes with fewer than 10 passenger seats.

ES.4 FACILITY REQUIREMENTS The existing runways at Lake Elmo Airport are short. In comparison to the other MACowned Reliever Airports, both the primary and crosswind runways at Lake Elmo Airport are the shortest in the system. Based on the aviation activity forecasts, the future critical design aircraft for Lake Elmo Airport will continue to be represented by the family of propeller-driven aircraft with fewer than 10 passenger seats. This family of aircraft includes a diverse range of equipment types, ranging from small single-engine piston aircraft used primarily for recreational and personal flying, up to larger single- and twin-engine turboprop aircraft that are used more predominantly for business aviation. Typical aircraft in the latter category include the single-engine turboprop Pilatus PC-12 and the twinengine turboprop Beechcraft King Air 200/250. Runway Length Based on runway length guidance provided by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the primary runway length at Lake Elmo Airport should be between 3,300 feet and 3,900 feet to accommodate 95 percent and 100 percent of the aircraft types in the design aircraft family, respectively. While the guidance from the FAA serves as a good baseline, more detailed information related to runway length requirements can be derived from manufacturer performance charts published for specific aircraft types. Based on a deeper assessment of runway length requirements for several representative aircraft types in the design aircraft family for Lake Elmo Airport, the optimal primary runway length is approximately 3,600 feet. This length fits into the range predicted by the FAA and will accommodate the majority of small turboprop and multi-engine piston aircraft departing at an operationally-feasible weight. Meanwhile, a future length of 2,750 feet is recommended for the crosswind runway to better accommodate lower crosswind capable aircraft during periods of gusty conditions. Also, based on user input, development of a new non-precision GPStype instrument approach for Runway 14 and a GPS overlay of the existing non-precision approach for Runway 04 would enhance the operational capabilities of the airport. Planning for the establishment of these non-precision approaches is recommended for consideration. Runway Protection Zones The Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) is an area at ground level prior to the threshold or beyond the departure runway end to enhance the safety and protection of people and property on the ground. According to the FAA, this is best achieved through airport owner control over RPZs. Control is preferably exercised through the acquisition of sufficient property interest in the RPZ and includes clearing of RPZ areas and maintaining them clear of incompatible objects and activities.

In 2012, the FAA issued Interim Guidance to clarify its policy on what constitutes a compatible land use within an RPZ and how to evaluate proposed land uses that would reside in an RPZ. Based on this guidance, the following existing land uses are not considered to be compatible within an existing RPZ at Lake Elmo Airport: x Existing Runway 14 End: County Road 15/Manning Avenue, the north Airport Entrance Driveway, the Union Pacific Railroad, and non-owned property on the west side of Manning

Avenue x Existing Runway 32 End: 30th Street North x Existing Runway 04 End: 30th Street North Coordination with the FAA in the form of an RPZ Alternatives Analysis is required when an incompatible land use would enter the limits of the RPZ due to a triggering airfield project, an off-airport development proposal, or other operational change at the airport. Achieving compliance with the FAA's current RPZ compatibility criteria is a primary objective of this LTCP.

The MAC held numerous public hearings/open houses about their draft plan, including two in Lake Elmo. The public comment period August 26<sup>th</sup>. In staff's conversation with the MAC on October 12<sup>th</sup>, they will acknowledge any direction that comes from the Lake Elmo City Council, but will note that it was past the public comment period.

**RECOMMENDATION**: If Council so moves

"Move to adopt resolution 2015-80 opposing Lake Elmo Runway realignment and extension"

**ATTACHMENT(S)**:

1,100 Feet LEGEND: EXISTING AIRPORT PROPERTY WETLANDS PROP RWY/TWY EXTENSIONS ULT RWY/TWY EXTENSIONS PAVEMENT REMOVAL

Figure ES-4: LTCP Preferred Alternative

#### CITY OF LAKE ELMO WASHINGTON COUNTY STATE OF MINNESOTA

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 2015-80**

## A RESOLUTION IN OPPOSTION TO THE REALIGNMENT AND EXTENETION OF THE LAKE ELMO AIRPORT

**WHEREAS**, the City of Lake Elmo is a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Minnesota; and

WHEREAS, The Lake Elmo Airport is in Baytown Township; and

**WHEREAS,** The Metropolitan Airport Commission (MAC) has a draft proposal moving one runway further east and extending its length; and

WHEREAS, The MAC proposal also calls for adding length to the crosswind runway;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Council does hereby oppose any realignment or extension of runways at the Lake Elmo Airport

Passed and duly adopted this 20<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2015 by the City Council of the City of Lake Elmo, Minnesota.

