CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION AGENDA CITY OF LINO LAKES

Monday, March 1, 2021 6:00 P.M.

Agenda Item 1. Zoom Link:

https://us02web.zoom.us/i/87686130663?pwd=bXAvdFRNYIB4RU1VREJuWGEzRHM1Zz09

1. Preliminary Report Recreation and Aquatic Center Feasibility Study, Isaac Sports Group

Council Chambers – No Zoom Link

- 2. Springbrook Human Resources Management Module in Financial Software, Meg Sawyer
- 3. Easy CIP Software, Hannah Lynch
- 4. Smart Irrigation Controller Program, Andy Nelson
- 5. Outdoor Reader Boards, Rick DeGardner
- 6. Review Recommended 2021 Park and Trails Fund Projects, Rick DeGardner
- 7. Utility Rate Overview, Hannah Lynch
- 8. Council Updates on Boards/Commissions, City Council
- 9. Adjourn

WORK SESSION STAFF REPORT Work Session Item No. 1

Date:	March 1, 2021
To:	City Council
From:	Sarah Cotton, City Administrator Michael Grochala, Community Development Director
Re:	Preliminary Report – Recreation and Aquatic Center Feasibility Study

Background

In July of 2020, the City was notified that the YMCA would not be reopening the Lino Lakes Facility. In November of 2020, the City retained Isaac Sports Group (ISG) to evaluate potential facility operating options. Pursuant to a notice provided by the YMCA on January 4, 2021, and in accordance with the development agreement between the YMCA and the City, the YMCA will be transferring their property and building to the City on March 1, 2021.

ISG has completed a majority of their preliminary analysis. Stuart Isaac and Duane Proell, will join the Council via Zoom to present a preliminary report detailing potential facility operating options.

Requested Council Direction

For informational purposes only. The Council will discuss next steps and provide direction, if needed.

Attachments

Lino Lakes Recreation Center Presentation Outline





LINO LAKES RECREATION AND COMMUNITY CENTER Analysis

City Council Update March 1, 2021

INTRODUCTION

• Re-introduce the ISG Team

ANALYSIS OF CURRENT YMCA FACILITY

- Evaluation of the current YMCA
 - Facility Analysis
 - Program Analysis
 - o Financial Analysis
 - Why did the YMCA fail?

NEEDS, GOALS AND OPPORTUNITIES

Identify and explore the community needs, goals, and opportunities in a repurposed Recreation center.

- Potential: Lino Lakes Recreation <u>& Community</u> Center
- How to enhance the benefits and reach of the previous Lino Lakes YMCA?
- What can the Recreation Center do that the YMCA could not do?
- Maximize the opportunities and access for a wider range of the Lino Lakes community
 - Enhanced community programming
 - Youth and community organizations
 - School District tie-ins
 - Senior programming
 - Special Needs
 - Health Care providers: Health, fitness, wellness, therapy opportunities
 - Programming partners
 - Community Ed
 - Outside program providers
 - Lower costs to use
 - Lino Lakes resident membership discounts

- Daily drop-in and multi-use passes
- Subsidies/scholarships for lower income users
- Program priority registration and use for Lino Lakes residents
- Partnership opportunities
- Optimize connection to the site and immediate area
 - Economic/Business development
 - Coordination with outdoor activities and park and green space
- Support overall City economic development
 - Attract new residents and businesses
 - Create economic impact through sport tourism, regional use, or function spaces

HOW TO ACHIEVE THESE GOALS

- Process to date
- Market research
- Meetings and interviews
- Best practice, comparable, and aspirational facilities and programs

IMMEDIATE/SHORT TERM

- What can and should be done in the short term
- Optimizing the existing facilities
 - o Upgrades
 - Enhancing operating efficiencies
- Opening timeline
- Financial cost of opening
- Jump starting programs, staffing, and expertise

MASTER PLAN/LONG TERM

- Longer term opportunities
- Expansion opportunities
 - Priorities
 - o Costs
 - o Benefits
- Long term partnership opportunities
- Phasing and Timeline

MANAGEMENT/PROGRAMMING OPTIONS

- Exploration of Facility/Program management options
 - City Management
 - Total facility outside management group
 - Hybrid options

BUSINESS MODEL & FINANCIAL OPERATING ANALYSIS

- Membership, user fees, class costs, space rental fees, etc.
- Opening/Start-up costs
- Long term development costs

- Annual operating budget
 - 5-year annual operating budget
 - Facility Revenue
 - Program Revenue
 - Facility Operating Costs
 - Program Expenses
 - Annual P & L
 - Long term Capital Replacement and Maintenance projection
 - City managed facility
 - Impact on operating budget with outside management or hybrid management scenarios

LONG TERM MASTER PLAN FUNDING

- Review of current bond issue that financed original construction
- Extending that millage?
- Other funding sources?

WRAPPING UP THE STUDY

NEXT STEPS

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM 2

STAFF ORIGINATOR:	Meg Sawyer, Human Resources Manager
MEETING DATE:	March 1, 2021
TOPIC:	Springbrook Human Resources Management Module
VOTE REQUIRED:	3/5

INTRODUCTION

The Council is being asked to consider the approval of the addition of the Human Resources Management Module to our Springbrook Financial System.

BACKGROUND

The estimated implementation and training cost of the Springbrook Human Resources Management Module is \$6,265 and the annual subscription fee is \$5,200. These initial costs would be funded through the Office Equipment Replacement Fund. The Office Equipment Replacement Fund has \$17,760 of reserves designated for finance software implementation. The funds were originally designated back in 2014 for the initial implementation of Springbrook Software.

Currently, the City has four Springbrook modules which include Finance Suite, Payroll, Accounts Receivable, and Utility Billing. The subscription fee for those modules total \$25,572 in 2021 and is funded through the Finance Department General Fund budget.

Springbrook's Human Resources module is a comprehensive solution to manage all employee information. Reporting capabilities meet all OSHA, FMLA, and EEOC requirements well as other human resource demands faced by governmental entities today.

- Applicant Screening evaluate and hire internal and external applicants
- Bulk Deductions apply multiple benefits and deductions to multiple employee records
- COLA adjust pay step amounts to apply cost of living adjustments
- Open Enrollment set up and maintain deduction and benefit enrollment cycles for employees
- Pay Adjustments update employee grade, step, and pay schedule information
- Personnel Action Forms create and maintain customized forms used to guide employees through various HR processes
- Web Approvals approve or reject changes or requests submitted by employees through Employee Self Service
- Reporting generate reports and display HR data
- Maintenance/Utilities create and maintain HR units and execute specialized HR tools

In 2022, a budget increase of roughly \$6,060 would be required to continue funding the Springbrook subscriptions with the addition of the Human Resources Management Module.

RECOMMENDATION

Please consider the approval of the implementation of the Springbrook Human Resources Management Module.

ATTACHMENTS

Item 2B, Attachment Springbrook Human Resources Management Module Pricing and Implementation.pdf



Order Form Lino Lakes, MN - City of 02-01-2021

Lino Lakes MN - HR Module pricing



Dear Meg,

Here is the pricing for the HR Module as requested. The service hours are billed just for the hours used (in the event all 35 hours are not necessary).

All of us at Springbrook are working to provide the best possible solutions for your agency and your citizens.

Our goal is nothing short of your 100% satisfaction.

Thank you for being a valued customer.

Sincerely,

Hope Sampson

Hope Sampson

Annual Product Pricing

Item Name	Rate	Quantity	Net Price
Human Resources Management Subscription	\$5,200.00	1	\$5,200.00
	Products	Total Net Price	\$5,200.00

Estimated Professional Services Pricing

Item Name	Rate	Description	Quantity	Net Price
Standard Professional Services	\$179.00	Human Resources Implementation and Training	35	\$6,265.00
		Estimated Professional Services Tota	l Net Price	\$6,265.00

	Grand Total: \$11,465.00
--	--------------------------

Order Detail

	General Information
Customer Name:	Lino Lakes, MN - City of
Customer Contact:	Meg Sawyer
Customer Address:	600 Town Center Pkwy, Lino Lakes, Minnesota, United States, 55014
Governing Agreement(s):	This Order Form is governed by the applicable terms found at: MSA: <u>https://sprbrk.box.com/v/sprbrk-saas-terms</u> MLA: <u>https://sprbrk.app.box.com/v/sprbrk-onpremise-terms</u> Professional Services: <u>https://sprbrk.app.box.com/v/sprbrk-svcs-terms</u>
Term(s):	3 year

0	rder Terms
Items Ordered	Order Start Date
Professional Services Orders	Date of the last signature on the Order Form
Software Licenses, Subscriptions, Maintenance and Hosting (New)	The earlier of a) date of delivery** of software or log-in to hosted software to Customer or b) 60 days after last signature on the Order Form
Software Licenses, Subscriptions, Maintenance and Hosting (Renewal)	The day after expiration of your last order of the same product
Special Order Terms	None

**The date of delivery of software to the Customer is the date the software is made available to the customer, either by delivery of software or delivery of first log-in to a hosted environment, which may be either a test or production environment. This date of delivery is frequently earlier than the dates professional services are completed, the Customer completes user acceptance testing, the Customer distributes additional log-ins to end users, and the Customer go-live in a production environment.

Order Duration

The Order Duration is defined as follows:

- Any Software Licenses or Hardware are one-time, non-refundable purchases.
- Subscriptions, Maintenance, Hosting and Support ("Recurring Services") continue from the Order Start Date through the term listed in this Order Form (or if not listed, 1 year).
- Orders for Recurring Services auto-renew unless the Customer or Springbrook provides a written notice of non-renewal at least sixty (60) days' before the end of the Order Term
- Subscription Service fees and any other recurring fees will be subject to an automatic annual increase by not more than five percent (5%) of the prior year's Subscription Service fees ("Standard Annual Price Increase").

Invoice Timing and Delivery

Invoices are delivered electronically via e-mail to the billing contact on file for the Customer. Customer invoices are issued for the full amount of software and services purchased as follows:

Items Ordered	Invoice Timing
Professional Services Orders	Monthly for services in the prior month*
Software Licenses and Hardware	Customer signature on Order Form
Subscriptions, Maintenance and Hosting (New)	Customer signature on Order Form
Software Licenses, Subscriptions, Maintenance and Hosting (Renewal)	60 days in advance of the Order Start Date
CivicPay Transactions	Monthly for transactions in the prior month

*Professional Services pricing is based on expected hours using Springbrook's standard implementation methodology. Actual hours and billings may vary from this estimate. Please note that only when project costs exceed \$5,000 of this estimate, a signed change order will be required to continue work – changes under \$5,000 will continue to be delivered and billed accordingly.

s	pecial Order Terms
Special Order Terms	None
In the event of an inconsistency betwee	en this Order Form, any governing
agreement, purchase order, or invoice,	the Order Form shall govern as it pertains to this
transaction.	

	Payment Terms
Payment Terms	Net 30
Special Invoicing Terms	None
Billing Contact	Hannah Lynch
Billing Email	hlynch@linolakes.us
If the Customer requires a P number and a copy of the P invoice issuance date, invoic	O number on invoices, Customer must provide Springbrook with the PO O prior to invoice issuance. If a PO number is not provided prior to the ces issued on this Order Form will be valid without a PO reference.
PO# (If required):	

WORK SESSION STAFF REPORT Work Session Item No. 3

Date:	March 1, 2021
To:	City Council
From:	Hannah Lynch, Finance Director
Re:	Easy CIP Software

Background

Easy CIP is a cloud-based application that aims to centralize, facilitate, plan, track, and report to simplify the Capital Improvement Planning (CIP) process.

The City currently has three CIP processes/documents; the Community Development 5year Capital Plan, the Capital Equipment Plan, and the enterprise CIP which will be formalized as part of the Utility Rate Study. Each of these processes are manual and come together in separate excel spreadsheets.

Easy CIP would allow for a more standard and collaborative CIP process. After a project is entered into the software, reports and project details can be pulled in a variety of ways at the click of a button.

A detailed overview of Easy CIP is attached. The company set up a free Proof of Concept (POC) for the City of Lino Lakes which I have used to tailor the application to our needs as well as enter in our projects. I will preview our data in the POC during the work session.

The base price of the application is \$2,500/year which includes 1 user. Additional users can be added at \$500/year. Unlimited technical support is included within the price. The amount designated for finance software in the Office Equipment Replacement fund could cover the cost for roughly 2 years. After that, the annual fee would require General Fund budget dollars.

Requested Council Direction

Consideration of moving forward with Easy CIP to facilitate the CIP process.

Attachments

Easy CIP Overview

Easy CIP Overview

Dreading Capital Improvement Program preparation this time of the year? We can help!

About Easy CIP

We started the Easy CIP journey because we were there and understood the tedious and manual process of planning for Capital Improvement Programs (CIPs). We've always believed that there has to be an **easier way** than emailing Excel spreadsheets and Word files back and forth. Easy CIP is our mission realized, a **cloud-based application** that is mobile compatible to centralize, facilitate, plan, track, and report to **simplify your CIP process**.

Features

We have a standard base setup that we use from our understanding and experience, however, we understand that different jurisdictions have different needs. Here are some features that set us apart:

- Highly configurable, we'll work with you to customize configurations for your needs
- Cloud-based hosted by secure Amazon Web Services (AWS)
- Highly mobile compatible so you have access to your data anywhere
- Easy and intuitive interface designed to keep it simple for all of your people
- Affordable and does not require implementation cost, just your time
- Easy cross-departmental collaboration
- Print all project sheets with a single click of a button
- Pre-built Excel report templates connected to the application. No more manual report preparation
- Customized high-level dashboards with drill-down capability
- Free webpage that can list all projects for your residents/citizens to give instant visibility to **live project information**. Can also be embedded into your website!

🛗 Phase Calendar 🛛 🖨 Print Area	Active Projects C Das Administration - O	hboard Reports	→ → CIPs ♥ P	roject Map	
ashboard > All Funds			Logged in as (hris Mills - Account	Settings - Log Or
Project Category	2020	2021 50	2022 \$120.000	2023	2024 \$0
bunung					
Capital Equipment	\$2,000	\$6,000	\$15,000	\$24,000 \$24,000	\$40,000
Capital Equipment Parks Technology	\$2,000 \$50,000 \$20,000	\$6,000 \$50,000 \$5,000	\$15.000 \$250.000 \$0	\$24,000 \$24,000 \$0	\$40,000 \$10,000 \$0







Support

We believe in Easy CIP and our mission so we **fully support and maintain your configuration** of Easy CIP. You can expect mostly **same day responses** and quick turn-around time on requested features and add-ons. Bottom line is we want **you** to be successful.



Chris Mills Easy CIP Co-Founder (w) 650-649-7135 (m) 559 765 7014



www.easycip.com

Schedule a Demo to Discuss!

www.easycip.com/contact-us

Detailed Overview of Easy CIP

Home Page - Shows Projects you have submitted and allows you to add a new project.

ALPINETX							
🕈 Home 🖪 A	I Active Projects 🚺 🚱 Dashboard	Reports 🕶 🔍 Project Map 🔒 Print Area 🛇 CIPs 🛛 Administration 🕶 🚱 Instructions					
				Print Log	ged in as Chris Mills	- Account Settin	igs - <u>Log Ou</u>
This Home page show 1. To add a project, of 2. Click on the Project + Add Project My Projects	s you all projects you've created: dick on " Add Project " and fill out required tt links and add Financial Information	l information on the form.					
search by keyword	search						
Showing 1-7 of 7	Export Y Add filters					50 per pag	je v
Project Number	Project Name	Project Description	5-Year Funding	5-Year Expense	Funding Delta	Priority Score	Image
Capital Street Progr	am						
CIPCON00003	Ramp Pavement Rehabilitation	The ramp serves the general aviation traffic and based aircraft at the Airport. PCI values taken from the 2016 Pavement Evaluation Report range between 68-85 for the ramp. Construction was conducted in 1999 and 2001 on the ramp and it is approaching view more	\$5,000,000	\$0	\$5,000,000	0.00	1ª
CIPSFTW0002	Taxiway F & Runway 7/25 Rehabilitation	Runway 7-25 and Taxiway F are showing various pavement distresses. A rehabilitation project in FY2013 addressed the major cracks and distress of these pavements. However, a more comprehensive reconstruction project is necessary to bring the pavement <u>view more</u>	\$15,510,000	\$0	\$15,510,000	0.00	2
CIPKF4142020001	Taxiway D & B Rehabilitation	Taxiway D & B are heavily used taxiways on the Airport and a recent pavement condition report rated the pavement as "serious/failed". To address this concern, a significant rehabilitation is required on Taxiway B & D along with taxiway edge I view more	\$2,826,667	\$0	\$2,826,667	0.00	
CIPSTR00005	Vehicle Replacement Program	The Vehicle replacement program assesses the replacement and maintenance cost of each type of equipment to determine the most cost- effective time to replace it. Several major factors are considered when replacement is reviewed. Miles, hours and age o view more	\$2,041,000	\$0	\$1,991,000	0.00	No.
		Total - Capital Street Program	\$25,377,667	\$0	\$25,327,667		
SYSTEM REHABILIT	ATION PROGRAM						
12345678	Dayton, Derby, Frieda Water Main Replacement	Replace steel mains that have out lived their usefulness. Leak repair on existing 2" steel mains is a major operational expense. This project will require the replacement of approximately 1,100 feet of 2" steel water mainline on Dayton Street between view more	\$850,000	\$30,000	\$850,000	0.00	take .
		Total - SYSTEM REHABILITATION PROGRAM	\$850,000	\$30,000	\$850,000		

Project Details - View information about the project and view all of the project details.



Brett Way Extension

5-Year Funding Total \$110,000

System Optimization Program

year period.

Council DistrictWard 1Project
DescriptionThe project will extend Brett Way from its current termination point at Summers Lane over to Homedale Road aligning itself
with Airway Drive. In addition to the roadway extension, Summers Lane will be cul-de-sac'd on either side of the railroad
tracks and a signal will be installed at the intersection of Homedale and the South Side Bypass. The signal may not be
immediately installed but once warrants are met, it will be placed. The project rose to the top of ODOT's STIP process and
was supported by the South Central Oregon Area Commission on Transportation (SCOACT). The project is estimated at just
over \$4 million with ODOT paying for the project and the City and County providing the necessary matching funds. The
City's share comes to \$150,000 and the
County will pay \$300,000.There have been concerns raised by ODOT related to increased traffic at Summers Lane as a result of continued
development of the industrial and business park near the airport. This project will alleviate those concerns and help to
address safety at the Summers/South Side Bypass intersection which has seen 24 crashes, 28 injuries and 1 fatality over a 9-



Project Financial Information - The system can store various financial data including but not limited to Funding Sources and Expenditure.

	ETX						
# Home	B All Active Projects O Dashboard	Reports 🕶 👂 Proje	ct Map 🔒 Print Are	a 🛛 😧 CIPs 🛛 Ad	ministration 👻	O Instructions	
All Active Pr	rojects > Project Details > Financial Info						
≡ Genera	al Information 🗵 Project Criteria 🔟 Financ	cial Info 🔮 Resource	es 🗠 Priority Matrix	🗎 Phases 🛭 🧠	Comments		
Brett	Way Extension						
Fundin	ng Delta - if using expenditure	S					
\$100,0	000						
+ Add Fu	unding Detail g Details						
+ Add Fu Funding Edit	g Details Funding Source	Prior	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
+ Add Fu Funding Edit @ edit	g Details Funding Source Federal/State	Prior	2020 \$0 \$50,000	2021 \$50,000	2022 \$0	2023	2024
+ Add Fu Funding Edit @ edit @ edit	Unding Detail Details Funding Source Federal/State Bond	Prior	2020 S0 S50,000 S0 S10,000	2021 \$50,000 \$0	2022 S0 S0	2023 \$0 \$0	2024
+ Add Fu Funding Edit @ edit @ edit	Junding Detail g Details Funding Source Federal/State Bond	Prior 70tal	2020 S0 S550,000 S0 S10,000 S0 S60,000	2021 \$50,000 \$0 \$50,000	2022 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	2023 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	2024
+ Add Fu Funding Edit @ edit Optional Co	Details Funding Source Federal/State Bond ponfigured Areas	Prior Total	2020 \$0 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$10,000 \$60,000	2021 \$50,000 \$0 \$50,000	2022 \$0 \$0 \$0	2023 50 50 50	2024
+ Add Fu Funding Edit @ edit @ edit Optional Co + Add Pr	anding Detail g Details Funding Source Federal/State Bond Bond Bond Bond Bond Bond Bond Bond	Prior Total	2020 \$0 \$50,000 \$0 \$10,000 \$0 \$60,000	2021 \$50,000 \$0 \$50,000	2022 \$0 \$0 \$0	2023 50 50 \$0	2024
+ Add Fu Funding Edit edit edit optional Co + Add Pr Expense	anding Detail g Details Funding Source Federal/State Bond Figured Areas roject Expenditure e Details	Prior Total	2020 S0 \$50,000 S0 \$10,000 S0 \$60,000	2021 \$50,000 \$0 \$50,000	2022 \$0 \$0 \$0	2023 \$0 \$0 \$0	2024
+ Add Fu Funding Edit edit edit Optional Co + Add Pr Expense Edit	e Details	Prior Total Prior	2020 50 550,000 50 510,000 50 560,000	2021 \$50,000 \$0 \$50,000	2022 50 50 \$0 2022	2023 50 50 50 2023	2024

Project Dashboard - Quick view of all of the important CIP data you have on hand. Automatically updates live as projects get edited.

ALPINE	ТХ							
# Home	All Active Projects	C Dashboard	Reports 🕶	♀ Project Map	🔒 Print Area	O CIPs	Administration -	O Instructions
Dashboard	All Funds							

5-Year Capital Improvement Costs

Cost per Year by Category

All

Project Category	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Building Services	\$0	\$0	\$120,000	\$50,000	\$0
Capital Equipment	\$2,000	\$6,000	\$15.000	\$24,000	\$40,000
Parks	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$250,000	\$24,000	\$10,000
Technology	\$20,000	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$72,000	\$61,000	\$385,000	\$98,000	\$50,000

4

5-Year by Fund



Number of Projects by Category



Project Reports - Council Example - Specific Views tailored to your data with various report styles.

ALPINETX							
🛪 Home 🖪 All Active Pro	ojects 🕼 Dashboard 🛛 Reports 🔻 🔍 Project Map 🔒 Print Area 🕒 CIPs 🛛 Administration 👻 🕥	Instructions					
		1					Logged in as Chris Mill
Projects by Council	Districts						
search by keyword sea	rch						
Showing 1-7 of 7 T Add filters							
Project Number	Project Name	2019 Funding	2020 Funding	2021 Funding	2022 Funding	2023 Funding	5-Year Funding Total
All							
CIPSTR00005	Vehicle Replacement Program		\$424,000	\$169,000	\$504,000	\$394,000	\$2,041,000
12345678	Dayton, Derby, Frieda Water Main Replacement	50	\$425,000	\$425,000	\$0	\$0	\$850,000
	Sum - All	\$0	\$849,000	\$594,000	\$504,000	\$394,000	\$2,891,000
Ward 1							
CIPCON00003	Ramp Pavement Rehabilitation		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,000,000
CIPSFTW0002	Taxiway F & Runway 7/25 Rehabilitation		\$160,000	\$1,280,000	\$8,425,000	\$5,645,000	\$15,510,000
CIPKF4142020001	Taxiway D & B Rehabilitation		\$210,000	\$2,616,667	\$0	so	\$2,826,667
CIPSTR00004	Brett Way Extension		\$60,000	\$50,000	\$0	\$0	\$110,000
CIPSTR00006	Center Reservoir Replacement	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,500,000	\$2,000,000	\$6,500,000
	Sum - Ward 1	\$0	\$430,000	\$4,946,667	\$9,925,000	\$7,645,000	\$29,946,667
	Sum	\$0	\$1,279,000	\$5,540,667	\$10,429,000	\$8,039,000	\$32,837,667

Project Header Report











Project Map - Display your project by locations on an interactive map.



Project Print View - We have created an easy way to print a page to pdf or to a printer. You can use one of our easy layouts for print view.

ALPINETX									Print		1 page	
# Home Ph All Active Projects C Dashbo	Demo Ci	ity/Cour	nty/Utili	ty					-			
Print Area > Projects > Project Sheet	Capit	al Improveme	ent Plan						Destination	Save as PDF	*	Logged in a
	Dayton, Derby, Frieda Water Mai	n Repla	cement						Pages	All		
Project Sheets 10-Year Project Sheets 🔀 Cre	Project Number 12345678					- 25	1. 1. 1.		rages	All	•	
	Accounting Unit	100	Ster Part	and the	MARCHINE MARCH	120			Lavout	Portrait	_	
	Department	st;					H. S.		Layour	1 Ordan		
Deuten Deuku Friede Weter N	CIP Chapter	1	# A	A 214		and the state						
Dayton, Derby, Frieda Water W	5-Year Expense Total \$30,000		25.2	a series and s			-		More settings		~	
Designabilities 102/2020	5-Year Funding Total \$850,000	0.00	The second		Car See Harris	and a						
Accounting Unit			+									
Accounting Unit												
CD Charter			21	La series			100					
CIP Chapter					a contraction							
F Vere Support Table 520.000				CONTROL OF	-	ALC: THE						
5-Year Expense Total 550,000	Project Description											
	project will require the replacement of approximately 1,100 Avenue and Sharata Way and will replace approximately 1.00 Summers Lane. This project was previously 2 separate projec accommodate construction scheduling and Kharuht County project to be completed in a single construction season. Con maintenance costs and will provide for adequate fire flow res Project Justification .	feet of 2" steel 0 feet of 2" steel cts, however, in road chip seal mpletion of thi quirements in	I water mainlin sel water main n an effort to r I schedules, th is project will r the area.	e on Dayton 5 on Frieda bet educe constru ey have been educe unacco	Street betwe ween Dayto uction costs a combined in unted for wa	en Frieda n Street and and to bette ito a single ater losses a	i r					
	Funding Source	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	5-Year					
	Fees	\$425,000	\$425,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$850,000					-
Project Description	Total	\$425,000	\$425,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$850,000					f 2° steel wate
Street and Summers Lane. This project was previously 2	Expenditure Type	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	5-Year					ompletion of
unaccounted for water losses and maintenance costs an	Construction	\$10,000	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000					
Project Justification	Total	\$10,000	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000					
Funding Source												2024
Fees										Pawa	Canool	\$0
										Save	Cancer	\$0
Expenditure Type					2020			2021	2022	2023		2024
Construction							\$10,0	00	\$20,000	\$0		\$0

Project Easy View - Embed example -You can embed a page into a website and/or just use a url to display all projects to guests and visitors.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLANNING - MADE EASY	IP Home H	ow About Features Pricing Demo Now
		Print
CIP Introduc	tion	
Our CIP Program he that provide services rehabilitate will likel	ps enhance the overall quality of life in the City by improving the to the community. CIP projects are generally large and expensive, y be required for decades of public use.	physical structures, systems, and facilities and the assets they install, replace, or
Projects		
search by keyword	search	
Showing 1-9 of 9 Curb, Gutt	Export Y Add filters	25 per page ~ Engineering
Showing 1-9 of 9 Curb, Gutt Description	Export T Add filters	25 per page ∨ Engineering
Showing 1-9 of 9 Curb, Gutt Description Justification	Export ▼ Add filters Cer & Sidewalk The non-assessable portion is for city sidewalks, alley approaches, and curb & gutter. Emphasis will shift primarily to curb & gutter once the entire city-owned sidewalk is repaired. Tree removal is also included in this project. This is an annual program to replace the hazardous sidewalks. The assessable portion will be assessed to homeowners to repair their sidewalks.	25 per page ∨ Engineering
Showing 1-9 of 9 Curb, Gutt Description Justification Total Cost	 Export Add filters Cer & Sidewalk The non-assessable portion is for city sidewalks, alley approaches, and curb & gutter. Emphasis will shift primarily to curb & gutter once the entire city-owned sidewalk is repaired. Tree removal is also included in this project. This is an annual program to replace the hazardous sidewalks. The assessable portion will be assessed to homeowners to repair their sidewalks. \$300,000.00 	25 per page ∨ Engineering
Showing 1-9 of 9 Curb, Gutt Description Justification Total Cost Type	Export Add filters Export Add filters Export Sidewalk The non-assessable portion is for city sidewalks, alley approaches, and curb & gutter. Emphasis will shift primarily to curb & gutter once the entire city-owned sidewalk is repaired. Tree removal is also included in this project. This is an annual program to replace the hazardous sidewalks. The assessable portion will be assessed to homeowners to repair their sidewalks. S300,000.00 Assessment	25 per page ∨ Engineering
Showing 1-9 of 9 Curb, Gutt Description Justification Total Cost Type Phase	 Export Add filters Cert & Sidewalk The non-assessable portion is for city sidewalks, alley approaches, and curb & gutter. Emphasis will shift primarily to curb & gutter once the entire city-owned sidewalk is repaired. Tree removal is also included in this project. This is an annual program to replace the hazardous sidewalks. The assessable portion will be assessed to homeowners to repair their sidewalks. S300,000.00 Assessment Planning/Design 	25 per page → Engineering

Project Excel Export - We work with you to create an excel document that connects to the database. It is as easy as clicking a button and then refreshing data to get the latest information down to an excel format that you are used to using.



AutoSave 💽 🗄 🏷	C ⁴ ~ ∓	scottcountyreportstemplate (1).xlsx 👻	D Search			
File Home Insert I	Page Layout Formulas	Data Review View Help				
Cut	bri ~ 11 ~ A	A^ A [×] = = = ≫ × ab Wrap Text General	Normal Bad	Good		
Paste Copy ~			←0 .00 Conditional Format as Calculation	ck Cell Explanatory		
🗸 ổ Format Painter		= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	¹⁰⁰ →0 Formatting × Table ×			
Clipboard 🛛	Font	د Alignment د ا	لوا	Styles		
SECURITY WARNING Externa	al Data Connections have bee	en disabled Enable Content				
J34 🔹 : 🗙 🗸	fx					
Dement Dementer		Scott County M	linnasata			
Report Parameters		Scott County, M	linnesota			
Type 🛛 🎽 🏹		Capital Improveme	ent Program			
		All				
Expense		PROJECTS & FUNDING SOL	IRCES BY CATEGORY			
Funding		Category:				
		62	573			
Category 🗧 🔽	Year	Project Name	Department	Project Cost		
	2020					
Building	CSFIBER	Fiber	ADM-Administration	80,000		
Capital Equipment	CSGEN21819A	Aerial Photos	CS-Surveyor's Office	45,000		
Parks	CSGEN21820A	Oblique Aerial Photo	CS-Surveyor's Office	105,000		
	CTCLEARYRECON01	Cleary Lake Maintenance Facility Replacement	PRM-Parks and Trails	350,000		
Technology	CTGBLAKEREST02	Blakeley Bluffs Ravine Stablization Phase 1	PRM-Parks and Trails			
	HHS2019-02	Document Management for Social Services and Employment/Training	HHS-Social Services	200,000		
Project Year 🛛 🗧 🏹 🛛	OMB2019-02	CRP (Capital Replacement Program) for IT	OMB-Information Technology	1,773,219		
2020	PARKS16016	Murphy-Hanrehan Park Reserve - Sealcoat	PRM-Parks and Trails			
	PRM2019-04	Cedar Lake Farm Shop Fencing	PRM-Parks and Trails	-		
2021	PRM2019-08	Cleary Lake Regional Park Master Plan Update	PRM-Parks and Trails	2		
2022	PRM2019-09	Regional Trail Master Plans	PRM-Parks and Trails			
2022	PWCP99-02	Advanced Traffic Mgmt System	Transp-Program Support	30		
2025	SHMHZ19	Radio Replacement	SO-Sheriff Communications	444,108		
2024	SHRF1601	Sheriff Body/Squad Cameras	SO-Sheriff Operations			
	SYSSECACCESS01	Security Access Control System Upgrade	PRM-Facilities Management	-		
	SYSWORKSTAC18	Annual Device Rotation	OMB-Information Technology	1,950,000		
	VFJC18502	Technology in the Courtroom	PRM-Facilities Management	129,000		
	VFLEC11004	LEC Split AC/HVAC	PRM-Facilities Management	-		
	VFLEC11006	LEC Courtroom Improvements	PRM-Facilities Management	-		
	VFWFC14002	WFC Roof Replacement	PRM-Facilities Management	29		
	WCIP201901	Fleet Improvement Program	Transp-Fleet and Transit Services	4,637,892		
	ZPRMAP001	LOP Project Management	CS-Property and Taxation Services			
	2020 Total			9,714,219		
	2021					
	ADM1501	Board Room Audio System	ADM-Administration	27,800		
	RIP2021-20	Radio Tourer HVAC Ungrade	PRM-Facilities Management	03 000		
Overview Dash	board FS Summary	Project by Year Funding by Category Cash Flow +)	1 4		

AutoSave 💽 🕅 📙	AutoSave 💽 🗄 りゃ 🖓 🗢 マ scottcountyreportstemplate (1).xlsx ・									
File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View Help PivotTable Analyze Design										
Cut	Cambria ~ 1	1 → A* A* = = =	≡ 🗞 → 👌 Wrap Text	General	-		Normal	Bad	Good	Neutra
Paste ~ 🎸 Format Painter	B I <u>U</u> ∽ ⊞ ∽	<u>◇ - A</u> - ≡ ≡	≡ \Xi \Xi 🛱 Merge & Center	· \$ ~ % 9 🛗	.00 Conditional →0 Formatting ~	Format as Table ~	Calculation	Check Cell	Explanatory	Input
Clipboard 🛛	Font	لوا	Alignment	Number	r <u>s</u>			Styles		
U SECURITY WARNING External Data Connections have been disabled Enable Content										
C8 💌 : 🗙	C8 ▼ : × √ fx Type									

Report Parameters

Туре	¥∃ S¥	
Expense		
Funding		
FY	š= \7	Funding Sou
2020	2021	Dedicated Fun
2022	2023	Department Federal/State
2024	2025	Fees
2026	2027	Levy
2028	2029	Grand

Scott County, Minnesota

Capital Improvement Program

2020 through 2024

FUNDING SOURCE SUMMARY

Funding Source	× .	2020		2021	2022	2023	2024	(Grand Total
Bond	\$	14	. \$	10,858,000	\$ 6,954,754	\$ 121	\$ 2	\$	17,812,754
Dedicated Funds		15	8	424,500	2,429,500	647,900	28,500		3,530,400
Department		14	33	517,500	499,500	894,500	894,500		2,806,000
Federal/State		100	8	203,000	1,186,370	412,688	115,000		1,917,058
Fees		12	3	184,500	122,500	17,500	17,500		342,000
Levy		10	8	2,808,331	3,254,639	2,451,585	2,893,819		11,408,374
Grand Total	\$	1	\$	14,995,831	\$ 14,447,263	\$ 4,424,173	\$ 3,949,319	\$	37,816,586

Word Export - We work with you to make a word template so all of the projects can be exported to Microsoft Word with the click of a button.

{{#each headertable}}

{{field1}} Improvement Program 2020 through 2024

Project # {{	[field2}}: {{field3}}
	CC 11433

Department:	{{field4}}
Contact:	{{field5}}
Project Type:	{{field6}}
Useful Life:	{{field7}}
Status:	{{field9}}

Project Description: {{field10}}

Project Justification: {{field11}}

Funding Sources	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	Total
{{#each fundtable}}	1	14	12	19	22	1
{{field31}}	{{field32}}	{{field33}}	{{field34}}	{{field35}}	{{field36}}	{{field37}}
{{/each}}	•			*		
Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

Expenditures	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	Total
{{#each expensetable}}			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2
{{field12}}	{{field13}}	{{field14}}	{{field15}}	{{field16}}	{{field17}}	{{field18}}
{{/each}}			· · · · ·			
Tota	1 \$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

WORK SESSION STAFF REPORT Work Session Item No. 4

Date:	March 1st, 2021
To:	City Council
From:	Andy Nelson, Environmental Coordinator Jessica Eller, Community Development Intern
Re:	Smart Irrigation Controller Program

Background

This program was discussed at the February 1st, 2021 Work Session. At that time, Council requested that more information be presented.

Fresh water is a finite resource. In our area, over 60% of water usage for a typical home is used for outdoor irrigation during the growing season. Of that water use, roughly 50% is wasted on overwatering, evaporation, and non-target watering. Wasted fresh water could easily reach 150 gallons per house per day. One of the most powerful and easy to implement options to improve our water conservation efforts in the City involves increasing the efficiency of outdoor irrigation. Improving irrigation efficiency will reduce water consumption in the City without negatively impacting quality of life.

Smart irrigation controllers have been found to reduce water use by up to 50%. Installation of 100 controllers could save up to 2.2 million gallons a year, representing nearly 2 days of water use for the entire city. If smart irrigation controllers were installed at all addresses currently connected to municipal water, it could lead to a 20% savings on yearly water pumped in the entire City. This could lead to significant cost savings on infrastructure.

Currently, high manganese content in the municipal water supply makes water conservation even more important. If the City's water needs can be met through operating fewer wells, wells that have higher manganese levels can be utilized less or left offline, which will lead to higher quality water being supplied to residents.

As part of the City's water conservation efforts, staff is proposing to implement a smart irrigation controller purchase program. \$20,000 was budgeted for this program in the 2021 Water Utility budget.

Rachio 3 Smart Irrigation Controller Details:

- Smart irrigation controllers can reduce water use by up to 50%.
- Irrigation system is controlled through a smart phone app and home wi-fi. App allows users to easily make adjustments to watering zones, schedules, and controller settings. App updates for Apple and Android are ongoing.
- Installation takes less than 30 minutes and matches the existing wiring on homeowner's current irrigation controller.
- Rachio app monitors local weather and adjusts irrigation run times accordingly.
- Watering is customized to account for plant types, soil types, slope, and evaporation to ensure that plants are only getting as much water as they need.
- Controllers have a 2 year warranty.
- Controllers typically have a 10-15 year lifespan.

Program Details:

- 1. The City will purchase 100 controllers at \$189.99 each, using money from the Water Utility Fund.
- 2. These controllers will be available to residents on a first-come first-served basis at the discounted rate of \$38 each (20% of the cost).
- 3. We can compare water usage for properties that participate in the program to measure the program's impact.
- 4. Program will be available for water utility customers that have an existing irrigation system and will be limited to one controller per address.

The Environmental Board recommended implementation of the Smart Irrigation Controller program at their January 27, 2021 meeting.

Requested Council Direction

Staff is requesting City Council direction to implement the Smart Irrigation Controller program.

Attachments

Item 4A, Draft Application for Smart Irrigation Controller



Smart Irrigation Controller Application



Lino Lakes City Hall • 600 Town Center Parkway, Lino Lakes, MN 55014 • (651) 982-2400 Hours: Monday – Friday, 8:00 am – 4:30 pm

Applicant:		FOR OFFICAL OFFICE USE ONLY		
Property Address:		Staff Approval:		
City: State: Zip:		Date:		
Phone:		Irrigation Number:		
Cell:		Cach $\ddot{\mathbf{V}}$ OD Chack $\ddot{\mathbf{V}}$		
Email:		Cash: y OR Check: y		
Are you connected to City Water? Yes: ÿ	No: ÿ	Check Number: Receipt Number:		
My signature indicates that the information pr and that I comply with the City of Lino Lakes pr Lakes to verify the installation if requested.	ovided is true, I have rea rogram requirements. I v	nd and understood the program guidelines, vill allow a representative of the City of Lino		
Signature:		Date:		
ELIGIBILITY		GUIDELINES		

WS – Item 5

WORK SESSION STAFF REPORT Work Session Item No. 5

Date: March 1, 2021

To: City Council

From: Rick DeGardner, Public Services Director

Re: Outdoor Reader Boards

Background

There has been some interest expressed regarding the installation of outdoor reader boards at Fire Station #1, Fire Station #2, and Lake Drive/Town Center Pkwy.

Section 1010.006 of the City Code regulates dynamic display (electronic) signs. For example, the message portion is limited to 20 square feet.

Project costs vary widely, depending on type of message board and aesthetic enhancements. Attached are examples from North St. Paul and Eden Prairie along with estimated total project costs.

Potential funding sources for 2021 include the <u>Cable TV and Communications Fund</u>. Beyond 2021, alternative funding sources can be identified and discussed as part of the budget discussions.

Requested Council Direction

For informational purposes

Attachments

Chapter 1010.006 Dynamic Display Sign Regulations North St. Paul Reader Board Eden Prairie Reader Board

§ 1010.006 DYNAMIC DISPLAY SIGN REGULATIONS.