	Mike Pearson, Mayor	
ATTEST:		
Julie Johnson, City Clerk		



#### MAYOR AND COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

DATE: 10/20/15

**REGULAR** 

ITEM #: 10

**AGENDA ITEM**: Hammes plat extension

**SUBMITTED BY**: Clark Schroeder

THROUGH: Clark Schroeder

**REVIEWED BY:** Clark Schroeder

#### SUGGESTED ORDER OF BUSINESS:

-	Introduction of Item	Staff
-	Report/Presentation	Staff
	Questions from Council to Staff	
-	Public Input, if Appropriate	Mayor Facilitates
-	Call for Motion	Mayor & City Council
-	Discussion	Mayor & City Council
	Action on Motion	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

#### **SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUESTED:**

Hammes Estates final plat was approved by the city council on Oct 7<sup>th</sup> 2014. Eleanor Hammes is requesting a two year extension to record the plat. The concerns which precluded the plat from being recorded have been mitigated and they are ready to put the property on the market to sell.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**:

"Move to extend the final plat approval and development contract for two years till Oct 7<sup>th</sup> 2016.

**ATTACHMENT(S)**: Letter from Eleanor Hammes

#### ELEANOR D. HAMMES 1187 FROST AVENUE MAPLEWOOD, MN 55109 651-774-1761

October 8, 2015

Mr. Clark Schroeder Interim City Administrator City of Lake Elmo 3800 Laverne Avenue North Lake Elmo, MN 55042

Re:

**Hammes Estates** 

Final Plat and Development Contract

Dear Mr. Schroeder:

On October 7, 2014, the Lake Elmo City Council approved the Hammes Estates Final Plat and Development Contract for my family's property (Resolution No. 2014-81). Due to various complexities, the Final Plat was not recorded. We are working on the issues and now feel ready to move forward with the project as previously approved.

Accordingly, we are requesting that the City Council extend our Final Plat approval and Development Contract for two years from the original date of approval (until October 7, 2016).

Thank you for your consideration.

Eleanos D Hammee

Eleanor D. Hammes, Owner



#### MAYOR AND COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

DATE: 10/20/15

REGULAR

ITEM #: 11

**AGENDA ITEM**: Appointment of Committee/Commission members

SUBMITTED BY: Clark Schroeder
THROUGH: Clark Schroeder

**REVIEWED BY:** Clark Schroeder

#### SUGGESTED ORDER OF BUSINESS:

-	Introduction of Item	
-	Report/Presentation	Staff
	Questions from Council to Staff	
	Public Input, if Appropriate	•
	Call for Motion	•
	Discussion	
	Action on Motion	

### <u>PUBLIC POLICY BEING MADE:</u> COUNCIL IS BEING ASKED TO SET POLICY FOR APPOINTING COMMITTEE/COMMISSION MEMBERS

**BACKGROUND AND STAFF REPORT**: The city has a couple citizens that wish to serve on the HR. committee and one individual that wishes to serve on the Planning Commission. The Administrator has received conflicting accounts as to what the standard practice is for appointment members for Lake Elmo. One method is to have commission/committees vet candidates and recommend to the full council for appointment. The other method is for the council to vet candidates and appoint without consideration from the committees/commissions.

The League of Minnesota Cities does not have overall specific guidance that would apply to all committees, boards, or commissions.

For the planning Commission their handbooks states:

State statute does not establish a process for the appointment of planning commissioners. As a result, the city ordinance or charter provisions should specify who has the authority to appoint commission members. Generally, appointing authority is vested in the city council as a whole. In the alternative, cities may vest appointment power in the mayor exclusively, or may vest in the mayor the power to appoint commissioners, subject to council approval. Some city charters may already contain provisions related to general appointments to city boards and commissions. In these cities, the charter provisions preempt local ordinance. Cities also should consider adopting a policy for the recruitment and retention of commission members. The

policy may be adopted as a resolution and need not be in ordinance form. Adopting the policy via resolution will allow more flexibility in developing and amending the ordinance. Although state law does not require the following, the policy may wish to include information regarding: Sample Advertisement. Sample City Application Forms. Sample Interview Questions. • The advertisement period for open positions. • The submission of letters of interest and a statement of qualifications for board positions, or a city application form. • An interview process prior to appointment. More information can be found at the web site below.

http://www.lmc.org/media/document/1/planning\_commission\_guide.pdf?inline=true

For the HR committee and any other committee's state statue allows cities the authority to have discretionary committees created to lessen the work load of the council members.