(1) Studies show that there is a correlation between dynamic displays on signs and the distraction of highway drivers. Distraction can lead to traffic accidents. Drivers can be distracted not only by a changing message, but also by knowing that the sign has a changing message. Drivers may watch a sign waiting for the next change to occur. Drivers are also distracted by messages that do not tell the full story in one look. People have a natural desire to see the end of the story and will continue to look at the sign in order to wait for the end. Additionally, drivers are more distracted by special effects used to change the message, such as fade-ins and fade-outs. Finally, drivers are generally more distracted by messages that are too small to be clearly seen or that contain more than a simple message. Time and temperature signs appear to be an exception to these concerns because the messages are short, easily absorbed and become inaccurate without frequent changes.

(2) In addition to safety concerns, light pollution creates negative impacts, particularly in rural areas and residential neighborhoods of the community. The city has adopted regulations of exterior lighting intended to reduce light pollution. Dynamic displays are by nature very bright and often are installed on highway signs, many of which are in rural and residential areas in Lino Lakes.

(3) In conclusion, the city finds that any sign containing, in whole or in part, a dynamic display, except signs solely displaying the time and/or temperature or similar, should not be allowed in any district except as otherwise set forth in this chapter.

(4) *Dynamic display signs*. In all districts no more than 20 square feet of the allowable sign area provided for in § <u>1010.008</u> shall be used for dynamic display signs, except that in Rural, Residential and Rural - Business Reserve zoning districts dynamic display signs shall only be allowed for non-residential uses.

(Am. Ord. 08-11, passed 4-11-2011; Am. Ord. 09-14, passed 11-10-2014)



EDEN PRAIRIE COMMUNITY CENTER

CLOSED Until Further Notice

3 x8 [0mm] \$45,000 \$50,000

WORK SESSION STAFF REPORT Work Session Item No. 6

Date:	March 1, 2021
То:	City Council
From:	Rick DeGardner, Public Services Director
Re:	Review Recommended 2021 Park and Trails Fund Projects

Background

Pat Huelman, Park Board Chairperson, presented the Park Board's recommended 2021 Park and Trails Fund Projects during the February 1st City Council Work Session.

<u>Renovate Lino Park – (\$240,000)</u>

The open-air shelter was constructed in 1986 and the existing playground equipment was installed in 1998. In addition to replacing the playground equipment and park shelter, creating a landscaped buffer will provide improved separation from the parking lot and the active park area.

The Public Services Director will assume "general contractor" duties, rather than contracting this out to a consulting firm. In addition, Parks Department staff will perform many of the necessary work tasks including removal of the playground equipment and fencing, tree removals, earthwork, draintile installation, erosion control, landscaping installation, and playground equipment installation (along with a certified installer).

Attached is the concept plan for the Lino Park renovation project and information related to park shelter options. Please note aesthetic enhancements (ie. brick work surrounding each column) would cost extra. The proposed playground equipment plan will be available at the March 1 work session.

Replace Clearwater Creek Park Playground Equipment - (\$85,000)

The Clearwater Creek playground was installed in 2002. After evaluating current conditions of all playground structures, along with the amount of use, the consensus was to recommend replacement of the playground equipment at Clearwater Creek Park. The scope of the project consists of the Parks Department removing the existing equipment and safety surfacing, and installing new equipment (with a certified playground installer). Some of the safety surfacing will then be backfilled, along with sufficient new engineered wood fiber. The proposed playground equipment plan will be available at the March 1 work session.
Requested Council Direction

Determine whether to have this item added to March 8, 2021 City Council meeting for consideration.

Attachments

Lino Park Preferred Concept Plan Park Shelter Information – Lino Park



Lino Lakes, Minnesota October 2, 2020 WSB Project number: 016678-000

QUOTATION

To:

City of Lino Lakes



Phone: 651-815-4097

10085 Bridgewater Bay Woodbury, MN 55129 Fax: 414-395-8538 Email: info@northlandrec.com Date: 2/12/21 Contact: **Rick DeGardner** 600 Town Center Parkway 651-982-2444 Lino Lakes, MN 55014 Phone: Email: Rick.DeGardner@ci.lino-lakes.mn.us

	Project:	Poligon Park Shelter		
·		Sourcewell Contract Pricing		
QTY	ITEM	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	EXTENSION
1	Shelter	Poligon Gable Roof Shelter 24' x 34' Includes:		\$45,959.00
		All Steel Frame with Standard Metal Roof 8:12		
		4 Columns - 9'0" Eave Height		
		Sunshine Ornamentation - Both Ends (see page 2)		
		Electrical access with 3 cutouts		
		Poli 5000 Paint System		
		Wind Speed 115 mph / Ground Snow Load 50lb		
		Stamped Engineered Drawings		
		Shipping to Lino Lakes, MN		
		Price is based on surface mount shelter design - see page 2		
		If buried columns are required price will be more expensive		
1	Option	Optional Factory Stained Tongue & Groove		
		Under Decking with Metal Roof ADD		\$6,408.00
		Above prices are for shelter only. Installation concrete footing concrete pad, building permits, special inspections, etc are Not Included. ** Due to rising steel costs - this quote is good for 30 days or	gs, nly **	
Site prepa	artation and re	estoration by others		
		Su	b Total	Above
* Quotation	is valid for	See Above Details Fro	eight	Included
* Freight ba	sed - shipping to	Lino Lakes MN Ta	x - Exempt	Not Included
* Estimated	ship date is	TBD based upon engineered drawing approval TC	DTAL	Above
* Terms bas	ed on	NET 30		
* Orders wi	ll be placed upon	receipt of Purchase Order made out to Northland Recreation or your signed a	cceptance.	
	Quote By:	Sue Ayers		THANK YOU !
	Accepted By:		Date	

NOTES: Poligon shelter listed on this quote will be engineered based on this design below. Internal anchor bolts at finished grade. Other anchor bolt layouts are available but may require additional engineering at an additional cost due to post length needed



Shelter Ornamentation



SUNSHINE ORNAMENTATION



Phone: 651-815-4097

QUOTATION

To:



10085 Bridgewater Bay Woodbury, MN 55129 Fax: 414-395-8538 Email: info@northlandrec.com 2/12/21 Date: Contact: **Rick DeGardner** 600 Town Center Parkway Phone: 651-982-2444 Lino Lakes, MN 55014 Email: Rick.DeGardner@ci.lino-lakes.mn.us

Project: **Poligon Park Shelter**

City of Lino Lakes

Sourcewell Contract Pricing DESCRIPTION UNIT EXTENSION QTY ITEM \$51,957.00 Shelter Poligon Gable Roof Shelter 24' x 34' Includes: 1 --All Steel Frame with Standard Metal Roof 8:12 --4 Columns - 9'0" Eave Height --Truss End with Medallion Ornamentation - Both Ends (page 2) --Electrical access with 3 cutouts --Poli 5000 Paint System --Wind Speed 115 mph / Ground Snow Load 50lb --Stamped Engineered Drawings --Shipping to Lino Lakes, MN --Price is based on surface mount shelter design - see page 2 If buried columns are required price will be more expensive **Optional Factory Stained Tongue & Groove** 1 Option \$6,408.00 Under Decking with Metal Roof ADD Above prices for shelter only. Installation, concrete footings, concrete pad, building permits, special inspections, etc... Included NOT are Not Included. ** Due to rising steel costs - this quote is good for 30 days only ** Site prepartation and restoration by others Sub Total Above Included Freight * Quotation is valid for See Above Details Not Included Tax - Exempt Lino Lakes MN * Freight based - shipping to TOTAL Above TBD based upon engineered drawing approval * Estimated ship date is * Terms based on **NET 30** * Orders will be placed upon receipt of Purchase Order made out to Northland Recreation or your signed acceptance. THANK YOU ! Quote By: Sue Ayers Date: Accepted By:

NOTES: Poligon shelter listed on this quote will be engineered based on this design below. Internal anchor bolts at finished grade. Other anchor bolt layouts are available but may require additional engineering at an additional cost due to post length needed



Shelter Ornamentation





WORK SESSION STAFF REPORT Work Session Item No. 7

Date:	March 1, 2021
To:	City Council
From:	Hannah Lynch, Finance Director
Re:	Utility Rate Overview

Background

On February 22, 2021, the City Council approved Baker Tilly to complete a Utility Rate Study. Many policies, procedures, statutes, and history are involved in utility rates and utility billing. This staff report is intended to give an overview for informational purposes throughout the Utility Rate Study process.

Utility Billing

Residential and commercial customers receive quarterly utility bills. The City is split into three cycles. Each month one cycle's meter reads are obtained and bills are generated. With 5,175 current accounts, roughly 1,500 to 2,000 accounts are included in each cycle.

Meter reads are obtained by a utility employee driving by and electronically obtaining the reads from the smart meters. In order to read more frequently, the City would need to invest in another employee to get the drive-by reads, or infrastructure that could sit on top of a water tower and electronically obtain the reads from the properties in its radius.

Customers can elect e-statements by accessing their account online and selecting that option. If an e-statement has not been elected, bills are printed and mailed by the Utility Billing Clerk.

Current Utility Fees and Charges

Attached are the current utility rates and fees. Ordinance No. 11-13 shows the City's current rates and rate structure. Connection fees are outlined in the City's Fee Schedule.

The rates and fees are deposited into the following funds:

- Water Fund (#601) accounts for the operations of supplying municipal water and for the ongoing maintenance and replacement of existing infrastructure.
 - Funding is provided by *water usage rates*

- Sewer Fund (#602) accounts for the operations of sewage disposal and for the ongoing maintenance and replacement of existing infrastructure. The major expenditure for this fund is the charge paid to Metropolitan Council Environmental Services for sewage treatment.
 - Funding is provided by sewer base and usage rates
- Area and Unit Charge Fund (#406) accounts for new water and sewer infrastructure.
 - Funding is provided by water base rates and utility connection fees

The City Council has established that the cost of deprecation be include in its rates to provide for the maintenance and eventual replacement of system infrastructure. The cash balance of each fund reflects the accumulation of resources for this purpose.

Water Rate Structure

The City's water rate structure is a conservation rate structure. To conserve groundwater, municipal water utilities serving more than 1,000 people must include a "conservation rate structure" or a uniform rate structure combined with other ways to reduce demand for water before requesting approval from the commissioner of Health to construct a public water supply well or requesting an increase in the authorized volume of water appropriation.

The City looked at uniform rate structures in the 2013 Utility Rate Study (attached), but elected to stay with the conservation rate structure. Cities must include an explanation of their conservation rate structure in their water supply plan. Public water suppliers serving more than 1,000 people must update their water supply plan and, upon notification, submit it to the Department of Natural Resources commissioner for approval every 10 years.

Sewer Rate Structure

The City's metered sewer rate includes a base fee plus a usage fee based on winter averaging. Winter averaging is a billing method developed to give you the best possible break on sewer billing costs. This method takes the water consumption used in the winter quarter as the basis for billing sewer charges throughout the year. Customers will not be paying additional sewer charges for warm weather purposes such as watering lawns, washing cars, landscaping, gardening, or filling pools.

Utility Rate Study Consultant

The City received eight proposals from firms to complete the Utility Rate Study. Staff evaluated the firms on a variety of criteria including cost, project schedule, credentials, experience, references, and experience with Minnesota cities. A list of each firm and the cost proposed is included below:

Firm	Cost
Stantec	\$32,050
AE2S Nexus	\$31,750
UFS	\$28,795
Ehlers	\$27,560
GovRates	\$22,100
AEM	\$19,500
Baker Tilly	\$17,730
David Drown	\$12,500

Staff recommended Baker Tilly due to the positive history with the city, experience with many other cities within the metro area, project approach/work plan presented, key personnel assigned to the project, Series 50 qualification, and low cost.

Baker Tilly Scope of Services

Baker Tilly's overall objective is to recommend rates and fees that will equitably recover the cost of providing water and sewer services to customers through fiscal year 2030. Their recommended rates and fees will ensure adequate funding for operating and maintenance costs, and capital needs, while minimizing the impact on rates to the greatest extent possible.

Within their scope two water rate structure scenarios will be evaluated both with and without the assumption of constructing and operating a Water Treatment Plant.

- 1. Current tier structure shown on Utility Rates & Fees attachment
- 2. Revised tier structure Baker Tilly will look at historical consumption and determine if there is a different tier structure that makes more sense (i.e. first tier being 0-10,000 or 0-30,000 instead of 0-20,000 gallons)

If the City Council would like to explore a uniform rate structure scenario, Baker Tilly can provide that service for an additional \$2,200. Please note, the 2013 Utility Rate Study shows that a uniform rate for all users resulted in the highest rate when compared to conservation rate structures.

Requested Council Direction

This staff report was provided mainly for informational purposes, but the Council should consider if the study of a uniform rate structure is desired.

Attachments

- 1. Utility Rates & Fees
- 2. 2013 Utility Rate Study Final Report (Baker Tilly was previously Springstead)

Ordinance No. 11-13

Effective January 1, 2015:

Water Utility Rates

Residential

\$10.00 Per Quarter / REU*, plus \$1.87 Per Thousand Gallons (0 – 20,000 Gal.) \$2.08 Per Thousand Gallons (20,001 – 40,000 Gal.) \$2.60 Per Thousand Gallons (40,001 – 80,000 Gal.) \$3.12 Per Thousand Gallons (80,001 – 120,000 Gal.) \$3.64 Per Thousand Gallons (over 120,000 Gal.)

Non-Residential Domestic

\$10.00 Per Quarter / REU*, plus \$1.87 Per Thousand Gallons (0 – 20,000 Gal.) \$2.08 Per Thousand Gallons (20,001 – 40,000 Gal.) \$2.35 Per Thousand Gallons (over 40,000 Gal.)

Non-Residential Irrigation

\$2.60 Per Thousand Gallons (0 – 40,000 Gal.) \$3.12 Per Thousand Gallons (40,001 – 80,000 Gal.) \$3.64 Per Thousand Gallons (over 80,000 Gal.)

Sewer Utility Rates

Metered Sewer Rate

Flat Sewer Only

\$55.70/Quarter/REU*, plus
\$1.07 Per 1,000 Gallons Over 10,000 Gallons (based on winter
average)
\$65.70/Quarter/REU*

- * REU = a residential equivalent connection:
 - 1. Residential a single housing unit
 - 2. Non-Residential REU = per Met Council Service Availability Charge Procedures Manual

Non-Residential users with irrigation systems that do not have a separate irrigation meter will be charged at residential rates until such time that a separate irrigation meter is installed and inspected.

2021 Fee Schedule

<u>UTILITY</u> <u>FEES</u>

Sewer Utility Rates

Water Utility Rates

To be considered by separate Ordinance, No. 11-13

To be considered by separate Ordinance, No. 11-13 City Trunk Utility Connection Fees - The Trunk Utility Connection Fee consists of two components: a Trunk Charge and an Availability Charge.

Trunk Charge

The trunk charge shall be paid at the time of subdivision approval or at the time of hook-up, whichever is first. Residential trunk charges are based on one unit per dwelling unit. Commercial/Industrial/Institutional (CII) trunk charges are based on a factor of 2.92 units per acre.

Sanitary Sewer	\$ 1,630.00	/Unit
Water	\$ 2,341.00	/Unit

Availability Charge

For residential properties, the availability charge shall be paid at the time of subdivision approval or at the time of hook-up, whichever is first. Commercial/Industrial/Institutional availability charges shall be paid at the time of building permit. Fees are based on the number of sanitary access charge (SAC) units assigned by Metropolitan Council Environmental Services (MCES). Residential uses shall be assigned one unit per dwelling unit unless otherwise noted by MCES.

City Sewer (CSAC)	\$ 1,525.00	/SAC Unit
City Water (CWAC)	\$ 1,473.00	/SAC Unit



Final Report

City of Lino Lakes, Minnesota

Water and Sewer Utility Rate Study Update

January 28, 2013

Table	of	Contents	5

6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	47
	Financial Projections Impact on Sewer Charges	41 43
	Capital Outlay	
	Sewer Lustomer Growin Projections	
	Sewer Rates	
5	SEWER UTILITY	37
	Impact on Water Charges	
	Financial Projections	22
	Capital Outlay	19
	Water Utility Revenue Requirements	17
	Conservation Rate Structure	
	Water Customer Growth Projections	13 13
4		
1		40
	Assumptions	
	Depreciation	
	Cash Reserves	9 11
	Sewer Fund Historical Information	9 o
	Current Water Rate Schedule	7
5	Water Fund Historical Information	6
3	BACKGROUND	
2	INTRODUCTION	5
I		1

-





Springsted Incorporated 380 Jackson Street, Suite 300 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2887

Tel: 651-223-3000 Fax: 651-223-3002 www.springsted.com

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

January 28, 2013

Mr. Al Rolek, Finance Director Mr. Rick DeGardner, Public Services Director City of Lino Lakes 600 Town Center Parkway Lino Lakes, Minnesota 55014-1182

Re: Summary of Water and Sewer Utility Rate Study Update

Dear Mr. Rolek and Mr. DeGardner:

Springsted Incorporated was hired to update the utility rate study for the Water Fund and Sewer Fund of the City of Lino Lakes. Each Fund was looked at individually. This Study includes a review of the past performance of each fund, determines the adequacy of revenues in each of the Funds, and provides rate recommendations which reflects recent cost experience as well as anticipated capital improvement costs of each Fund.

We appreciate the opportunity to conduct the Water and Wastewater Utility Rate Study for the City of Lino Lakes.

Respectfully submitted,

Nick Dragisich Nick Dragisich, Executive Vice President Director, Management Consulting Services *Patty Kettles* Patty Kettles, Vice President

sml

1. Executive Summary

This report was prepared to review the financial performance of the City of Lino Lakes' current Water and Sewer Funds and determine the appropriate rate structure, availability charges, and other revenue needed to adequately fund operations through 2022. The process included a historical review of the two utility funds, the evaluation of the appropriate rate structures needed to fund these operations over the planning period, alternative water rate structures to encourage water demand reduction, connection fees, and a comparison of rates with other similar utilities.

One major consideration in determining the water rates is compliance with Minnesota State Statutes, Section 103G.291. In the 2012 the Minnesota Legislature redefined the water conservation requirements now calling them demand reduction measures. The law now states that "public water suppliers serving more than 1,000 people must encourage water conservation by employing water use demand reduction measures" as opposed to the initial law requiring "a conservation rate structure". The law goes on further to state, "Demand reduction measures must include a conservation rate structure, or a uniform rate structure with a conservation program that achieves demand reduction." It is important to point out that conservation rate structures by themselves do not constitute an effective water conservation/demand reduction program. Rate structures work best when coupled with a comprehensive program. A water demand reduction program should include, at a minimum, a public education program and public assistance program to achieve the reduction in per capita water use envisioned by the City.

In determining the water rates and rate structure options, Springsted reviewed historical water consumption patterns and used this information to recommend alternative rate structures that would promote water conservation.

All recommendations are based on information provided to us and on the assumptions given for the financial projections. The City will need to monitor the performance of each fund and make any necessary adjustments based upon its actual performance and on the actual construction costs of the anticipated capital improvements.

The following conclusions were determined as a result of this study and the financial projections prepared:

- The Water and Sewer Fund's history shows revenues and expenditures, have remained fairly stable over time. Operating income in the Water Fund is projected to decrease from \$324,865 in 2009 to \$217,713 in 2012. Operating income in the Sewer Fund is projected to decline more rapidly from \$70,057 in 2009 to (\$56,275) in 2012, thereby not funding depreciation.
- 2. The City should maintain a minimum cash balance in each Utility fund of at least three months of anticipated operating expenses and one year's debt service at the end of each year. Current and projected cash levels support this recommendation.

- 3. The current Water Availability/Trunk Charge of \$3,854 is adequate to fund projected capital costs through our planning period of 2022. However, should growth be expedited and the need for the new water treatment plant currently projected for 2025 be moved up, we recommend the City revisit this charge to include the capital and associated borrowing costs of this large capital expenditure.
- 4. Water user rates should be set to encourage demand reduction as well as provide for simplicity in understanding. After analyzing current and historical consumption patterns, we have provided four scenarios for water rates. They are:

Scenario 1 – Keep Current Block Structure and Adjust Rates

Residential Rates	2012 Rate per 1,000 Gallons	2013 Rate per 1,000 Gallons
Flat Fee of \$10 per Residential Equivalent Unit (REU)		Same
0 - 20,000 gallons	\$1.80	\$1.84
20,001 – 40,000 gallons	\$2.00	\$2.04
40,001 – 80,000 gallons	\$2.50	\$2.55
80,001 – 120,000 gallons	\$3.00	\$3.06
Over 120,000 gallons	\$3.50	\$3.57

Non-Residential Rates	2012 Rate per 1,000 Gallons	2013 Rate per 1,000 Gallons
Flat Fee of \$10 per Residential Equivalent Unit (REU)		Same
0 - 20,000 gallons	\$1.80	\$1.84
20,001 – 40,000 gallons	\$2.00	\$2.04
Over 40,000 gallons	\$2.25	\$2.30

Non-Residential Irrigation Rates	2012 Rate per 1,000 Gallons	2013 Rate per 1,000 Gallons
0 - 40,000 gallons	\$2.50	\$2.55
40,001 – 80,000 gallons	\$3.00	\$3.06
Over 80,000 gallons	\$3.50	\$3.57

Future projections indicate rates may need to be increased by 2.00% annually 2014 - 2017.

Scenario 2 – One Rate for Each Customer Class

In Scenario 2, the rate for each residential, non-residential, and non-residential irrigation customer is assumed to be the rate which provides for residential customers generating 77% of total revenues, non-residential accounts generating 10% of annual revenues, and non-residential irrigation accounts generating 13% of total revenues, as is currently the case.

The proposed rates are:

	Residential	Non-Residential	Non-Residential Irrigation
2013	\$2.02	\$2.21	\$3.40

As in the first scenario, these volumetric rates would need to be increased by 2.0% annually 2014-2017.

Scenario 3 – Uniform Rate for All Use/All Users

Scenario 3 assumes <u>one</u> rate for <u>all use of all users</u>. The 2013 rate for all users; residential, non-residential, and non-residential irrigation customers is recommended to be \$2.16 per 1,000 gallons to meet revenue requirements.

A uniform rate structure is estimated to alter the total revenues generated from each customer class as follows:

	Residential	Non- Residential	Non- Residential Irrigation
Current Rates	77%	10%	13%
Proposed Rate	82%	10%	8%

in the first two scenarios, these volumetric rates would need to be increased by 2.0% annually 2014-2017.

Scenario 4 – Revised Block Rate Structure

The final option reviewed is to keep a block rate structure, whereby the price of water increases with volume consumed, but reduce the number of blocks from five to three for residential and from three to two for non-residential and non-residential irrigation. The following block rate structure is proposed in order to meet revenue requirements:

Proposed 2013 Residential Rates

	Residential
0 – 30,000 gallons	\$1.89
30,001 – 50,000	\$2.09
> 50,000	\$2.91

Proposed 2013 Non-Residential Rates

	Non-Residential
0 – 50,000 gallons	\$2.04
> 50,000	\$2.30

|--|

	Non-Residential Irrigation	
0 – 50,000 gallons	\$2.55	
> 50,000	\$3.57	

Our proposed rates along with 2.0% increases in these rates 2014-2017 projects depreciation could be funded while maintaining recommended reserve levels.

- 5. The current Sewer Availability Charge of \$2,911 is adequate to fund projected capital costs.
- 6. Sewer user rates should be increased by 7.0% in 2013 and 2.0% annually 2014 through 2019.
- 7. The City should establish the user rates for each utility fund for a threeyear period and review them on an annual basis. The rates should be reviewed on an annual basis concurrent with the development of the following year's budget.

These recommendations are based on information provided to us by City of Lino Lakes. The City will need to monitor the performance of the Water and Sewer Funds and make any necessary adjustments based upon its actual performance and on the actual construction costs of the anticipated capital improvements.

2. Introduction

The purpose of this report is to review and analyze City of Lino Lakes' Water and Sewer Funds to determine the appropriate rate structure and other revenue sources needed for its operation and financing of capital assets. The rate structure and other revenue in each fund must provide sufficient revenue to cover anticipated operating and maintenance expenses, debt service including principal and interest, capital improvements, replacements, and to provide adequate cash reserves. At the same time, the rate structure should promote water conservation. Since our initial study in 2007/08, the Minnesota Legislature has revised the definition of water conservation rates.

Total revenue collected should reflect not only recent cost experience, but should recognize anticipated future costs during the period for which rates are being established.

This report includes a review of the City's Water Fund and Sewer Fund revenues and expenditures, historical budgets, a projection of revenues and expenditures through 2022 (incorporating the City's plans for capital improvements), and a determination of the rates and charges necessary to provide sufficient revenues that will cover capital and operational costs.



3. Background

	The City of Lino Lakes, which is located approximately 20 miles north of St. Paul in southeastern Anoka County, provides approximately 4,175 residential, commercial, industrial, and institutional customers with water and sewer services. The City encompasses approximately 33 square miles and had a 2010 Census population of 20,216 and an estimated 2011 population slightly higher at 20,505.
	Currently, the City obtains its water supply from four raw water wells. Water storage for consistent pressure and for fire protection occurs in two elevated storage towers.
	Wastewater treatment is provided by the Metropolitan Waste Control Commission. Collection is accomplished through a series of trunk lines that empty into one of nine lift stations operated by the City.
Water Fund Historical Information	A review of the City's most recent financial reports shows the Water Fund ending cash balance has increased since 2009. The 2012 budget was projected to result in an ending cash balance of \$3,861,609, up from \$3,432,696 in 2009.
	Historically the fund has recorded positive operating income, funding depreciation each year.
	Revenues and expenditures for the past three years and the 2012 budget, as well as the City's current water rate schedule are shown on the following pages.

Current Water Rate Schedule

Water Volume Charges (Quarterly)

Residential Rates Effective January 2009	Rate per 1,000 Gallons	
Flat Fee of \$10 per Residential Equivalent Unit (REU)		
0 - 20,000 gallons	\$1.80	
20,001 – 40,000 gallons	\$2.00	
40,001 – 80,000 gallons	\$2.50	
80,001 – 120,000 gallons	\$3.00	
Over 120,000 gallons	\$3.50	

Non-Residential Rates Effective January 2009	Rate per 1,000 Gallons	
Flat Fee of \$10 per Residential Equivalent Unit (REU)		
0 - 20,000 gallons	\$1.80	
20,001 – 40,000 gallons	\$2.00	
Over 40,000 gallons	\$2.25	

Non-Residential Irrigation Rates Effective January 2009	Rate per 1,000 Gallons	
0 - 40,000 gallons	\$2.50	
40,001 – 80,000 gallons	\$3.00	
Over 80,000 gallons	\$3.50	

Water Connection Fee

Meter Size (Inches)	Amount
All	\$250

Water Availability Charge

Per SAC unit	Amount
2012	\$3,854

Water Fund Information

	2009	2010	2011	2012
Operating Revenues	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget
Charges for Services	1,334,055	1,059,994	1,054,977	1,250,000
Hook-up Charges	8,750	8,000	9,260	9,000
Water Meter Sales	10,874	8,698	10,561	10,000
Other Revenue	12,138	10,321	15,306	36,000
Total Operating Revenue	1,365,817	1,087,013	1,090,104	1,305,000
Operating Expenses				
Personal Services	187,201	172,095	175,906	181,337
Materials and Supplies	269,925	208,872	154,450	237,500
Contractual Services	60,814	76,755	92,345	110,000
Utilities	78,532	97,060	80,906	92,000
Other	21,262	28,640	19,903	31,450
Depreciation	423,218	426,861	421,616	435,000
Total Operating Expenses	1,040,952	1,010,283	945,126	1,087,287
Operating Income (Loss)	324,865	76,730	144,978	217,713
Non Operating Revenues (Expenses)				
Investment Farnings	74,818	37.249	43,983	25,000
Special Assessments	8.107	6.216	731	10.000
Bond Interest	(43,298)	(29,858)	(15,864)	(13,566)
Paying Agent Fees	(5,319)	(5,760)	(5,653)	(5,500)
Total Non Operating Revenues (Expenses)	34,308	7,847	23,197	15,934
Net Income (Loss) Before Transfers	359,173	84,577	168,175	233,647
Operating Transfers				
Transfers In				
Transfers (Out)	(35,561)	(34,061)	(33,061)	(34,511)
Total Operating Transfers	(35,561)	(34,061)	(33,061)	(34,511)
Net Income (Loss)	323,612	50,516	135,114	199,136
	2.0(4.700	2 422 606	2,555,120	2 (10 172
Beginning Cash & Investments	2,964,709	3,432,696	3,555,128	3,649,473
	323,612	50,516	135,114	199,136
	423,218	426,861	421,616	435,000
Amortization	5,319	5,760	5,655	5,500
Acquisition and Construction of Assets				-
Proceeds from New Long-Term Debt	(260.000)	(275.000)	(200,000)	(427.500)
A divistment to A comple	(300,000)	(373,000)	(390,000)	(427,300)
Ending Cash Balance	3,432,696	3,555,128	3,649,473	- 3,861,609

Sewer Fund Historical Information	A review of the City's most recent financial reports shows the cash balance in the Sewer Fund has also been increasing. The 2012 budget was projected to result in an ending cash balance of \$6,939,631; up from \$5,750,402 in 2009.
	Historically, the fund recorded positive operating income in 2009 and 2010. Operating income was (\$143,875) in 2011 and is projected to be (\$56,275) in 2012, indicating the City is not fully funding depreciation as previously has been a goal.
	Revenue and expenditures for the past four years in the Sewer Fund, as well as

the City's current sewer rate schedule are shown below.

Current Sewer Rate Schedule

Sewer Volume Charges (Quarterly)

	Rate	Rate per 1,000 Gallons
Up to 10,000 gallons	\$52.00	
Over 10,000 gallons		\$1.00
Sewer Customer Only	\$62.00	

*Sewer based on winter quarter usage.

Sewer Connection Fee

Water Meter Size (Inches)	Amount
All	\$200

Sewer Availability Charges

Per SAC Unit	Amount
2012	\$2,911



Sewer Fund Information

	2009	2010	2011	2012
Operating Revenues	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget
Charges for Services	1,477,007	1,491,728	1,486,616	1,480,000
Hook-up Charges	7,490	6,490	7,220	8,000
Other Revenue	17,667		352	500
Total Operating Revenue	1,502,164	1,498,218	1,494,188	1,488,500
Operating Expenses				
Personal Services	172,744	173,937	177,758	184,942
Materials and Supplies	43,147	43,252	73,029	42,500
Contractual Services	91,973	70,464	163,233	105,000
MCES Sewer Charges	625,353	681,591	720,986	704,933
Utilities	37,121	34,047	42,251	44,000
Other	14,981	17,600	14,229	13,400
Depreciation	446,788	445,956	446,577	450,000
Total Operating Expenses	1,432,107	1,466,847	1,638,063	1,544,775
Operating Income (Loss)	70,057	31,371	(143,875)	(56,275)
Non Operating Revenues (Expenses)				
Investment Farnings	153 929	67 521	82 232	50,000
Snecial Assessments	662	2 493	731	50,000
Total Nan Operating Devenues (Expenses)	154 501	2,475	82 063	50.000
Total Non Operating Revenues (Expenses)	154,591	70,014	82,903	50,000
Net Income (Loss) Before Transfers	224,648	101,385	(60,912)	(6,275)
Onerating Transfers				
Transfers In				
Transfers (Out)	(10.561)	(34.061)	(33.061)	(34 511)
Total Operating Transfers	(10,501)	(34,061)	(33,061)	(34 511)
	(10,501)	(34,001)	(55,001)	(34,311)
Net Income (Loss)	214.087	67.324	(93,973)	(40,786)
	211,007	07,021	(30,970)	(10,700)
Beginning Cash & Investments	5,609,938	5,750,402	6,237,600	6,575,417
Net Income	214,087	67,324	(93,973)	(40,786)
Depreciation	446,788	445,956	446,577	450,000
Amortization	-	-	-	-
Acquisition and Construction of Assets	(27,748)		(17,524)	(45,000)
Proceeds from New Long-Term Debt				-
Payments on Long-Term Debt				
Adjustment to Accruals	(492,663)	(26,082)	2,737	-
Ending Cash Balance	5,750,402	6,237,600	6,575,417	6,939,631

Cash Reserves	in their Utility funds. Utility funds need sufficient cash to pay current expenses, together with principal and interest on outstanding bonds. This would typically require each Utility fund to have a minimum of three months of anticipated operating expenses and one year's total debt service in cash at the end of each year. However, this does not provide any level of cash reserves for unforeseen expenses, emergencies, or to cover any shortfalls in the budget. The amount of cash reserves that the Water and Sewer Funds should have is dependent on a number of factors, including:							
	• Reserves that are legally required							
	• Variability of the annual revenue stream							
	Variability in annual expenditures							
	Variability in rainfall							
	• Age and condition of fixed assets							
	Anticipated future capital needs							
	• Capital improvement plan							
	• Regulatory compliance							
	Tolerance for risk							
	Number of relatively large customers							
	Unfortunately, there are no prescribed formulas, and the amount of reserves varies considerably between utilities. We encourage the City to maintain a minimum cash balance in the Water and Sewer Fund of at least three months/ninety days of anticipated operating expenses and one year's debt service at the end of the year.							
Depreciation	Costs incurred in the operation of each Utility are either recorded as operating expenses or capitalized as assets. Whether the cost is expensed immediately or capitalized, the City actually pays for the asset at the time it is acquired. Generally, anything that is used up in the period in which the cost of acquiring it is incurred is treated as an operating expense. Personnel, supplies, and repairs and maintenance are typical examples of costs that are treated as operating expenses. These costs are shown on the income statement each year in the total amount of the expenditure for each category. The cost incurred in the acquisition or construction of assets such as buildings and major pieces of equipment are capitalized. That means their cost does not show up as an expense on the income statement in the year in which the expenditure occurs, rather the cost of these assets are depreciated. Depreciation is the process of allocating the cost of an asset over its useful life in a systematic and rational manner.							

The City currently includes depreciation in its annual budget and until recently, user rates in each utility fully funded annual depreciation. However, since 2011, sewer rates are not fully funding annual depreciation. Our recommendations will show the necessary rate increases in order to once again fully fund deprecation in the Sewer Fund.

Assumptions

The City provided Springsted with a variety of material including:

- 2009-2011 Financial Reports
- 2012 and 2013 Operating Budgets for each Fund
- 2013-2025 Capital Improvement Plan listing cost and year of expenditure in 2012 dollars
- Capital costs were inflated to year of construction cost, using the 10year average of the Municipal Cost Index, or a 3.59% inflation factor
- Projected new connections to the water and sewer system over the planning period
- Detailed number of water connections by quarter by user class for 2010 and 2011
- Detailed water consumption data by quarter by user class for 2010 and 2011
- Previous utility rates

We have used the information provided by the City as the basis of our projections, as well as discussions with City personnel.



4. Water Utility

Water Rates	The Water Availability Charge is a fee incurred to recover the capital costs associated with providing the necessary infrastructure to make water services available to the customer. These should include the cost of water supply, providing treatment capacity, and the cost of the distribution system. This insures that growth pays for the costs of growth. Springsted and City staff have determined the water meter charge, connection fee, and the availability charge to be sufficient at this time.
	Due to limited growth recently experienced by the City, the new water treatment plant is now projected to occur in 2025 (it was projected to be in 2017 in the previous rate study), which is beyond the planning period of this analysis. Should growth be expedited, we recommend the City revisit these fees to include the capital and associated borrowing costs of this large capital expenditure.
	It is important for the City to understand that while availability charges provide a valuable source of revenue for the utilities, they can be much more volatile than user fee revenue related to actual sales. Water availability charges are dependent on local economic conditions and will rise and fall accordingly. However, the fixed costs associated with debt issued to finance the necessary capital improvements will need to be paid regardless of how much revenue is collected. To minimize this risk, we have recommended the City maintain an adequate level of cash reserves that include one year of debt service.
Water Customer Growth Projections	The projection of new residential water connections is based on those provided by the City's engineering consultant WSB (which used the Metropolitan

by the City's engineering consultant WSB (which used the Metropolitan Council projections) for capital planning purposes, revised to take into account the slower growth pattern the City has recently experienced. The projected number of added connections is shown below.

	Resider	ntial Cor	nmercial
	(3/4" m	eter) (1"	meter)
201	3 50	3	
201	4 75	3	
201	5 75	3	
201	6 75	3	
201	7 100	3	
201	8 100	3	
201	9 125	3	
202	0 125	3	
202	1 150	3	
202	2 150	3	

Growin Projections

Conservation Rate Structure

Water utilities have traditionally relied on increased capacity to meet their growing needs for additional water resulting from population growth and economic development. However, utilities are increasingly looking to conservation as an alternative strategy. This change in philosophy is the result of several factors including:

- Growing competition for limited water supplies;
- Increasing cost and difficulties in developing new water supplies;
- Increasing cost of capacity expansion;
- Increasing cost of water treatment and testing;
- Statutory requirements for increased water supply permits; and
- Growing public support for the conservation of our natural resources.

The City is required to complete a water emergency and conservation plan every 10 years. Included in this plan, the City must explain current water use trends and how they will address conservation in the future. The average use per resident in the City in 2007 was 86 gallons per day (gpd). The average use per resident in 2011 was 90 gallons per day. And the average use per resident in 2012 was 107 gallons per day. The average use in 2012 is reflective of the relatively dry watering months (July, August, and September). Precipitation in the summer watering months of 2012 were 50% lower than the 29 year average of those same months, most likely prompting residents to water more.

The DNR goal is to achieve an average consumption per user of 75 gpd. A conservation rate structure was developed to help the City achieve this goal. A conservation rate structure provides a financial incentive for users to reduce demands based upon the general economic theory that demand for a commodity decreases as its price increases. Water conservation rates generally involve one of the types listed below:

- Increasing block rates where the marginal cost of water to the user increases in blocks of usually two or more steps as water use increases;
- Flat rate where the cost of water is the same regardless of consumption; and
- Seasonal pricing where the cost of water consumed during the season of peak demand is charged at a higher rate than water consumed in the off-peak season.

The City currently tracks consumption patterns for the following eight types of users: residential, commercial, industrial, institutional, prison, church, school, and non-residential irrigation.

	2010	2011
Residential	396,630,500	402,204,500
Non-Residential	48,644,000	48,995,500
Non-Residential		
Irrigation	<u>38,534,000</u>	40,999,000
Totals	483,808,500	492,199,000

The total annual consumption by residential, non-residential and non-residential irrigation for 2010 and 2011 is shown in the chart below.

The average use per quarter by residential, non-residential and non-residential irrigation is:

	2011 – Q1	2011 – Q2	2011 – Q3	2011 – Q4
Residential	16,328	18,404	32,624	33,077
Non-Residential	104,639	94,178	125,257	143,080
Non-Residential Irrigation	66,700	98,083	243,061	343,500

Below are some additional highlights on past water use in the City:



Winter water use (Quarter 1, 2010 & 2011 data)

- 98.5% of residential users used 40,000 gallons or less, falling into the first two tiers of use.
- Only 61 customers (1.5% of users), used more than 40,000 gallons, falling into the top three tiers.

Therefore, our assumption was that water consumption over 40,000 gallons in the summer quarters is due primarily to non-residential irrigation.

Summer consumption statistics include:



Summer water use (Quarter 3, 2010 & 2011 data)

- 68.2% of residential users use 40,000 gallons or less, falling into the first two tiers of use.
- 1,290 or 31.8% of residential customers use 40,000 gallons or more in the summer months, which is over 21 times what customers use in winter.
- 47 or 1.2% of residential customers use 120,000 gallons or more in the summer months.

In the 2012 the Minnesota Legislature redefined the water conservation requirements now calling them demand reduction measures. The law now states that "public water suppliers serving more than 1,000 people must <u>encourage</u> <u>water conservation by employing</u> water use <u>demand reduction measures</u>" as opposed to the initial law requiring "a conservation rate structure". The law goes on further to state, "Demand reduction measures must include a conservation rate structure, or a uniform rate structure with a conservation program that achieves demand reduction." Therefore, the City can alter its current five-tiered system so long as it reduces water demand, water losses, peak water demands, and nonessential water uses. We have provided four options for future water rates; 1) leave the current five-tiered system the same but adjust the volume charge if necessary, 2) provide one rate for each user class (residential, non-residential, and non-residential irrigation) that will generate revenues from each user class that the current block rates do, 3) go to one rate for all water use, or 4) reduce the five-tiered system to something less.

Water Utility Revenue Requirements

Revenue requirements indicate the amount of revenue needed for the City to continue efficient operations, as well as maintain an adequate cash balance in the utility. Revenue requirements include operating and maintenance expenses, debt service payments, capital outlay, and any operating or working capital reserves. The debt service payments to be made from the Water Utility are for a portion of the 2010A General Obligation Improvement and Utility Revenue Refunding Bonds.

In 2010 and 2011, revenues from volume charges were approximately \$1,055,000. In 2012, due to an extremely dry year, year-to-date figures estimate revenues to come in closer to \$1,250,000. In order to not overstate revenues in 2013 and beyond, we have assumed 2011 revenues to be a more "normal" revenue base assuming average rainfall. Therefore we assume 2013 revenues would increase somewhat to account for minimal new growth in 2012 and 2013 to approximately \$1,115,000.