#### 412.111 DEPARTMENTS, BOARDS.

The council may create departments and advisory boards and appoint officers, employees, and agents for the city as deemed necessary for the proper management and operation of city affairs. The council may prescribe the duties and fix the compensation of all officers, both appointive and elective, employees, and agents, when not otherwise prescribed by law. The council may require any officer or employee to furnish a bond conditioned for the faithful exercise of duties and the proper application of, and payment upon demand of, all moneys officially received. Unless otherwise prescribed by law, the amount of the bonds shall be fixed by the council. The bonds furnished by the clerk and treasurer shall be corporate surety bonds. The council may provide for the payment from city funds of the premium on the official bond of any officer or employee of the city. The council may, except as otherwise provided, remove any appointive officer or employee when in its judgment the public welfare will be promoted by the removal. This provision does not modify the laws relating to veterans preference or to members of a city police or fire civil service commission or public utilities commission.

https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/?id=412.111

In conversation with the LMC they say it is allowable for cities to review applicants at the committee level, but they have never seen it. They see council first and only as the method of appointment.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**:

Staff recommend the City Council follow precedent set by other cities and recommended by the LMC.

All committee/commission members shall be appointed by the council without committee/commission review.

DATE:

October 20, 2015

REGULAR

ITEM MOTION #12

AGENDA ITEM:

**Human Resources Committee Applications** 

**SUBMITTED BY:** 

Clark Schroeder, Interim City Administrator

THROUGH:

Julie Johnson, City Clerk

REVIEWED BY:

Interim Administrator and City Clerk

#### SUGGESTED ORDER OF BUSINESS:

- Introduction of Item ...... City Administrator

- Report/Presentation......City Administrator

**POLICY RECOMMENDER:** Interim Administrator

FISCAL IMPACT: None

#### **SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUESTED:**

The Human Resources Committee has a vacancy to fill and announcements for citizens to apply has been posted in the weekly FRESH email. Two applications have been received so far and a third resident has expressed interest in applying.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION (SWOT):**

**Strengths** – The Human Resources Committee has need to fill a vacancy for a citizen representative

Weaknesses - None

**Opportunities** – Two candidates have applied to serve on the Committee **Threats** – None identified.

**RECOMMENDATION**: Based on the outcome of the discussion concerning the process for considering committee and commission appointments, the Council may choose to appoint one or both of the applicants, or refer the applications to the committee for consideration.

### Julie Johnson From: Christine Nelson [ktjaxmom24@gmail.com] Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2015 1:49 PM To: Julie Johnson; Julie Fliflet; Anne Smith; Jill Lundgren; Mike Pearson; Justin Blover: Clark Schroeder; Dana Bloyer Subject: application for HR committee Good afternoon, With this message, I'd like to officially submit my application to serve on the Lake Elmo City Council Human Resources Committee. As the original application was sent to me as a .pdf with fields that I was unable to edit with my answers, I am opting to provide my response to each question in the text below for your review. Please let me know if any questions, comments or concerns. Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to hearing back from you on next steps. Best Regards, Christine Nelson Application for Human Resources Committee Please return to City Clerk's Office - Thank You for your interest in the Lake Elmo Human Resources Committee Date: September 22, 2015 Name: Christine Nelson

Resume Attached (Optional): Yes  $\theta$  No x

1. Why are you interested in serving on the Lake Elmo Human Resources Committee?

Occupation: Corp. Relations Manager, Minneapolis Institute of Art

Phone Number: 612-870-3216 Email: christine.nelson@artsmia.org

Address: 2400 Third Avenue South, Minneapolis, MN 55401

I am very proud to live in Lake Elmo. It has been a wonderful community to live in and raise a family, and the manner in which the citizens of this city look out for and care for one another is really something special. I have a vested interest in protecting that character because it is rare to find in today's society, and unfortunately, having grown up on East Side of St. Paul, I have witnessed first-hand what can happen when a community takes those values for granted, and ignores problems or hopes someone else will solve them. The family neighborhoods give way to neglect, disrepair, and finally, abandonment.

I believe it is important to be a contributing member to this community I love, whether it be through time, talent, or treasure. I have been troubled by the reports of conflict within city hall, and the recent departure of so many valued employees in such a short amount of time. Without commenting on who may be right or wrong, I

think it's important to first acknowledge there is a problem, listen fairly and respectfully to both sides, and then work together to find resolution and put resources, practices and policies in place that hopefully would prevent such a situation from happening in the future (or at least limit the possibility of a repeat occurrence). I would love to be part of creating and promoting Lake Elmo as an environment that is as wonderful to work in, as it is a place to live.