2010 and 2011 consumption data also indicated 77% of volume-based revenues were derived from residential users, 10% from non-residential users, and 13% from non-residential irrigation accounts. We assume this pattern will continue when generating possible rate structures.

The following pages show the projected operating statement and annual cash balance in the Water Utility, assuming the current rate structure and volume charges are kept in place over the planning period with increases only attributable to new customers as discussed on page 13, not from increased rates. The 2013 proposed budget was used for operating expenditures.

The fund is projected to maintain positive operating income until 2015. At that time, the City will no longer be fully funding depreciation. However, projected ending cash balance is sufficient to fund the recommended reserve levels in all years of the planning period.

Water Utility Financial Projections – Current Block Rate Structure and Current Volumetric Rates

Projected Water Rate Adjustment	Projected Change	<u>Calculate</u>	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	2013-2022	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Operating Revenues												
Charges for Services		1,250,000	1,115,071	1,133,961	1,152,851	1,171,742	1,195,173	1,218,604	1,246,576	1,274,548	1,307,061	1,339,574
Hook-up Charges		9,000	10,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	20,600	20,600	25,600	25,600	30,600	30,600
Water Meter Sales		10,000	15,475	22,725	22,725	22,725	29,975	29,975	37,225	37,225	44,475	44,475
Other Revenue	5.00%	36,000	37,800	39,690	41,675	43,758	45,946	48,243	50,656	53,188	55,848	58,640
Total Operating Revenue		1,305,000	1,178,946	1,211,976	1,232,851	1,253,825	1,291,694	1,317,422	1,360,057	1,390,562	1,437,984	1,473,290
O												
Demonit Services	5.000/	101 227	106 646	206 272	216 601	227 525	328.003	260.847	262 280	276 550	200.286	204 006
Metanich and SumeTer	5.00%	181,557	190,545	200,572	210,091	221,323	236,902	230,647	203,389	210,339	290,380	304,900
	4.00%	237,300	202,300	273,000	263,920	293,211	307,066	102.261	332,140	343,432	339,249	373,019
Loniractual Services	10.00%	110,000	120,000	132,000	145,200	100,5720	175,092	193,201	212,587	233,840	257,231	282,934
Other	6.00%	92,000	92,000	91,520	26 461	20 640	40.067	42,425	46 021	136,334	140,034 61,770	133,432
	0.00%	31,430	425,000	421.050	30,401	207.012	295 102	43,423	40,031	251 472	240.028	220.701
Existing Depreciation		455,000	455,000	421,930	409,292	597,015	585,102	575,549	502,545	551,475	540,928	550,701
New Deprecation		-	-	/00	/6,233	/0,233	89,511	89,511	89,511	89,511	89,511	89,511
Total Operating Expenses		1,087,287	1,138,495	1,105,939	1,2/1,16/	1,303,990	1,353,410	1,393,082	1,430,511	1,483,947	1,535,000	1,591,940
Operating Income (Loss)		217,713	40,451	46,037	(38,316)	(50,165)	(61,716)	(75,659)	(76,454)	(93,385)	(97,676)	(118,656)
Non Operating Revenues (Expenses)												
Investment Earnings	1.00%	25,000	38,616	43,091	47,535	51,852	55,967	59,993	64,170	68,277	72,106	76,154
Special Assessments		10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bond Interest - Existing		(13,566)	(5,750)	(5,225)	(4,575)	(3,825)	(3,038)	(2,213)	(1,388)	(488)	-	-
Paying Agent Fees		(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)
Total Non Operating Revenues (Expens	ස)	15,934	27 ,366	32,366	37,460	42,527	47,430	52,281	57,282	62,289	66,606	70,654
Net Income (Loss) Before Transfers		233,647	67,817	78,403	(857)	(7,638)	(14,287)	(23,379)	(19,172)	(31,096)	(31,070)	(48,002)
Operating Transfers												
Transfers In		-										
Transfers (Out)		(34,511)	(35,861)	(34,646)	(33,416)	(34,627)	(35,726)					
Total Operating Transfers		(34,511)	(35,861)	(34,646)	(33,416)	(34,627)	(35,726)	-	-	-	-	-
Net lincome (Loss)		199,136	31,956	43,756	(34,273)	(42,265)	(50,013)	(23,379)	(19,172)	(31,096)	(31,070)	(48,002)
Beginning Cash & Investments		3,649,473	3,861,609	4,309,065	4,753,471	5,185,222	5,596,703	5,999,304	6,416,985	6,827,667	7,210,555	7,615,423
Net Income		199,136	31,956	43,756	(34,273)	(42,265)	(50,013)	(23,379)	(19,172)	(31,096)	(31,070)	(48,002)
Depreciation		435,000	435,000	422,650	485,524	473,246	474,613	463,060	451,854	440,983	430,439	420,211
Amortization		5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500
Acquisition and Construction of Assets		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Payments on Existing Long-Term Debt		(427,500)	(25,000)	(27,500)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(27,500)	(27,500)	(27,500)	(32,500)	-	-
Ending Cash Balance		3,861,609	4,309,065	4,753,471	5,185,222	5, 5 96,703	5,999,304	6,416,985	6,827,66 7	7,210,555	7,615,423	7,993,133
Minimum Cash Balance												
For ongoing operations		271,822	284,624	291,485	317,792	325,997	338,353	348,270	359,128	370,987	383,915	397,986
For debt service		30,750	32,725	29,575	28,825	30,538	29,713	28,888	32,988	-	-	-
Minimum Cash Balance Required		302,572	317,349	321,060	346,617	356,535	368,065	377,158	392,115	370,987	383,915	397,986
Amount Over (Under) Minimum		3,559,037	3,991,716	4,432,411	4,838,606	5,240,169	5,631,239	6,039,827	6,435,552	6,839,568	7,231,508	7,595,146

Capital Outlay

To determine the appropriate fees and rates needed for the operation of the Water Utility over the planning period, we have incorporated the anticipated future capital outlay needs provided by the Finance Director and City Engineer. These capital costs and their projected source of funding are shown in the table below. The capital items are projected to be paid the Area and Unit Charge Fund. All water and sewer trunk charges are deposited into the Area and Unit Charge Fund, in addition to the \$10 quarterly fee imposed on all water users.

				Special	
		Area and Unit	Area and Unit	Assessments -	
	Water Utility	Charge Fund	Charge Bonds	Area and Unit	Totals
2012	-	-	-	-	45,000
2013	-	289,307	-	317,307	656,614
2014	-	2,603,170	-	337,185	2,992,150
2015	-	1,285,555	-	1,285,555	2,624,764
2016	-	398,343	-	-	535,425
2017	-	-	902,818	-	960,394
2018	-	-	4,751,653	-	4,811,296
2019	-	-	2,040,138	-	2,101,922
2020	-	612,433	3,970,743	980,312	5,728,200
2021	-	-	745,178	745,178	1,556,656
2022	-	-	4,940,354	-	5,009,034
Total	-	5,188,808	17,350,884	3,665,537	27,021,455

The only capital improvements planned for the Water Utility are related primarily to projected growth and include new watermains, wells, and a new ground storage unit. The water capital outlay is projected to be funded with a mixture of special assessments and G.O. Water Revenue Bonds, which are projected to be repaid from trunk charge revenue.

A detailed listing of the anticipated capital improvements to be paid from the Water Utility Fund through 2022 as well as the Area and Unit Charge Fund projections is shown on the following pages. Capital Outlay shown in the Area and Unit Charge Fund also includes Sewer Utility projects. Discussion of the Sewer Utility appears later in the report.

Capital Outlay

					Special	
			Area and Unit	Area and Unit	Assessments -	
Year	Project	Water Utility	Charge Fund	Charge Bonds	Area and Unit	Totals
2013	NE Area Trunk Water Main PH 1 (400')		21,000		49,000	70,000
2014	Strengthen Trunk Watermain (Phase 1)		961,345			961,345
2014	Well No. 6 & Watermain		1,068,640			1,068,640
2014	Woodridge Estate Trunk Watermain extension		236000			236,000
2016	Lake Dr. Trunk Watermain (Phase 2)		398,343			398,343
2017	Lake Dr. Trunk Watermain strenghtening (Phase 3)			902,818		902,818
2018	Ground Storage Reservoir 2.5 MG & booster Sta. & Well No. 7			4,751,653		4,751,653
2019	Raw Watermains from Wells 1,3,5,6 to reservoir			2,040,138		2,040,138
2020	Redundant 16 inch watermain to replace north loop			2,683,656		2,683,656
		-	2,685,328	10,378,265	49,000	13,112,593
Water Utility Financial

AREA & UNIT CHARGE FUND											
Revenues	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Quarterly Fees	242,400	245,560	249,720	253,880	258,040	263,200	268,360	274,520	280,680	287,840	295,000
Special Assessments - Existing											
Special Assessments - New	-	-	42,096	87,909	262,575	262,575	262,575	262,575	262,575	395,768	501,864
Water Trunk Charges	222,085	222,085	316,085	316,085	316,085	410,085	410,085	504,085	504,085	598,085	598,085
Sewer Trunk Charges	167,745	167,745	238,745	238,745	238,745	309,745	309,745	380,745	380,745	451,745	451,745
Total Revenue	632,230	635,390	846,646	896,619	1,075,445	1,245,605	1,250,765	1,421,925	1,428,085	1,733,438	1,846,694
Operating Expenses											
Capital Outlay	-	606,614	2,940,355	2,571,110	398,343	902,818	4,751,653	2,040,138	5,563,488	1,490,356	4,940,354
Other											
Total Operating Expenses	-	606,614	2,940,355	2,571,110	398,343	902,818	4,751,653	2,040,138	5,563,488	1,490,356	4,940,354
Revenue Over (Under) Expenditures	632,230	28,776	(2,093,709)	(1,674,491)	677,102	342,787	(3,500,889)	(618,213)	(4,135,403)	243,082	(3,093,659)
Other Financing Sources (Uses)											
Transfer in	34,511	35,861	34,646	33,416	34,627	35,726	-	-	-	-	-
Bond Proceeds	-	317,307	337,185	1,285,555	-	902,818	4,751,653	2,040,138	4,951,055	1,490,356	4,940,354
Debt Service - existing	(405,911)	(383,470)	(361,542)	(313,013)	(26,764)	(30,623)	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Service - new	-	-	(37,198)	(77,742)	(236,239)	(236,239)	(347,548)	(933,384)	(1,184,915)	(1,795,335)	(1,979,082)
Other											
Total Non Operating Revenues (Expenses)	(371,400)	(30,301)	(26,909)	928,217	(228,376)	671,682	4,404,105	1,106,754	3,766,140	(304,979)	2,961,272
Net Increase (Decrease) in fund balance	260,831	(1,525)	(2,120,617)	(746,274)	448,726	1,014,470	903,217	488,541	(369,263)	(61,897)	(132,388)
Beginning Cash & Investments	3,217,984	3,478,815	3,477,289	1,356,672	610,397	1,059,123	2,073,593	2,976,810	3,465,350	3,096,088	3,034,191
Net Income	260,831	(1,525)	(2,120,617)	(746,274)	448,726	1,014,470	903,217	488,541	(369,263)	(61,897)	(132,388)
Ending Cash Balance	3,478,815	3,477,289	1,356,672	610,397	1,059,123	2,073,593	2,976,810	3,465,350	3,096,088	3,034,191	2,901,803

Financial Projections The financial projections assumed the City would maintain a minimum cash balance in the Water Fund equal to three months of anticipated operating expenses and one-year's debt service within the planning period. In addition, the City would fully fund depreciation and maintain positive operating income. These assumptions were made to ensure that the Water Fund would have sufficient cash to fund operations going forward as well as meet debt service requirements.

To determine the appropriate user rates needed for the repayment of debt service and operation of the Water Fund, we have projected future revenue and expenditures and have incorporated the anticipated future capital outlay needs for the time period covered by this study.

The financial projections began with the Water Fund expenditures; subsequently, revenues were adjusted to provide the recommended income, cash flow, and level of ending cash balances. Our expenditure projections are generally based on an analysis of past trends, anticipated changes in operations, and our significant experience in preparing Water rate studies.

Scenario 1 – Keep Current Block Rate Structure

Our projections show that the existing block rate structure combined with projected growth in the customer-base, will provide sufficient revenues for the operation of the Water Utility through 2014. In order to obtain the goals stated above, we determined that a 2.0% increase in user rates in each of the five blocks is needed annually 2013-2017.

The projected rate increases are primarily needed to fund depreciation while maintaining recommended reserve levels.

The financial projections are shown on the following page.

Projected 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 0.00% 0.00% Projected Water Rate Adjustment Calculate 2.00% 2.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Change 2013-2022 2012 2013 2014 201 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2023 **Operating Revenues** Charges for Services 1.250.000 1.137.372 1.179.773 1,223,415 1.268.331 1.319.567 1.345.437 1.376.321 1.407.204 1,443,101 1.478.998 9,000 10,600 15,600 15,600 15,600 20,600 20,600 25,600 25,600 30,600 30,600 Hook-up Charges Water Meter Sales 10.000 15,475 22,725 22,725 22,725 29.975 29.975 37.225 37.225 44,475 44,475 Other Revenue 5.00% 37,800 41,675 43,758 45.946 48.243 53.188 55.848 58,640 36,000 39,690 50.656 Total Operating Revenue 1,305,000 1,201,247 1,257,788 1,303,415 1,350,414 1,416,089 1,444,256 1,489,801 1,523,218 1,574,024 1,612,714 Operating Expenses Personal Services 5.00% 181.337 196,545 206,372 216.691 227_525 238,902 250.847 263.389 276.559 290.386 304.906 Materials and Supplies 237,500 273,000 283,920 295,277 307,088 332,146 4.00% 262,500 319,371 345,432 359,249 373,619 Contractual Services 10.00% 110,000 120.000 132.000 145.200 159,720 175.692 193.261 212.587 233.846 257.231 282.954 Utilities 6.00% 92,000 92,000 97,520 103,371 109,573 116,148 123,117 130,504 138,334 146,634 155,432 Other 6.00% 31.450 32,450 34,397 36,461 38,648 40,967 43,425 46,031 48,793 51,720 54,824 435,000 421.950 409,292 397,013 385,102 373,549 362,343 351,473 340.928 330,701 435,000 Existing Depreciation New Depreciation 700 76.23 76,233 89.511 89.511 89,511 89.511 89.511 89,511 1,138,495 1,165,939 1,271,167 1,303,990 1,353,410 1,393,082 1,436,511 1,483,947 1,591,946 Total Operating Expenses 1,087,287 1,535,660 217,713 Operating Income (Loss) 62,752 91,849 32,247 46,424 62,678 51,174 53,290 39,271 38,364 20,768 Non Operating Revenues (Expenses) Investment Earnings 1.00% 25,000 38,616 43,314 48,218 53,248 58,343 63,636 69,118 74,572 79,790 85,276 Special Assessments 10,000 Bond Interest - Existing (13,566 (5,750)(5.225)(4.575 (3.825)(3.038) (2.213)(1.388)(488 Paying Agent Fees (5,500 (5,500)(5,500)(5,500)(5,500)(5,500)(5,500) (5,500)(5,500 (5,500)(5,500 27,366 32,589 38,143 43,923 49,805 55,924 62,231 68,584 74,290 79,776 Total Non Operating Revenues (Expenses) 15,934 90.118 70.390 Net Income (Loss) Before Transfers 233.647 124,438 90.347 112.484 107.098 115.521 107.855 112.654 100.544 Operating Transfers Transfers In Transfers (Out) (34,511 (35.861) (34.646) (33.416 (34,627) (35.726)Total Operating Transfers (34,511) (35,861) (34,646) (33,416) (34,627) (35,726) 107,855 54,257 36,974 55,721 76,757 107.098 115,521 112,654 Net Income (Loss) 199,136 89,791 100,544 Beginning Cash & Investments 3.649.473 3,861,609 4.331.366 4,821,807 5,324,806 5,834,272 6,363,643 6.911.801 7,457,176 7,979,014 8,527,607 199,136 Net Income 54,257 89,791 36,974 55,721 76,757 107,098 115,521 107,855 112,654 100,544 435,000 Depreciation 435,000 422,650 485,524 473,246 474,613 463,060 451,854 440,983 430,439 420,211 Amortization 5,500 5,500 5,500 5,500 5,500 5,500 5,500 5,500 5,500 5,500 5,500 Acquisition and Construction of Assets (427,500) (27,500 (27,500 (27,500 Payments on Existing Long-Term Debt (25,000 (25,000 (25.000 (27, 500)(32,500 4,331,366 Ending Cash Balance 3,861,609 4,821,807 5,324,806 5,834,272 6,363,643 6,911,801 7,457,176 7,979,014 8,527,607 9,053,863 Minimum Cash Balance 284,624 291,485 317,792 325,997 338,353 For ongoing operations 271,822 348,270 359,128 370,987 383,915 397,986 For debt service 30,750 32,725 29,575 28,825 30,538 29,713 28,888 32,988 Minimum Cash Balance Required 321,060 356,535 368,065 377,158 302,572 317,349 346,617 392,115 370,987 383,915 397,986

Scenario 1 - Water Utility Financial Projections - Current Block Rate Structure with Volumetric Rate Increases

Amount Over (Under) Minimum

3,559,037

4,014,017

4,500,748

4,978,189

5,477,737

5,995,578

6,534,643

7,065,060

7,608,027

8,143,692

8,655,876

Scenario 2 – One Rate for Each Customer Class

In Scenario 2, we assume the City will generally need to generate the same amount of revenues each year as shown in Scenario 1. The rate for each residential, non-residential, and non-residential irrigation customer is assumed to be the rate that provides for residential customers generating 77% of total revenues, non-residential accounts generating 10% of annual revenues, and non-residential irrigation accounts generating 13% of total revenues, as is currently the case with 2012 rates.

Based on these assumptions the uniform rates for each customer class would need to be:

	Residential	Non- Residential	Non- Residential Irrigation
2013	\$2.02	\$2.21	\$3.40

As in the first scenario, these volumetric rates would need to be increased by 2.0% annually 2014-2017.

The financial projections are shown on the following page.