2. What experience and qualifications do you have that demonstrate your ability to support the Purpose and Scope of the Human Resources Committee?

As I'm sure Councilmember Fliflet can attest, it is frequently the case in most non-profits and start-ups that one is tasked with wearing multiple hats that have nothing to do with your actual job title; as such, through the years in various job roles I've been responsible for administering health insurance benefits, criminal background checks, crises plans, etc. I've served on a task force exploring the pros and cons of transitioning to a PTO system. I've implemented effective documentation practices that successfully addressed an issue with systematic bullying and professional sabotage in the workplace. I also am keenly aware as to when a situation is volatile, dangerous, and/or well beyond my knowledge and experience. I place tremendous value on those who have an educational degree and certified training in Human Resources, and know there are many circumstances when it is necessary to turn it over to professionals for the protection and well-being of all involved. My main focus would be on the creation of a healthy and positive workplace culture.

3. What do you consider to be the major challenges facing the city of Lake Elmo regarding effective human resources practices?

While I respect and understand there always two sides of every story, it is my impression that the employees feel that they are not listened to, valued, or respected. And as an admitted outside observer, I do understand that sentiment. There seems to be an environment of leadership through fear and intimidation, rather than a nurturing and encouraging workplace. It concerns me when I read statements made to the press by those in a supervisory role about employees – whether it's intended or not, such statements insinuate there are problems within city hall. As much as I believe these comments were not made in a malicious way, there is no doubt as to the damage they can inflict and the toxic environment of mistrust they create, both internally and externally. I also am concerned about the potential for liability careless statements create. The good news is that with any challenge comes opportunity, and I feel this situation can be remedied simply by being more thoughtful in our comments, keeping the criticism constructive and helpful, and showing each other the same compassion and understanding we would want for ourselves. I'm confident we can do better than what we're doing.

4. How much time do you have to contribute to the Human Resources Committee including meeting preparation, meeting participation and other opportunities as they may exist?

I would think one meeting per quarter, or every other month would be appropriate, but also understand that sometimes circumstances warrant a more frequent meeting schedule, in which case I would make myself available on whatever basis the situation requires. As I work out of the home on a full-time basis, I would appreciate if meetings could occur in the evening, and think one week preparation is fair, again with room for flexibility based on circumstance.

Christine Nelson



### **Application for Human Resources Committee**

Please return to City Clerk's Office - Thank You for your interest in the Lake Elmo Human Resources Committee

Date: 10 13 15 Nam	ne: Teresa I				
Occupation: <u>HR ManageR</u>	Address:	143 Cimarron,	Lake Elmo, MN	55042	
Phone Number: <u>U/2-23/-8899</u>	Email: <u>\IBer</u>	ry 143@ Comcast	<u>////</u> Resume Attached	(Optional): Yes	No 🗆

1. Why are you interested in serving on the Lake Elmo Human Resources Committee?

As a younger community member with children who go to school in Lake Elmo and a family who utilize services throughout the city, I believe it is my responsibility to share my HR knowledge with my community members to ensure the HR practices for the community are consistent and are administered with as much care as I currently do in my professional private sector employment. I'd like to give back to my community in the form of knowledge and education.

2. What experience and qualifications do you have that demonstrate your ability to support the Purpose and Scope of the Human Resources Committee?

I began working in human resources at the ground level. I have been fortunate enough to obtain education while being employed which as solidified my working knowledge. My experience has progressively grown through the various aspects of human resources which include benefit administration, full-cycle recruitment, maintaining HRIS systems and files along with employee relations, investigations, and overall human resource management for an organization today of just under 1000 employees.

3. What do you consider to be the major challenges facing the city of Lake Elmo regarding effective human resources practices?

The city is growing fairly rapidly for a smaller town. With a upwards of 8,000 residents, human resources concerns at the city level can get blown out of proportion very quickly due to lack of knowledge or lack of procedural knowledge. I have learned in my time as an HR professional that you must be consistent in practices, follow the documented procedure and be responsible for the decision made even if others are not a fan. The city has a vast majority of generations today so what one believes to be an issue another may think differently. I believe I can help offset the longtime HR stigma that may exist with newer streamlined practices.

Today's major challenge is the potential personality conflicts within the city structures. People do not have to like each other but you do have to get along enough and be respectful to get things accomplished. It's a process that takes work and consistency. I believe my skillset can help with these types of challenges.