Scenario 2 - Water Utility Financial Projections – One Rate for Each Customer Class

	Projected				a 0004/		2 000/	0.000/	0.000/	0.000/	0.000/	0.000/
Projected Water Kale Adjustment	Change	<u>Calculate</u>	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	2013-2022	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Operating Revenues												
Charges for Services		1,250,000	1,140,928	1,183,461	1,227,240	1,272,296	1,323,693	1,349,643	1,380,623	1,411,603	1,447,613	1,483,622
Hook-up Charges		9,000	10,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	20,600	20,600	25,600	25,600	30,600	30,600
Water Meter Sales		10,000	15,475	22,725	22,725	22,725	29,975	29,975	37,225	37,225	44,475	44,475
Other Revenue	5.00%	36,000	37,800	39,690	41,675	43,758	45,946	48,243	50,656	53,188	55,848	58,640
Total Operating Revenue		1,305,000	1,204,803	1,261,476	1,307,239	1,354,379	1,420,214	1,448,462	1,494,104	1,527,617	1,578,536	1,617,337
Operating Expenses												
Personal Services	5.00%	181,337	196,545	206,372	216,691	227,525	238,902	250,847	263,389	276,559	290,386	304,906
Materials and Supplies	4.00%	237,500	262,500	273,000	283,920	295,277	307,088	319,371	332,146	345,432	359,249	373,619
Contractual Services	10.00%	110,000	120,000	132,000	145,200	159,720	175,692	193,261	212,587	233,846	257,231	282,954
Utilities	6.00%	92,000	92,000	97,520	103,371	109,573	116,148	123,117	130,504	138,334	146,634	155,432
Other	6.00%	31,450	32,450	34,397	36,461	38,648	40,967	43,425	46,031	48,793	51,720	54,824
Existing Depreciation		435,000	435,000	421,950	409,292	397,013	385,102	373,549	362,343	351,473	340,928	330,701
New Depreciation		-	-	700	76,233	76,233	89,511	89,511	89,511	89,511	89,511	89,511
Total Operating Expenses		1,087,287	1,138,495	1,165,939	1,271,167	1,303,990	1,353,410	1,393,082	1,436,511	1,483,947	1,535,660	1,591,946
Operating Income (Loss)		217,713	66,308	95,537	36,072	50,389	66,804	55,380	57 <i>,5</i> 93	43,670	42,875	25,391
Non Operating Revenues (Expenses)												
Investment Earnings	1.00%	25,000	38,616	43,349	48,291	53,360	58,495	63,832	69,357	74,857	80,122	85,656
Special Assessments		10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bond Interest - Existing		(13,566)	(5,750)	(5,225)	(4,575)	(3,825)	(3,038)	(2,213)	(1,388)	(488)	-	-
Paying Agent Fees		(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)
Total Non Operating Revenues (Expense	s)	15,934	27,366	32,624	38,216	44,035	49,958	56,119	62,470	68,869	74,622	80,156
Net Income (Loss) Before Transfers		233,647	93,674	128,161	74,288	94,424	116,761	111,499	120,063	112,539	117,497	105,547
Operating Transfers												
Transfers In		-	(25.0.01)	(21.010)	(22.11.0)	(24.625)	(25 52 6)					
Transfers (Out)		(34,511)	(35,861)	(34,646)	(33,416)	(34,627)	(35,726)					
Total Operating Transfers		(34,511)	(35,861)	(34,646)	(33,416)	(34,627)	(35,726)	-	-	-	-	-
		100 10 (00.515	40.072		01.005	111 (00	100.070	112 500	117.407	105 545
Net Income (Loss)		199,136	57,813	93,515	40,872	39,191	81,035	111,499	120,063	112,539	117,497	105,547
Posinging Cash & Investments		2 640 472	2 961 600	4 224 022	4 970 097	5 225 092	5 940 576	6 292 174	6 025 724	7 495 650	9 012 172	9 565 600
Net Income		100 122	5,001,009	4,224,744	4,047,007	505, נכנ, נ דחד חז	2,047,240 91.024	111 400	120,734	117 520	117 407	105 547
Demociation		125,000	425,000	422,650	40,072	39,191	474 612	462.060	451.954	440.082	420.420	420.211
Amortimica		435,000	433,000	422,030	403,324	475,240	4/4,015	405,000	431,634	440,963	430,439	420,211
A aminimum and Comparison of A met-		00 و د	00 درد	00.5	006,0	000,0	000,0	00,0	00. د	00رد	000,0	5,500
Acquisiton and Construction of Assets		-	(25,000)	-	(25,000)	-	-	-	-	(22,500)	-	-
Payments on Existing Long-Term Debt		(427,500)	(25,000)	(27,500)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(27,500)	(27,500)	(27,500)	(32,500)	-	-
Ending Cash Balance		3,861,609	4,334,922	4,829,087	5,335,985	5,849,526	6,383,174	0,935,734	7,485,650	8,012,173	8,000,009	9,096,368
minumum Cash Balance		07-00-	004 004		at = ===		000 0 00	0.00000		050 00-	000 04 0	
L'OF ORGONG OPERATIONS		271,822	284,624	291,485	317,792	325,997	338,353	348,270	359,128	370,987	383,915	397,986
For dept service		30,750	32,725	29,575	28,825	30,538	29,713	28,888	32,988	-	-	- 007 00-
Minumum Cash Balance Kequired		302,572	317,349	321,060	340,017	330,335	308,065	3/7,158	392,115	570,987	383,915	397,986
Amount Over (Under) Minimum		3,559,037	4,017,573	4,508,027	4,989,366	5,492,991	6,015,109	6,558,576	7,093,535	7,641,186	8,181,694	8,698,881

Scenario 3 – Uniform Rate for All Use/Users

Scenario 3 also assumes the same revenue requirements will need to be met as in Scenarios 1 and 2. However, Scenario 3 assumes <u>one</u> rate for <u>all use of all</u> <u>users</u>. The 2013 rate for all users; residential, non-residential, and nonresidential irrigation customers is recommended to be \$2.16 per 1,000 gallons.

A uniform rate structure is estimated to alter the total revenues generated from each customer class as follows:

	Residential	Non- Residential	Non- Residential Irrigation
Current	77%	10%	13%
Rates			
Proposed	82%	10%	8%
Rate			

As in the first two scenarios, these volumetric rates would need to be increased by 2.0% annually 2014-2017.

The financial projections are shown on the following page.





Scenario 3 - Water Utility Financial Projections – Uniform Rate for All Use/Users

Projected Water Rate Adjustment	Projected	<u>Calculate</u>	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	2013-2022	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Operating Revenues												
Charges for Services		1,250,000	1,145,594	1,188,301	1,232,259	1,277,499	1,329,106	1,355,163	1,386,270	1,417,377	1,453,533	1,489,690
Hook-up Charges		9,000	10,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	20,600	20,600	25,600	25,600	30,600	30,600
Water Meter Sales		10,000	15,475	22,725	22,725	22,725	29,975	29,975	37,225	37,225	44,475	44,475
Other Revenue	5.00%	36,000	37,800	39,690	41,675	43,758	45,946	48,243	50,656	53,188	55,848	58,640
Total Operating Revenue		1,305,000	1,209,469	1,266,316	1,312,258	1,359,582	1,425,627	1,453,981	1,499,750	1,533,390	1,584,456	1,623,405
Operating Expenses												
Personal Services	5.00%	181.337	196,545	206,372	216,691	227,525	238,902	250,847	263,389	276,559	290,386	304,906
Materials and Supplies	4.00%	237,500	262,500	273,000	283.920	295,277	307.088	319.371	332,146	345,432	359.249	373,619
Contractual Services	10.00%	110.000	120,000	132,000	145,200	159,720	175,692	193,261	212,587	233,846	257,231	282,954
Utilities	6.00%	92.000	92,000	97,520	103,371	109,573	116,148	123,117	130,504	138,334	146,634	155,432
Other	6.00%	31,450	32,450	34,397	36,461	38,648	40,967	43,425	46,031	48,793	51,720	54,824
Existing Depreciation		435,000	435,000	421,950	409,292	397,013	385,102	373,549	362,343	351,473	340,928	330,701
New Depreciation		-	-	700	76,233	76,233	89,511	89,511	89,511	89,511	89,511	89,511
Total Operating Expenses		1,087,287	1,138,495	1,165,939	1,271,167	1,303,990	1,353,410	1,393,082	1,436,511	1,483,947	1,535,660	1,591,946
Operating Income (Loss)		217,713	70,974	100,377	41,091	55,593	72,217	60,900	63,239	49,443	48,796	31,459
Non Operation Revenues (Expenses)												
Insetment Bomine	1.00%	25,000	38 616	43 306	48 386	53 507	58 605	64 088	60 671	75 230	80 557	86 155
Second Accomments	1.00%	25,000	56,010	43,390	46,780	33,01	36,093	04,000	09,071	13,430	00,007	60,00
Bond Interest - Evicting		(13,566)	(5.750)	(5.225)	(4 575)	(3.825)	(3.038)	(2.213)	(1.388)	(488)		-
Doning A part Fast		(15,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,520)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5.500)
Taying Again Fors Total Non Oneration Revenues (Exnemes))	(5,500)	(3,500)	32 671	(3,500)	(3,500) 44 187	(3,500) 50 158	(3,300) 56 376	67 784	(0,500)	75 057	(3,500) 80 655
TOTAL NOR OPERATING REVENIES (PAPERSES	•)	13,954	21,00	524071	J09/11	70102	50,150	JU, 70	U2 ₇ 704	0,240	73,037	80,000
Net Income (Loss) Before Transfers		233,647	98,340	133,048	7 9,40 2	99,774	122,375	117,275	126,023	118,686	123,853	112,114
Operating Transfers												
Transfers In		-										
Transfers (Out)		(34,511)	(35,861)	(34,646)	(33,416)	(34,627)	(35,726)					
Total Operating Transfers		(34,511)	(35,861)	(34,646)	(33,416)	(34,627)	(35,726)	-	-	-	-	-
Net Income (Loss)		199,136	62,479	98,402	45,986	65,147	86,649	117,275	126,023	118,686	123,853	112,114
Beginning Cash & Investments		3.649.473	3,861,609	4,339,588	4,838,639	5,350,650	5,869,543	6,408,805	6,967,141	7,523,017	8,055,687	8,615,478
NetIncome		199,136	62,479	98,402	45,986	65,147	86,649	117.275	126.023	118.686	123.853	112.114
Depreciation		435.000	435.000	422.650	485.524	473.246	474.613	463.060	451.854	440.983	430.439	420.211
Amortization		5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5_500	5.500	5.500	5,500	5.500	5,500
Acquisition and Construction of Assets					- <i>-</i>	- <i>-</i>						- <i>-</i>
Payments on Existing Long-Term Debt		(427,500)	(25,000)	(27,500)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(27,500)	(27,500)	(27,500)	(32,500)	-	-
Ending Cash Balance		3,861,609	4,339,588	4,838,639	5,350,650	5,869,543	6,408,805	6,967,141	7,523,017	8,055,687	8,615,478	9,153,304
Minimum Cash Balance		071 000	204 (2) 1	201 40 2	418 844	205 00-	225 255	3 60 080	200 100	200 200	202 01 2	100 00 r
For ongoing operations		2/1,822	284,624	291,485	517,792	323,997	558,353	548,270	339,128	570,987	583,915	397,986
		30,750	32,725	29,575	28,825	30,538	29,713	28,888	32,988	-	-	-
		302,572	317,349	321,000	540,017	330,335	308,003	3/7,138	392,115	37 0,98 7	383,915	397,986
Amount Over (Under) Minimum		3,239,037	4,022,239	4,217,280	5,004,053	3,313,008	0,040,740	0,289,983	7,150,902	7,064,700	8,431,303	8,133,317

Scenario 4 – Revised Block Rate Structure

One final option we reviewed is to keep a block rate structure, whereby the price of water increases with volume consumed, but reduce the number of blocks from five to three for residential and from three to two for non-residential and non-residential irrigation. The following block rate structure is proposed in order to meet revenue requirements:

Proposed 2013 Residential Rates

	Residential
0 – 30,000 gallons	\$1.89
30,001 - 50,000	\$2.09
> 50,000	\$2.91

Based on this rate structure, 95% of residential accounts would fall into the first tier and 99.3% in the first two tiers (based on 2010 and 2011 winter average consumption records).



Proposed 2013 Non-Residential Rates

	Non-Residential
0 – 50,000 gallons	\$2.04
> 50,000	\$2.30

Proposed 2013 Non-Residential Irrigation Rates

	Non-Residential Irrigation
0 – 50,000 gallons	\$2.55
> 50,000	\$3.57

Our proposed rates along with 2.0% increases in these rates 2014-2017 project depreciation could be funded while maintaining recommended reserve levels.

The financial projections are shown on the following page.



28



Scenario 4 - Water Utility Financial Projections – Revised Block-Rate Structure

Projected Water Rate Adjustment	Projected Change	<u>Celculate</u>	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	2013-2022	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Operating Revenues												
Charges for Services		1,250,000	1,141,244	1,183,789	1,227,580	1,272,648	1,324,059	1,350,017	1,381,006	1,411,995	1,448,014	1,484,033
Hook-up Charges		9,000	10,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	20,600	20,600	25,600	25,600	30,600	30,600
Water Meter Sales		10,000	15,475	22,725	22,725	22,725	29,975	29,975	37,225	37,225	44,475	44,475
Other Revenue	5.00%	36,000	37,800	39,690	41,675	43,758	45,946	48,243	50,656	53,188	55,848	58,640
Total Operating Revenue		1,305,000	1,205,119	1,261,804	1,307,579	1,354,732	1,420,580	1,448,836	1,494,487	1,528,008	1 ,578,93 7	1,617,748
0												
D 10	5.000/	101.007	106 645	206 202	21.6 (01	207 525	220.002	250.047	2/2 280	2016 660	200.207	204.007
Personal Services	5.00%	181,337	190,343	206,372	210,091	221,223	238,902	230,847	203,389	276,009	290,380	304,906
Materials and Supplies	4.00%	237,500	202,300	273,000	283,920	295,277	307,088	319,371	332,140	343,432	339,249	373,019
Contractual Services	10.00%	110,000	120,000	132,000	145,200	159,720	175,692	193,261	212,587	233,840	257,231	282,954
	6.00%	92,000	92,000	97,520	103,371	28 648	110,148	123,117	130,304	138,334	140,034	133,432
	0.00%	51,450	3430	34,397	30,401	36,048	40,907	43,423	40,051	46,193	31,720	34,824
Existing Depreciation		435,000	435,000	421,950	409,292	397,013	385,102	37/3,549	362,343	351,473	340,928	330,701
New Deprecation		-	-	700	76,233	76,233	89,511	89,511	89,511	89,511	89,511	89,511
Total Operating Expenses		1,087,287	1,138,495	1,165,939	1,271,167	1,303,990	1,353,410	1,393,082	1,436,511	1,483,947	1,535,660	1,591,946
Operating Income (Loss)		217,713	66,624	95,865	36,412	50,742	67,170	55,754	57,975	44,061	43,276	25,802
Non Operating Revenues (Expenses)												
Investment Earnings	1.00%	25,000	38,616	43,352	48,297	53,370	58,509	63,849	69,379	74,882	80,151	85,690
Special Assessments		10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bond Interest - Existing		(13,566)	(5,750)	(5,225)	(4,575)	(3,825)	(3,038)	(2,213)	(1,388)	(488)	-	-
Paying Agent Fees		(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)	(5,500)
Total Non Operating Revenues (Expenses	5)	15,934	27 ,366	32,627	38,222	44,045	49,971	56,137	62,491	68, 89 4	74,651	80,190
Net Income (Loss) Before Transfers		233,647	93,990	128,492	74,634	94,787	117,142	111,891	120,467	112,955	117,928	105,992
Operating Transfers												
Transfers In		-										
Transfers (Out)		(34,511)	(35,861)	(34,646)	(33,416)	(34,627)	(35,726)					
Total Operating Transfers		(34,511)	(35,861)	(34,646)	(33,416)	(34,627)	(35,726)	-	-	-	-	-
Net Income (Loss)		199,136	58,129	93,846	41,218	60,160	81,415	111,891	120,467	112,955	117,928	105,992
Beginning Cash & Investments		3,649,473	3,861,609	4,335,238	4,829,734	5,336,976	5,850,882	6,384,910	6,937,861	7,488,181	8,015,120	8,568,987
Net Income		199,136	58,129	93,846	41,218	60,160	81,415	111,891	120,467	112,955	117,928	105,992
Depreciation		435,000	435,000	422,650	485,524	473,246	474,613	463,060	451,854	440,983	430,439	420,211
Amortization		5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500
Acquisition and Construction of Assets		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Payments on Existing Long-Term Debt		(427,500)	(25,000)	(27,500)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(27,500)	(27,500)	(27,500)	(32,500)	-	-
Ending Cash Balance		3,861,609	4,335,238	4,829,734	5,336,976	5,850,882	6,384,910	6,937,861	7,488,181	8,015,120	8,568,987	9,100,691
Minimum Cash Balance												
For ongoing operations		271,822	284,624	291,485	317,792	325,997	338,353	348,270	359,128	370,987	383,915	397,986
For debt service		30,750	32,725	29,575	28,825	30,538	29,713	28,888	32,988	-	-	-
Minimum Cash Balance Required		302,572	317,349	321,060	346,617	356,535	368,065	377,158	392,115	370,987	383,915	397,986
Amount Over (Under) Minimum		3,559,037	4,017,889	4,508,674	4,990,359	5,494,347	6,016,845	6,560,703	7,096,066	7,644,133	8,185,072	8,702,704

Impact on Water Charges

The proposed quarterly water bill for an average residential water user of 17,500 gallons per quarter, 24,000 gallons per quarter, and 30,000 gallons per quarter in 2013 compared to current rates for each rate scenario are shown in the tables below:

Average Residential User of 17,500	Fixed	Volume	Total	Total	Increase
gallons per quarter (64.6% of users)	Fee/REU	Charges	Quarterly	Quarterly	2014-2017
			Bill 2013	Bill 2012	
Scenario 1	\$10.00	\$32.20	\$42.20	\$41.50	2.0%
(Current Blocks)					
Scenario 2	\$10.00	\$35.35	\$45.35	\$41.50	2.0%
(One Rate for Each Customer Class)					
Scenario 3	\$10.00	\$37.80	\$47.80	\$41.50	2.0%
(One Rate for All Users/All Use)					
Scenario 4	\$10.00	\$33.08	\$43.08	\$41.50	2.0%
(Revised Block Rate Structure)					

Average Residential User of 24,000	Fixed	Volume	Total	Total	Increase
gallons per quarter (86.4% of users)	Fee/REU	Charges	Quarterly	Quarterly	2014-2017
			Bill	Bill 2012	
Scenario 1	\$10.00	\$44.96	\$54.96	\$54.00	2.0%
(Current Blocks)					
Scenario 2	\$10.00	\$48.48	\$58.48	\$54.00	2.0%
(One Rate for Each Customer Class)					
Scenario 3	\$10.00	\$51.84	\$61.84	\$54.00	2.0%
(One Rate for All Users/All Use)					
Scenario 4	\$10.00	\$45.36	\$55.36	\$54.00	2.0%
(Revised Block Rate Structure)					

Average Residential User of 30,000	Fixed	Volume	Total	Total	Increase
gallons per quarter (95.0% of users)	Fee/REU	Charges	Quarterly	Quarterly	2014-2017
			Bill	Bill 2012	
Scenario 1	\$10.00	\$57.20	\$67.20	\$66.00	2.0%
(Current Blocks)					
Scenario 2	\$10.00	\$60.60	\$70.60	\$66.00	2.0%
(One Rate for Each Customer Class)					
Scenario 3	\$10.00	\$64.80	\$74.80	\$66.00	2.0%
(One Rate for All Users/All Use)					
Scenario 4	\$10.00	\$56.70	\$66.70	\$66.00	2.0%
(Revised Block Rate Structure)					

The bill for an average residential user in scenarios 2 and 3 is higher due to the need to generate lost revenues that higher end water users currently pay for using more water at higher rates.

We recommend the City establish Water rates on a three-year basis. The rates should be reviewed on an annual basis concurrent with the development of following year's budget.

A comparison of an average residential quarterly bill for the City of Lino Lakes to the average quarterly bill of other communities selected by the City is shown below.

The average water bill of the seven communities for a resident using 17,500 gallons per quarter surveyed is \$45.75, putting three of the four the proposed 2013 options slightly below average. Some comparable communities have already adopted 2013 rates. It is noted where that is the case.





WATER RATES - RESIDENTIA	L USER - AVERAGE	USE 17,500 GALLO	NS/QUARTER		
Jurisdiction	Block Usage	Water Rates per 1,000 Gallons	Volume Charge	Base Charge	Total Avg Quarterly Charge
Lino Lakes SCENARIO 1 - 2013	0 - 20,000 20,001 - 40,000 40,001 - 79,000 79,001 - 120,000 > 120,000	\$1.84 \$2.04 \$2.55 \$3.06 \$3.57	\$32.20	\$10.00	\$42.20
Lino Lakes SCENARIO 2 - 2013	All Use	\$2.02	\$35.35	\$10.00	\$45.35
Lino Lakes SCENARIO 3 - 2013	All Use	\$2.16	\$37.80	\$10.00	\$47.80
Lino Lakes SCENARIO 4 - 2013	0 - 30,000 30,001 - 50,000 >50,000	\$1.89 \$2.09 \$2.91	\$33.08	\$10.00	\$43.08
Blaine	0 - 24,000 24,001 - 150,000 >150,000	\$1.06 \$1.43 \$2.10	\$18.55	\$5.50	\$24.05
Hugo - 2012 (2013 rates determined in March)	0 - 15,000 15,001 - 30,000	\$1.50 \$1.65 \$2.50	\$26.63	\$17.00	\$43.63
Circle Pines	0 - 8,000 8,001 - 16,000 16,000 - 35,000 >35,000	\$1.70 \$1.90 \$2.35 \$3.25	\$32.33	\$7.50	\$39.83
Centerville 2013 rates	0 - 90,000 90,001 - 150,000 >150,001	\$2.00 \$2.20 \$2.50	\$35.00	\$21.00	\$56.00
Lexington	0 - 10,000 10,001 - 20,000 20,001 - 30,000 30,001 - 40,000 >40,000	\$1.40 \$1.68 \$2.10 \$2.73 \$3.69	\$26.60	\$10.00	\$36.60
Arden Hills	0- 10,000 10,001 - 35,000 >35,000	\$0.00 \$3.28 \$5.75	\$24.60	\$38.47	\$63.07
Shoreview 2013 rates	0 - 5,000 5,001 - 10,000 10,001 - 30,000 30,001 or >	\$1.08 \$1.74 \$2.41 \$3.96	\$32.18	\$13.40	\$45.58
Forest Lake (a) 2013 rates	0 - 5,000 5,001 - 15,000 >15,001	\$0.00 \$2.87 \$3.54	\$37.55	\$19.70	\$57.25

(a) City has fall/winter rates that vary from spring/summer. Our calculation is an average of the two.



The average water bill of the seven communities for a resident using 24,000 gallons per quarter surveyed is \$60.56, again putting three of the four proposed 2013 options below average.



33



AL USER - AVERAGE	USE 24,000 GALLO	NS/QUARTER		
Block Usage	Water Rates per 1,000 Gallons	Volume Charge	Base Charge	Total Avg Quarterly Charge
0 - 20,000 20,001 - 40,000 40,001 - 79,000 79,001 - 120,000 > 120,000	\$1.84 \$2.04 \$2.55 \$3.06 \$3.57	\$44.96	\$10.00	\$54.96
All Use	\$2.02	\$48.48	\$10.00	\$58.48
All Use	\$2.16	\$51.84	\$10.00	\$61.84
0 - 30,000 30,001 - 50,000 >50,000	\$1.89 \$2.09 \$2.91	\$45.36	\$10.00	\$55.36
0 - 24,000 24,001 - 150,000 >150,000	\$1.06 \$1.43 \$2.10	\$25.44	\$5.50	\$30.94
	.			
0 - 15,000 15,001 - 30,000 > 30,000	\$1.65 \$2.50	\$37.35	\$17.00	\$54.35
0 - 8,000 8,001 - 16,000 16,000 - 35,000	\$1.70 \$1.90 \$2.35 \$3.25	\$47.60	\$7.50	\$55.10
>00,000	ψ0.20			
0 - 90,000	\$2.00			
90,001 - 150,000 >150,001	\$2.20 \$2.50	\$48.00	\$21.00	\$69.00
0 - 10,000 10,001 - 20,000 20,001 - 30,000 30,001 - 40,000 >40,000	\$1.40 \$1.68 \$2.10 \$2.73 \$3.69	\$39.20	\$10.00	\$49.20
0- 10,000 10,001 - 35,000 >35,000	\$0.00 \$3.28 \$5.75	\$45.92	\$38.47	\$84.39
,				
0 - 5,000 5,001 - 10,000 10,001 - 30,000 30,001 or >	\$1.08 \$1.74 \$2.41 \$3.96	\$47.84	\$13.40	\$61.24
0 - 5,000 5,001 - 15,000 >15,001	\$0.00 \$2.87 \$3.54	\$60.56	\$19.70	\$80.26
	AL USER - AVERAGE Block Usage 0 - 20,000 20,001 - 40,000 40,001 - 79,000 79,001 - 120,000 > 120,000 All Use 0 - 30,000 30,001 - 50,000 >50,000 0 - 24,000 24,001 - 150,000 >150,000 0 - 15,000 150,001 0 - 8,000 8,001 - 16,000 16,000 - 35,000 >30,001 0 - 90,000 90,001 - 150,000 >30,001 0 - 10,000 10,001 - 20,000 20,001 - 30,000 30,001 - 40,000 >40,000 0 - 10,000 10,001 - 30,000 30,001 - 40,000 >35,000 0 - 10,000 10,001 - 30,000 30,001 - 10,000 10,001 - 30,000 30,001 or > 0 - 5,000 5,001 - 10,000 10,001 - 30,000 30,001 or > 0 - 5,000 </td <td>AL USER - AVERAGE USE 24,000 GALLO Block Usage Water Rates per 1,000 Gallons 0 - 20,000 \$1.84 20,001 - 40,000 \$2.04 40,001 - 79,000 \$2.55 79,001 - 120,000 \$3.06 > 120,000 \$3.57 All Use \$2.02 All Use \$2.02 All Use \$2.02 All Use \$2.01 0 - 30,000 \$1.89 30,001 - 50,000 \$2.91 0 - 24,000 \$1.06 24,001 - 150,000 \$1.43 > 150,000 \$1.43 > 150,000 \$1.50 15,001 - 30,000 \$1.65 > 30,000 \$2.35 > 30,000 \$2.35 > 30,000 \$2.20 0 - 8,000 \$1.70 8,001 - 16,000 \$1.90 16,000 - 35,000 \$2.20 > 150,001 \$2.20 > 150,001 \$2.20 > 150,001 \$2.50 0 - 90,000 \$1.48 20,001 - 30,000</td> <td>LUSER - AVERAGE USE 24,000 GALLONS/QUARTER Block Usage Water Rates per 1,000 Gallons Volume Charge 20,001 - 40,000 \$2.04 40,001 - 79,000 \$2.04 40,001 - 79,000 \$3.3.06 \$44.96 79,001 - 120,000 \$3.57 \$48.48 All Use \$2.02 \$48.48 0 - 30,000 \$1.89 \$45.36 30,001 - 50,000 \$2.91 \$45.36 0 - 24,000 \$1.06 \$2.44 >150,000 \$2.10 \$2.44 0 - 15,000 \$1.43 \$25.44 >150,000 \$2.10 \$37.35 0 - 15,000 \$1.65 \$37.35 > 30,000 \$2.50 \$47.60 0 - 15,000 \$1.90 \$48.00 16,000 - 35,000 \$2.20 \$48.00 > 150,001 \$2.50 \$47.60 0 - 90,000 \$2.00 \$48.00 > 150,001 \$2.50 \$48.00 > 150,001 \$2.50 \$48.00 > 150,001 \$2.50 \$48.00</td> <td>LUSER - AVERAGE USE 24,000 GALLONS/QUARTER Block Usage Water Rates per 1,000 Gallons Volume Charge Base Charge 0 - 20,000 51.84 20,001 - 40,000 52.04 $44,96$ 510.00 9,001 - 120,000 53.06 544.96 510.00 51.84 510.00 All Use $\$2.02$ $\$48.48$ $\$10.00$ 51.84 510.00 0 - 30,000 $\$1.89$ $\$2.09$ $\$45.36$ $\$10.00$ 0 - 24,000 $\$1.06$ $\$2.91$ $\$25.44$ $\$5.50$ 0 - 24,000 $\$1.66$ $\$2.10$ $\$1.60$ $\$2.10$ 0 - 50,000 \$2.10 $\$1.60$ $\$2.50$ $\$3.000$ 15,001 - 30,000 \$1.60 $\$2.10$ $\$3.00$ $\$2.10$ 0 - 50,000 \$1.60 $\$3.25$ $\$37.35$ $\$17.00$ $53,000$ \$2.20 \$48.00 \$21.00 $15,001 - 30,000$ \$2.40 \$48.00 \$21.00 $50,000$ \$1.40 \$3.25 \$35.000 \$3.68</td>	AL USER - AVERAGE USE 24,000 GALLO Block Usage Water Rates per 1,000 Gallons 0 - 20,000 \$1.84 20,001 - 40,000 \$2.04 40,001 - 79,000 \$2.55 79,001 - 120,000 \$3.06 > 120,000 \$3.57 All Use \$2.02 All Use \$2.02 All Use \$2.02 All Use \$2.01 0 - 30,000 \$1.89 30,001 - 50,000 \$2.91 0 - 24,000 \$1.06 24,001 - 150,000 \$1.43 > 150,000 \$1.43 > 150,000 \$1.50 15,001 - 30,000 \$1.65 > 30,000 \$2.35 > 30,000 \$2.35 > 30,000 \$2.20 0 - 8,000 \$1.70 8,001 - 16,000 \$1.90 16,000 - 35,000 \$2.20 > 150,001 \$2.20 > 150,001 \$2.20 > 150,001 \$2.50 0 - 90,000 \$1.48 20,001 - 30,000	LUSER - AVERAGE USE 24,000 GALLONS/QUARTER Block Usage Water Rates per 1,000 Gallons Volume Charge 20,001 - 40,000 \$2.04 40,001 - 79,000 \$2.04 40,001 - 79,000 \$3.3.06 \$44.96 79,001 - 120,000 \$3.57 \$48.48 All Use \$2.02 \$48.48 0 - 30,000 \$1.89 \$45.36 30,001 - 50,000 \$2.91 \$45.36 0 - 24,000 \$1.06 \$2.44 >150,000 \$2.10 \$2.44 0 - 15,000 \$1.43 \$25.44 >150,000 \$2.10 \$37.35 0 - 15,000 \$1.65 \$37.35 > 30,000 \$2.50 \$47.60 0 - 15,000 \$1.90 \$48.00 16,000 - 35,000 \$2.20 \$48.00 > 150,001 \$2.50 \$47.60 0 - 90,000 \$2.00 \$48.00 > 150,001 \$2.50 \$48.00 > 150,001 \$2.50 \$48.00 > 150,001 \$2.50 \$48.00	LUSER - AVERAGE USE 24,000 GALLONS/QUARTER Block Usage Water Rates per 1,000 Gallons Volume Charge Base Charge 0 - 20,000 51.84 20,001 - 40,000 52.04 $44,96$ 510.00 9,001 - 120,000 53.06 544.96 510.00 51.84 510.00 All Use $$2.02$ $$48.48$ $$10.00$ 51.84 510.00 0 - 30,000 $$1.89$ $$2.09$ $$45.36$ $$10.00$ 0 - 24,000 $$1.06$ $$2.91$ $$25.44$ $$5.50$ 0 - 24,000 $$1.66$ $$2.10$ $$1.60$ $$2.10$ 0 - 50,000 \$2.10 $$1.60$ $$2.50$ $$3.000$ 15,001 - 30,000 \$1.60 $$2.10$ $$3.00$ $$2.10$ 0 - 50,000 \$1.60 $$3.25$ $$37.35$ $$17.00$ $53,000$ \$2.20 \$48.00 \$21.00 $15,001 - 30,000$ \$2.40 \$48.00 \$21.00 $50,000$ \$1.40 \$3.25 \$35.000 \$3.68

The average water bill of the seven communities for a resident using 30,000 gallons per quarter surveyed is \$74.63, putting three of the four proposed 2013 options below average.



Jurisdiction	Block Usage	Water Rates per 1,000 Gallons	Volume Charge	Base Charge	Total Avg Quarterly Charge
Lino Lakes SCENARIO 1 - 2013	0 - 20,000 20,001 - 40,000 40,001 - 79,000 79,001 - 120,000 > 120,000	\$1.84 \$2.04 \$2.55 \$3.06 \$3.57	\$57.20	\$10.00	\$67.20
Lino Lakes SCENARIO 2 - 2013	All Use	\$2.02	\$60.60	\$10.00	\$70.60
Lino Lakes SCENARIO 3 - 2013	All Use	\$2.16	\$64.80	\$10.00	\$74.80
Lino Lakes SCENARIO 4 - 2013	0 - 30,000 30,001 - 50,000 >50,000	\$1.89 \$2.09 \$2.91	\$56.70	\$10.00	\$66.70
Blaine	0 - 24,000 24,001 - 150,000 >150,000	\$1.06 \$1.43 \$2.10	\$34.02	\$5.50	\$39.52
Hugo - 2012 (2013 rates determined in March)	0 - 15,000 15,001 - 30,000 > 30,000	\$1.50 \$1.65 \$2.50	\$47.25	\$17.00	\$64.25
Circle Pines	0 - 8,000 8,001 - 16,000 16,000 - 35,000 >35,000	\$1.70 \$1.90 \$2.35 \$3.25	\$61.70	\$7.50	\$69.20
Centerville 2013 rates	0 - 90,000 90,001 - 150,000 >150,001	\$2.00 \$2.20 \$2.50	\$60.00	\$21.00	\$81.00
Lexington	0 - 10,000 10,001 - 20,000 20,001 - 30,000 30,001 - 40,000	\$1.40 \$1.68 \$2.10 \$2.73 \$3.69	\$51.80	\$10.00	\$61.80
Arden Hills	0- 10,000 10,001 - 35,000 >35,000	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$3.28 \$5.75	\$65.60	\$38.47	\$104.07
Shoreview 2013 rates	0 - 5,000 5,001 - 10,000 10,001 - 30,000 30,001 or >	\$1.08 \$1.74 \$2.41 \$3.96	\$62.30	\$13.40	\$75.70
Forest Lake (a) 2013 rates	0 - 5,000 5,001 - 15,000 >15,001	\$0.00 \$2.87 \$3.54	\$81.80	\$19.70	\$101.50

(a) City has fall/winter rates that vary from spring/summer. Our calculation is an average of the two.

5. Sewer Utility

Sewer Rates

In addition to quarterly billing charges, the City currently has a Sewer Trunk/Availability Charge and a Sewer Connection Fee. These fees are as follows:

Quarterly Charges

Volume Charges	Amount
0 – 10,000 gal	\$52.00
>10,000 (per 1,000 gallons)	\$1.00

Availability Charge

Per SAC Unit	Amount
	\$2,911

Connection Fee

Meter Size (Inches)	Amount
All	\$200

The Sewer Availability Charge is a fee incurred to recover the capital costs associated with extending and over-sizing of trunk lines to new developments within the City. This cost should be established to recover the capital cost associated with providing the necessary infrastructure to make these services available to the customer. City staff has determined the connection fee and availability charge to be sufficient at this time.

The projection of residential sewer connections is the same as projected new water connections. The projected number of added sewer connections over the planning period is as follows:

	Residential (3/4" meter)	Commercial (1" meter)
2013	50	3
2014	75	3
2015	75	3
2016	75	3
2017	100	3
2018	100	3
2019	125	3
2020	125	3
2021	150	3
2022	150	3

Sewer Customer Growth Projections

Sewer Utility Revenue Requirements

Revenue requirements indicate the amount of revenue needed for the City to continue efficient operations as well as maintain an adequate cash balance in the utility. The following page shows the projected operating statement and annual cash balance in the Sewer Utility, assuming no rate increases, over the planning period 2013-2022.

Operating income is projected to become negative at the end of 2012 and remain negative through the end of the planning period, indicating that depreciation is not being fully funded. The projected ending cash balance in 2022 is \$8,759,808. Sewer utility projections through 2022 without any rate increases are shown on the following page.



Sewer Utility Financial Projections – NO RATE INCREASES

Projected Sewer Rate Adjustment	Projected Change	<u>Calculate</u>	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	2013-2022	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Revenues												
Charges for Services	3.42%	1,480,000	1.530.616	1.582.963	1.637.100	1.693.089	1.750.993	1.810.877	1.872.809	1.936.859	2.003.099	2.071.605
Hook-up Charges	0.00%	8,000	10,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	20,600	20,600	25,600	25,600	30,600	30,600
Other Revenue	2.00%	500	510	520	531	541	552	563	574	586	598	609
Total Revenue	2.0070	1 488 500	1541776	1 599 083	1 653 231	1 709 230	1 772 145	1 832 040	1 898 983	1963.045	2 834 297	2 102 815
		1, moy.00	1,	1,000,000	1,46,67,6491	1,10>,210	1,772,142	1,002,010	1,0>0,>00	1,700,015	2,00 1,2.27	2,102,015
Onersting Exnenses												
Personal Services	5.00%	184 942	200.435	210 457	220 980	232.029	243 630	255 811	268 602	282,032	296 134	310 940
Materials and Sumplies	4.00%	42 500	52,500	54 600	56 784	59.055	61 418	63 874	66 429	69,086	71 850	74 724
Contractual Services	10.00%	105,000	115,000	126 500	139 150	153.065	168 372	185 209	203 730	224 102	246 513	271 164
MCFS Sewer Charges	6.00%	704 933	767 200	813 232	862,026	913 747	968 572	1 026 687	1 088 288	1 153 585	1 222 800	1 296 168
I Bilities	6.00%	44 000	44,000	46 640	49 438	52,405	55 549	58 882	62,415	66 160	70 129	74 337
Other	6.00%	13 400	15 400	16 324	17 303	18 342	19 442	20 609	21 845	23 156	24 545	26 018
 Existing Democration		450,000	450,000	436 500	423 405	410 703	398 382	386 430	374 837	363 592	352,685	342 104
New Depreciation	-	150,000	1.500	12 110	25,076	69 71 7	74,286	76 205	78 193	80.253	106 158	108 368
Total Onerating Expenses		1 544 775	1 646 035	1 716 363	1 794 163	1 909 062	1 090 650	2 073 707	2 164 330	2 261 967	2 300 813	2 503 823
Tom optiming repuises		1,511,775	1,010,005	1,710,5057	1,77,100	1,707,002	1,707,050	2,013,101	2,105,607	2,201,707	2,0,0,015	23-70-2502-25
Operating Income (Loss)		66 275)	(104 300)	(117.29D)	(140 037)	(100 837)	(217 505)	(241 667)	065 350	798 97 75	(356 516)	(401.00%)
opening mode (1885)		(50,015)	(10 500))	(111,200)	(110,702)	(177,002)	(211,5425)	(211,001)	(2013)12-03	(276,722)	(0.50,2510)	(101,000)
Non Operating Revenues (Expenses)												
Investment Earnings	1.00%	50.000	69.396	72,381	75,212	77.858	79,422	81.514	83.631	85.422	85,733	86.936
Bond Interest - Existing			(5.750)	(5.225)	(4 575)	(3.825)	(3.038)	(2,213)	(1.388)	(488)		,
Paving Agent Fees	•		(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1.500)	(1.500)	(1.500)
Other		-	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)
Total Non Operating Revenues (Expenses)		50.000	62.146	65.656	69 137	72.533	74 884	77 802	80 743	83 434	84 233	85 436
romini opening revenues (infenses)		50,000								,		,
Net Income (Loss) Before Transfers		(6,275)	(42, 163)	(51,624)	(71,795)	(127, 299)	(142,621)	(163,865)	(184,613)	(215,488)	(272,283)	(315,572)
Operating Transfers												
Transfers In	(-										
Transfers (Out)	•	(34,511)	(35,861)	(34,646)	(33,416)	(34,627)	(35,726)					
Total Operating Transfers		64.510	G5.86D	(34.646)	(33.416)	G4.627)	(35.726)	-	-	-	-	-
1 0												
Net Income (Loss)		(40,786)	(78,024)	(86,270)	(105,211)	(161,926)	(178,347)	(163,865)	(184,613)	(215,488)	(272,283)	(315,572)
Beginning Cash & Investments		6,575,417	6,939,631	7,238,107	7,521,152	7,785.768	7,942,180	8,151,424	8,363.051	8,542,184	8,573,330	8,693,589
NetIncome		(40,786)	(78.024)	(86.270)	(105,211)	(161,926)	(178.347)	(163,865)	(184.613)	(215,488)	(272.283)	(315,572)
Depreciation		450,000	451,500	448.610	448.481	480,419	472.668	462.635	453.031	443,845	458,842	450,472
Amortization		-	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,
Acquisition and Construction of Assets	-	(45,000)	(50,000)	(51,795)	(53,654)	(137.082)	(57,576)	(59,643)	(61,784)	(164,712)	(66,300)	(68,680)
Payments on Existing Long-Term Debt			(25,000)	(27,500)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(27,500)	(27,500)	(27,500)	(32,500)	-	
Ending Cash Balance		6 939 631	7 238 107	7 521 152	7 785 768	7 942 180	8 151 474	8 363 051	8 547 184	8 573 330	8 693 589	8 759 808
Const Deserve			, 197 (197 and 1	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	1,100,100	·,- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				0,00,000	0,0,0,0,0	0,100,000
Minimum Cash Balance												
For ongoing operations		ļ	411,509	429,091	448,541	477,266	497,413	518,427	541,085	565,492	597,703	625,956
For debt service			34,225	31,075	30,325	32,038	31,213	30,388	34,488	1,500	1,500	180,000
Minimum Cash Balance Required			445,734	460,166	478,866	509,303	528,625	548,814	575,572	566,992	599,203	805,956
Amount Over (Under) Minimum			6,792,373	7,060,987	7,306,902	7,432,877	7,622,799	7,814,237	7,966,612	8,006,338	8,094,386	7,953,853



39

Capital Outlay

To determine the appropriate fees and rates needed for the operation of the Sewer Fund over the planning period, we have projected future revenue and expenditures and we have incorporated the anticipated future capital outlay needs provided by the City. The capital items are projected to be paid from both the Sewer Utility Fund and the Area and Unit Charge Fund. The capital costs and their projected source of funding are shown in the table below.