4. How much time do you have to contribute to the Human Resources Committee including meeting preparation, meeting participation and other opportunities as they may exist?

nave at least 40 extra hours per month available to contribute to the Human Resources Committee. Depending on topics/projects, I may have availability for more.

Regions Hospital, Saint Paul, MN HR Assistant, May 2007 to October 2008

As an HR Assistant at Regions Hospital, I screened a select set of employment applications for required job qualifications using Peopleclick applicant tracking software, conduct phone screens with potential candidates, check references; draft offer letters and process new hire paperwork. I solely coordinated the entire hiring process for all Physician Moonlighters and Laboratory Service Technicians. In conjunction with the hiring process, I coordinated the Background Study Program through the Minnesota Department of Human Services. Tracked licensure for compliance, and conducted one-on-one orientation sessions for temporary & on-call employees.

I utilized Lawson HRIS system to enter new employee information, assembled new employee orientation manuals, maintained the internal HR website using web development software called Storymanager, served as back-up to front desk receptionist and provided level I and II desktop support.

Accomplishment:

Re-designed and launched the departments' internal website.

Revamped the orientation manual and placed them into three-ring binders w/ pictures.

While employed with Regions Hospital, I was an active member of the Best Care Best Experience Employee Forum and Engagement Team. This team promoted employee engagement through surveys, events and lunch and learn sessions.

#### Other Experience:

Health Program Representative, January 2003 to March 2007 Minnesota Department of Health, Saint Paul, MN

Received, examined and processed applications/fees for environmental lab certification. Calculated, deposited and reconciled fees with Crystal Reports on a monthly basis. Answered, screened and assisted with program requests via phone and email. Interacted with federal, state and local government agencies to ensure the mission of the MDH environmental lab certification program was being fulfilled. Completed expense reports and arranged travel which included airfare, lodging, car-service, and registration fees as well as maintained auditor's calendars.

Accomplishment:

Converted all paper documents into electronic format. I used a unique naming convention in order for the department staff to retrieve documents easily while working in remote locations.

OAS Intermediate, April 2001 to January 2003 Minnesota Department of Health, Saint Paul, MN

Trained new employees and cross trained current employees. I served as one of five receptionists with 10+ line switchboard. Processed incoming mail and distributed throughout the department. I primarily performed data entry for sensitive testing information a majority of the time.

**DATE:** October 20, 2015

**REGULAR** 

**ITEM** #13

**MOTION** 

**AGENDA ITEM**: Planning Commission Application

SUBMITTED BY: Clark Schroeder, Interim City Administrator

**THROUGH**: Julie Johnson, City Clerk

**REVIEWED BY:** Interim Administrator and City Clerk

#### **SUGGESTED ORDER OF BUSINESS:**

- Report/Presentation.......City Administrator

**POLICY RECOMMENDER:** Interim Administrator

**FISCAL IMPACT:** None

#### **SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUESTED:**

The Planning Commission has a vacancy for a second alternate and one application has been received for consideration.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION (SWOT):**

**Strengths** – The 2<sup>nd</sup> alternate vacancy could be filled

Weaknesses - None

**Opportunities** – One candidate has applied to serve on the Commission

Threats – None identified.



Application for Planning Commission Appointment

Please return to City Clerk's Office - Thank You for your interest in the Lake Elmo Planning Commission

Date: 29-Sept-2015
Name: Susan Dunn Address: 1/018 Upper 33 nd St. N. LKEIM
Phone Number: 777-0197 Email: Resume Attached (Optional): Yes □ No □
What do you consider to be the major challenges facing the Lake Elmo Planning Commission?
Comprehensive Plan
2. What do you see as your role serving as a member of the City's Planning Commission? How does this relate to the role of the City Council in planning matters?
· Advisory Commission
. In depth understanding and review of proposals for
development
3. Why are you interested in serving on the Lake Elmo Planning Commission? What experience and qualifications do you have that demonstrate your ability to support the Mission Statement and Goals of the City and service to the community through the Planning Commission?
Thura quarter of a century of serves to our city (plus).
Served on LE City Council, LE Parks Commission, LE Planning  Served on LE City Council, LE Parks Commission, LE Planning
Commission, WC commissions and National Park Scivice
Voluntiar. Prafessicial background - nursing + Engineering
Sciences -
4. How much time do you have to contribute to Planning Commission objectives including meeting preparation, meeting participation and other opportunities as they may exist?
Do much time as needed.