				Special	
	Sanitary Sewer	Area and Unit	Area and Unit	Assessments -	
	Utility	Charge Fund	Charge Bonds	Area and Unit	Totals
2012	45,000	-	-	-	45,000
2013	50,000	268,307	-	268,307	586,614
2014	51,795	337,185	-	337,185	726,165
2015	53,654	1,285,555	-	1,285,555	2,624,764
2016	137,082	-	-	-	137,082
2017	57,576	-	-	-	57,576
2018	59,643	-	-	-	59,643
2019	61,784	-	-	-	61,784
2020	164,712	612,433	1,287,087	980,312	3,044,544
2021	66,300	-	745,178	745,178	1,556,656
2022	68,680	-	4,940,354	-	5,009,034
Total	816,226	2,503,480	6,972,619	3,616,537	13,908,862

These capital projects to be paid for from the Sanitary Sewer Utility are primarily related to repair and maintenance of existing infrastructure. New items, such as new lift stations and trunk lines will be repaid from trunk charges collected from new users and deposited into the Area and Unit Charge Fund.

A detailed listing of the anticipated capital improvements shows estimated sewer capital expenditures through 2022 of approximately \$13,909,000. The anticipated capital improvements are shown on the following page.

		Sanitary Sewer	Area and Unit	Area and Unit	Special Assessments -	
Year	Project	Utility	Charge Fund	Charge Bonds	Area and Unit	Totals
2012	Capital Outlay from 2012 Sewer Budget	45,000				45,000
2013	Gravity Sewer Extension, 21st Avenue Phase 1 (1,320')		50,000		50,000	100,000
2013	Gravity Sewer, Cedar Street/Centerville Rd		218,307		218,307	436,614
2013	Sanitary Sewer Rehab	50,000				50,000
2014	Gravity Sewers, North of Century Farms, Stage 1		337,185		337,185	674,370
2014	Sanitary Sewer Rehab	51,795				51,795
2015	Gravity Sewer Extension, 21st Avenue Phase 2 (3,960')		275,000		275,000	550,000
2015	Gravity Sewer, Lift Station & Forcemain Area 1G		603,050		603,050	1,206,100
2015	NE Area Trunk Sewer, Stage 1		407,505		407,505	815,010
2015	Sanitary Sewer Rehab	53,654				53,654
2016	Sanitary Sewer Rehab	55,581				55,581
2016	Upgrade Lift Station No. 8	81,501				81,501
2017	Sanitary Sewer Rehab	57,576				57,576
2018	Sanitary Sewer Rehab	59,643				59,643
2019	Sanitary Sewer Rehab	61,784				61,784
2020	Gravity Sewer, 77th Street/Country Lane		84,427		337,708	422,135
2020	Gravity Sewer, Lake Drive North of Main Street			1,287,087	321,772	1,608,859
2020	Lift Station & Forcemain, Area 3D		276,232		69,058	345,290
2020	NE Area Trunk Sewer, Stage 2		251,774		251,774	503,548
2020	Sanitary Sewer Rehab	64,002				64,002
2020	Upgrade Lift Station No. 10	100,710				100,710
2021	Gravity Sewers, North of Century Farms, Stage 2			745,178	745,178	1,490,356
2021	Sanitary Sewer Rehab	66,300				66,300
2022	Sanitary Sewer Rehab	68,680				68,680
2022	West Side Relief Sewer			4,940,354		4,940,354
	TOTALS	816,226	2,503,480	6,972,619	3,616,537	13,908,862

Financial Projections

The financial projections assumed the City would maintain a minimum cash balance in the Sewer Fund equal to three months of anticipated operating expenses and one-year's debt service within the planning period as well as fully fund deprecation. This assumption was made to ensure that the Sewer Fund would have sufficient cash to fund operations going forward and meet future debt service requirements.

To determine the appropriate user rates needed for the repayment of debt service and operation of the Sewer Fund, we have projected future revenue and expenditures and have incorporated the anticipated future capital outlay needs for the time period covered by this study.

The financial projections began with the Sewer Fund expenditures; subsequently, revenues were adjusted to provide the recommended income, cash flow, and level of ending cash balances. Our expenditure projections are generally based on an analysis of past trends, anticipated changes in operations, and our significant experience in preparing sewer rate studies.

Our projections show that the existing rate structure combined with projected growth in the customer-base, will provided sufficient revenues to meet the minimum cash reserves recommended, but an increase of 7.0% in 2013 and 2.0% annual increases 2014-2019 will be needed to fully fund depreciation.

Our projections fund depreciation as well as the recommended reserve levels in the Sewer Fund throughout the planning period.

The financial projections are shown on the following pages.



Sewer Utility Financial Projections

Projected Sewer Rate Adjustment	Projected Change	<u>Calentate</u>	7.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	2013-2022	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Revenues												
Charges for Services	3.42%	1,480,000	1,637,759	1,727,646	1,822,466	1,922,490	2,028,004	2,139,309	2,256,723	2,333,903	2,413,722	2,496,272
Hook-up Charges	0.00%	8,000	10,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	20,600	20,600	25,600	25,600	30,600	30,600
Other Revenue	2.00%	500	510	520	531	541	552	563	574	586	598	609
Total Revenue		1,488,500	1,648,869	1,743,766	1,838,597	1,938,631	2,049,156	2,160,472	2,282,897	2,360,089	2,444,920	2,527,481
Operating Expenses												
Personal Services	5.00%	184,942	200,435	210,457	220,980	232,029	243,630	255,811	268,602	282,032	296,134	310,940
Materials and Supplies	4.00%	42,500	52,500	54,600	56,784	59,055	61,418	63,874	66,429	69,086	71,850	74,724
Contractual Services	10.00%	105,000	115,000	126,500	139,150	153,065	168,372	185,209	203,730	224,102	246,513	271,164
MCES Sewer Charges	6.00%	704,933	767,200	813,232	862,026	913,747	968,572	1,026,687	1,088,288	1,153,585	1,222,800	1,296,168
Utilities	6.00%	44,000	44,000	46,640	49,438	52,405	55,549	58,882	62,415	66,160	70,129	74,337
Other	6.00%	13,400	15,400	16,324	17,303	18,342	19,442	20,609	21,845	23,156	24,545	26,018
Existing Depreciation		450,000	450,000	436,500	423,405	410,703	398,382	386,430	374,837	363,592	352,685	342,104
New Depreciation			1,500	12,110	25,076	69,717	74,286	76,205	78,193	80,253	106,158	108,368
Total Operating Expenses		1,544,775	1,646,035	1,716,363	1,794,163	1,909,062	1,989,650	2,073,707	2,164,339	2,261,967	2,390,813	2,503,823
Operating Income (Loss)		(56,275)	2,834	27,403	44,434	29,569	59,506	86,765	118,558	98,122	54,107	23,658
Non Operating Revenues (Expenses)												
Investment Earnings	1.00%	50,000	69,396	73,453	77,740	82,266	86,168	91,098	96,594	102,354	106,806	112,325
Bond Interest - Existing		-	(5,750)	(5,225)	(4,575)	(3,825)	(3,038)	(2,213)	(1,388)	(488)	-	-
Paying Agent Fees		-	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)
Other		-										
Total Non Operating Revenues (Expenses)		50,000	62,146	66,728	71,665	76,941	81,630	87,385	93,707	100,367	105,306	110,825
Net Income (Loss) Before Transfers		(6,275)	64,980	94,131	116,100	106,510	141,136	174,150	212,265	198,489	159,412	134,483
Operating Transfers												
Transfers In		-										
Transfers (Out)		(34,511)	(35,861)	(34,646)	(33,416)	(34,627)	(35,726)					
Total Operating Transfers		(34,511)	(35,861)	(34,646)	(33,416)	(34,627)	(35,726)	-	-	-	-	-
Net Income (Loss)		(40,786)	29,119	59,484	82,683	71,883	105,410	174,150	212,265	198,489	159,412	134,483
Regiming Cash & Investments		6 575 417	6 939 631	7 345 250	7 774 050	8 776 560	8616781	9 109 782	9 659 425	10 235 436	10.680.559	11 232 513
Net Income		(40.786)	20,110	50 484	87.683	71 993	105 410	174 150	212 265	109.490	150 412	134 483
Demovidian		450,000	451 500	449 610	449 491	480.410	472 668	467 625	452 021	442 845	458 847	450 472
Amostimation		450,000	4,1,700	10,010	10,101	100,112	472,000	102,035	455,051		1.0,012	450,472
Ambiezation A empirities and Construction of America	•	(45.000)	(50,000)	(51.705)	(52 65 4)	(127.092)	(57 576)	(50,642)	(61.784)	(164 712)	(66.200)	(60 600)
Acquisition and Construction of Assets	•	(45,000)	(30,000)	(31,793)	(35,034)	(157,082)	(37,576)	(39,645)	(01,784)	(104,712)	(00,500)	(08,080)
Payments of Existing Long-Term Leon		-	(25,000)	(27,500)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(27,500)	(27,500)	(27,500)	(32,500)	-	-
Mung Cash Balance		0,939,031	1,345,250	1,114,050	a,220,000	8,010,781	9,109,782	9,039,425	10,235,436	10,080,009	11,232,513	11, /48, 788
Minimum Cash Balance												
For ongoing operations			411,509	429,091	448,541	477,266	497,413	518,427	541,085	565,492	597,703	625,956
For debt service			34,225	31,075	30,325	32,038	31,213	30,388	34,488	1,500	1,500	180,000
Minimum Cash Balance Required			445,734	460,166	478,866	509,303	528,625	548,814	575,572	566,992	599,203	805,956
Amount Over (Under) Minimum			6,899,516	7,313,884	7,747,694	8,107,477	8,581,157	9,110,611	9,659,864	10,113,567	10,633,310	10,942,832

Impact on Sewer Charges

The proposed sewer rates are shown below. The proposed user rates were determined by increasing existing rates by 7.0% in 2013, and 2.0% annually 2014-2019.

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
0 - 10,000 gallons	\$ 52.00	\$ 55.64	\$ 56.75	\$ 57.89	\$ 59.05	\$ 60.23	\$ 61.43	\$ 62.66
> 10,000 gals (per 1,000 gal)	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.07	\$ 1.09	\$ 1.11	\$ 1.14	\$ 1.16	\$ 1.18	\$ 1.20

We recommend the City establish Sewer rates on a three year basis. The rates should be reviewed on an annual basis concurrent with the development of following year's budget.

A comparison of an average residential 2013 quarterly bill for the City of Lino Lakes to the average quarterly bill of other communities selected by the City is also shown below. The average sewer bill of the seven communities for a resident using 17,500 gallons per quarter surveyed is \$71.38, putting the proposed sewer rates at 89.2% of the average.





SEWER RATES - RESIDENTIA					
Jurisdiction	Block Usage	Sewer Rates per 1,000 Gallons	Volume Charge	Base Charge	Total Avg Quarterly Charge
Lino Lakes - 2013	0 -10,000 10,001 >	\$0.00 \$1.07	\$8.03	\$55.64	\$63.67
Blaine	Flat rate	\$44.70		\$44.70	\$44.70
Hugo (2013 rates determined in March)	0 - 9,000 9.000 and up	\$0.00 \$1.85	\$15.73	\$50.00	\$65.73
Circle Pines	0 - 8,000 8,000 - 16,000	\$3.55 \$3.84	\$65.47	\$10.00	\$75.47
Centerville	>16,000 All Use	\$4.23 \$1.90	\$33.25	\$37.00	\$70.25
2013 rates					
Lexington	All Use	\$2.50	\$43.75	\$9.00	\$52.75
Arden Hills	0 - 15,000 >15,000	\$0.00 \$4.83	\$12.08	\$83.87	\$95.95
Shoreview	0 - 5,000		\$16.02		
2013 rates	5,001 - 10,000 10,000 - 20,000 20,001 - 30,000		\$27.58 \$42.29 \$57.52	\$37.91	\$80.20
	>30,000		\$74.73		
Forest Lake 2013 rates	10,000 10,001 or >	\$0.00 \$5.20	\$39.00	\$47.00	\$86.00

44

The average sewer bill of the seven communities for a resident using 24,000 gallons per quarter surveyed is \$89.95, putting the proposed sewer rates at 78.5% of the average.



OEMER RATEO REODERTIA		OCE 24,000 OALEEO			
Jurisdiction	Block Usage	Sewer Rates per 1,000 Gallons	Volume Charge	Base Charge	Total Avg Quarterly Charge
Lino Lakes - 2013	0 -10,000 10,001 >	\$0.00 \$1.07	\$14.98	\$55.64	\$70.62
Blaine	Flat rate	\$44.70		\$44.70	\$44.70
Ημαο	0 - 9.000	\$0.00			
(2013 rates determined in March)	9,000 and up	\$1.85	\$27.75	\$50.00	\$77.75
Circle Pines	0 - 8 000	¢3 55			
	8 000 - 16 000	\$3.84	\$92.96	\$10.00	\$102.96
	>16,000	\$4.23			¢
Centerville	All Use	\$1.90	\$45.60	\$37.00	\$82.60
2013 rates					
Lexington	All Use	\$2.50	\$60.00	\$9.00	\$69.00
Arden Hills	0 - 15,000	\$0.00	¢13 17	¢83.87	¢407.04
	>15,000	\$4.83	ψ+3.+7	φ03.07	φ121.34
	0 5 000		\$ 40.00		
Shoreview	0 - 5,000		\$16.02	_	
2013 rates	5,001 - 10,000		\$27.58	\$07.04	4 05 40
	10,000 - 20,000		\$42.29	\$37.91	\$95.43
	20,001 - 30,000		\$57.52	_	
	>30,000		\$74.73		
Forest Lake	10.000	\$0.00			
2013 rates	10,000	\$5.20	\$72.80	¢47.00	\$119.80
201310100	10,001 01 2	ψ0.20		φ41.00	

SEWER RATES - RESIDENTIAL USER - AVERAGE USE 24,000 GALLONS/QUARTER



The average sewer bill of the seven communities for a resident using 30,000 gallons per quarter surveyed is \$105.33, putting the proposed sewer rates at 73.1% of the average.



SEWER RATES - RESIDENTIA					
Jurisdiction	Block Usage	Sewer Rates per 1,000 Gallons	Volume Charge	Base Charge	Total Avg Quarterly Charge
Lino Lakes - 2013	0 -10,000 10,001 >	\$0.00 \$1.07	\$21.40	\$55.64	\$77.04
Blaine	Flat rate	\$44 70		\$44 70	\$44 70
Bland		φ11.70		φ11.70	ψΟ
Hugo	0 - 9,000	\$0.00	¢38.85	\$50.00	¢00 05
(2013 rates determined in March)	9,000 and up	\$1.85	ψ00.00	\$30.00	φ00.0 0
Circle Pines	0 - 8.000	\$3.55			
	8,000 - 16,000	\$3.84	\$118.34	\$10.00	\$128.34
	>16,000	\$4.23	-		
Centerville	All Use	\$1.90	\$57.00	\$37.00	\$94.00
2013 rates		· ·			
Lexington	All Use	\$2.50	\$75.00	\$9.00	\$84.00
Arden Hills	0 - 15,000	\$0.00	Ф 7 0 <i>4</i> 5	¢02.07	¢450.00
	>15,000	\$4.83	\$72.45	\$83.87	\$156.32
Shoreview	0 - 5.000		\$16.02		
2013 rates	5.001 - 10.000		\$27.58	-	
	10,000 - 20,000		\$42.29	\$37.91	\$95.43
	20,001 - 30,000		\$57.52		
	>30,000		\$74.73		
	10.000	#0.00			
FOREST LAKE	10,000	\$U.UU \$5.00	\$104.00	¢ 47.00	\$151.00
2013 rates	10,001 or >	φ5.20		\$47.00	

6. Conclusions and Recommendations

This study was undertaken to review and analyze the City's Water and Sewer Funds to determine the appropriate rate structure needed to pay for anticipated operating expenditures, to provide for anticipated capital improvements, to provide operating cash flow, and to ensure an adequate level of cash reserves. In addition, water rates recommended were set to achieve water demand management as required by Minnesota Statutes.

The following conclusions were determined as a result of this study and the financial projections prepared for the years 2012 through 2022.

- The Water and Sewer Fund's history shows revenues and expenditures, have remained fairly stable over time. Operating income in the Water Fund is projected to decrease from \$324,865 in 2009 to \$217,713 in 2012. Operating income in the Sewer Fund is projected to decline more rapidly from \$70,057 in 2009 to (\$56,275) in 2012, thereby not funding depreciation.
- 2. The City should maintain a minimum cash balance in each Utility fund of at least three months of anticipated operating expenses and one year's debt service at the end of each year. Current and projected cash levels support this recommendation
- 3. The current Water Availability/Trunk Charge of \$3,854 is adequate to fund projected capital costs through our planning period of 2022. However, should growth be expedited and the need for the new water treatment plant currently projected for 2025 be moved up, we recommend the City revisit this charge to include the capital and associated borrowing costs of this large capital expenditure.
- 4. Water user rates should be set to encourage demand reduction as well as provide for simplicity in understanding. After analyzing current and historical consumption patterns, we have provided four scenarios for water rates. They are:

Residential Rates	2012 Rate per 1,000 Gallons	2013 Rate per 1,000 Gallons
Flat Fee of \$10 per Residential Equivalent Unit (REU)		Same
0 - 20,000 gallons	\$1.80	\$1.84
20,001 – 40,000 gallons	\$2.00	\$2.04
40,001 – 80,000 gallons	\$2.50	\$2.55
80,001 – 120,000 gallons	\$3.00	\$3.06
Over 120,000 gallons	\$3.50	\$3.57

Scenario 1 – Keep Current Block Structure and Adjust Rates

Non-Residential Rates	2012 Rate per 1,000 Gallons	2013 Rate per 1,000 Gallons
Flat Fee of \$10 per Residential Equivalent Unit (REU)		Same
0 - 20,000 gallons	\$1.80	\$1.84
20,001 – 40,000 gallons	\$2.00	\$2.04
Over 40,000 gallons	\$2.25	\$2.30



Non-Residential Irrigation Rates	2012 Rate per 1,000 Gallons	2013 Rate per 1,000 Gallons
0 - 40,000 gallons	\$2.50	\$2.55
40,001 – 80,000 gallons	\$3.00	\$3.06
Over 80,000 gallons	\$3.50	\$3.57

Future projections indicate rates may need to be increased by 2.00% annually 2014 - 2017.

Scenario 2 – One Rate for Each Customer Class

In Scenario 2, the rate for each residential, non-residential, and non-residential irrigation customer is assumed to be the rate which provides for residential customers generating 77% of total revenues, non-residential accounts generating 10% of annual revenues, and non-residential irrigation accounts generating 13% of total revenues, as is currently the case. The proposed rates are:

	Residential	Non-Residential	Non-Residential Irrigation
2013	\$2.02	\$2.21	\$3.40

As in the first scenario, these volumetric rates would need to be increased by 2.0% annually 2014-2017.

Scenario 3 – Uniform Rate for All Use/All Users

Scenario 3 assumes <u>one</u> rate for <u>all use of all users</u>. The 2013 rate for all users; residential, non-residential, and non-residential irrigation customers is recommended to be \$2.16 per 1,000 gallons to meet revenue requirements.

A uniform rate structure is estimated to alter the total revenues generated from each customer class as follows:

	Residential	Non- Residential	Non- Residential Irrigation
Current Rates	77%	10%	13%
Proposed Rate	82%	10%	8%

As in the first two scenarios, these volumetric rates would need to be increased by 2.0% annually 2014-2017.

Scenario 4 – Revised Block Rate Structure

The final option reviewed is to keep a block rate structure, whereby the price of water increases with volume consumed, but reduce the number of blocks from five to three for residential and from three to two for non-residential and non-residential irrigation. The following block rate structure is proposed in order to meet revenue requirements:

Proposed 2013 Residential Rates

	Residential
0 – 30,000 gallons	\$1.89
30,001 – 50,000	\$2.09
> 50,000	\$2.91

Proposed 2013 Non-Residential Rates

	Non-Residential
0 – 50,000 gallons	\$2.04
> 50,000	\$2.30

Proposed 2013 Non-Residential Irrigation Rates

	Non-Residential
	Irrigation
0 – 50,000 gallons	\$2.55
> 50,000	\$3.57

Our proposed rates along with 2.0% increases in these rates 2014-2017 project depreciation could be funded while maintaining recommended reserve levels.

- 5. The current Sewer Availability Charge of \$2,911 is adequate to fund projected capital costs.
- 6. Sewer user rates should be increased by 7.0% in 2013 and 2.0% annually 2014 through 2019.
- 7. The City should establish the user rates for each utility fund for a threeyear period and review them on an annual basis. The rates should be reviewed on an annual basis concurrent with the development of the following year's budget.

These recommendations are based on information provided to us by City of Lino Lakes. The City will need to monitor the performance of the Water and Sewer Funds and make any necessary adjustments based upon its actual performance and on the actual construction costs of the anticipated capital improvements.